

COMMON Certificate Policy Change Proposal Number: 2020-02

To: Federal PKI Policy Authority (FPKIPA)

From: Federal PKI Certificate Policy Working Group (CPWG)

Subject: Proposed modifications to the Federal PKI Common Policy Framework

Certificate Policy and certificate profile specification

Date: August 19, 2020

Title: Consolidated update to Common Policy and associated profiles

Version and Date of Certificate Policy Requested to be changed:

• X.509 Certificate Policy For The Federal PKI Common Policy Framework Version 1.32, April 14, 2020

Change Advocate's Contact Information:

Organization: FPKI Policy Authority

E-mail address: fpki@gsa.gov

Organization requesting change: FPKI Certificate Policy Working Group

Change summary: This is a comprehensive update to Common Policy and the associated certificate profile specification (formerly titled "X.509 Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Extensions Profile for the Shared Service Providers (SSP) Program"). High-level update summary:

- Removed Foreword
- Standardized terminology
- Realigned requirements with appropriate policy sections
- Increased use of tables to improve readability
- Aligned requirements with observed agency practices
- Clarified definitions of certificate types
- Streamlined certificate naming
- Updated certificate re-key, renewal, and modification definitions for clarity
- Removed SHA-1 references
- Updated permitted key sizes and algorithms
- Converted sections after Section 9 to appendices
- Updated format and content of certificate profiles
 - o Aligned profiles with proposed updates to Common Policy
 - o Added "Common PIV-I" profiles

- Split Cross Certificate profile into two profiles (Cross certificate and Intermediate CA) to help clarify requirements and reduce confusion
- o Numerous worksheet updates (see Appendix C)

Background: This update consolidates CPWG policy recommendations dating back to 2018. It also cleans-up outdated references and requirements, clarifies existing requirements, aligns policy with observed agency practices (e.g., certificate naming), and improves readability.

Updates related to the following topics were discussed with CPWG members to minimize adverse impact:

- Authorization data in subscriber certificates
- Federal subscriber certificate naming
- Time to process certificate applications
- Updated CA rekey timelines
- Updated references for permissible options comparable to "digitally signed attestation under perjury" requirement (declaration of identity)
- Permitted key sizes and algorithms
- Identification and authentication requirements for routine subscriber re-key
- CA cryptographic module requirements
- Certificate profile changes

Additional detail related to update activities and milestones is included in Appendix A.

Specific Changes: Due to format changes and the number of edits, updates were highlighted to CPWG and FPKIPA members in separate, redlined versions of Common Policy.

Change Impact:

- Potential impacts resulting from the proposed updates to Common Policy are included in <u>Appendix B</u>.
- Potential impacts resulting from the proposed updates to the certificate profiles are included in <u>Appendix C</u>.

Estimated Cost: TBD

Implementation Date: September 1, 2021

Prerequisites for Adoption: None

Plan to Meet Prerequisites: Not applicable

Approval and Coordination Dates:

Date presented to CPWG: January 14, 2020

Date change released for comment: February 17, 2020 Date comment adjudication published: August 19, 2020

APPENDIX A: UPDATE ACTIVITIES AND MILESTONES

Q3 FY 2019	Q4 FY 2019 (1Jul - 30Sept)	Q1 FY 2020	Q2 FY 2020	Q3 FY 2020	Q4 FY 2020
(1Apr - 30Jun)		(10ct - 31Dec)	(1Jan - 31Mar)	(1Apr - 30Jun)	(1Jul - 30Sept)
May CPWG - Call for policy updates and clean-ups (5/28/19) FPKIPA Support Team began section by section review of Common Policy and Profiles	 FPKIPA Support Team continued section by section review of Common Policy and Profiles September CPWG - Discussions related to Authorization Data and Certificate Naming FPKIPA Support Team began draft updates 	 FPKIPA Support Team continued draft updates November CPWG - Discussions related to Time to Process Applications and Permitted Algorithms (11/26/19) FPKIPA Support Team draft finalization and internal review 	 FPKIPA Support Team comment adjudication January CPWG - FPKIPA Support Team shares status update and requests additional feedback (1/28/20) FPKIPA Support Team shares policy artifacts for CPWG feedback (2/17/2020) March CPWG - Review period extended one month (4/28/20). 	 April CPWG - Review feedback and proposed adjudication (4/28/20) FPKIPA Support Team comment adjudication, incorporation of 2020-01, and distribution of Draft Release #2 (5/22/20) May CPWG - Summarized comment adjudication and reviewed next steps (5/28/20) June PA - Change proposal introduction to facilitate discussion on implementation timeline and cost (6/9/20) Draft Release #3 (6/18/20) June CPWG - Summarized recent updates and reviewed next steps (6/23/20) 	Change proposal finalized and initiated vote (8/19/20)

APPENDIX B: IMPACT OF POLICY UPDATES

Policy Change Summary	Impact
 Overall Standardized terminology ("Human Subscriber", "Device", "must", "publicly accessible", etc.) Clarified and streamlined language Standardized formatting of "Practice Notes" and external references Removed references to "legacy" agency PKIs, deprecated algorithms (e.g., SHA-1), and deprecated policies (e.g., M-04-04) Relocated requirements to more applicable policy sections 	No negative impact
 Section 1 Tabularized, re-ordered, and clarified policies covered by the CP Updated scope of CP to remove code signing and only locally trusted CA use cases 	No negative impact
Section 2 Clarified Authority Information Access (AIA) and Subject Information Access (SIA) requirements Added option for single DER encoded certificate file (AIA)	No negative impact
 Reorganized certificate subject name and subject alternative name requirements into independent sub-sections Updated naming requirements to align with observed agency practices Removed unused name types (e.g., DC=mil) Incorporated changes proposed in draft "Updated registration processes and biometric linkage" Change Proposal (June 12, 2019) Included reference to FIPS 201 for the purposes of human subscriber identity proofing Removed references to authorizations in subscriber certificates 	No negative impact
 Section 4 Timeframe for certificate application process modified from 30 days to 90 days; topic discussed with CPWG Clarified definitions of "renewal", "re-key", and "modification" Tabularized CRL issuance frequency requirements Defined offline CA Incorporated OCSP requirements, moved from Section 2 Incorporated privacy information publication restrictions, moved from Section 9 	No negative impact

 Section 5 Clarified "remote workstation" practice note Require delegated OCSP signing Added requirement that any compromised CA must request revocation from any superior or cross certified CA 	No negative impact
 Section 6 Removed references to SHA-1 Updated cryptographic module requirements (require FIPS 140-2 Level 3 protection of CA signing keys) Incorporated draft "Update Common Policy on use of CA signing Keys" Change Proposal submitted by Treasury Reduce OCSP certificate validity from 3 years to 120 days Require use of a VPN for remote workstation administration of CA 	No negative impact Note: two affiliates require updates to OCSP certificate validity
Section 7 • Updated permitted key sizes (add RSA 4096 and EC P-384) and signing algorithms (sha384WithRSAEncryption, sha512WithRSAEncryption, and ecdsa-with-SHA512)	No negative impact
Section 8 • No major updates	No negative impact
Section 9 • No major updates	No negative impact

APPENDIX C: IMPACT OF CERTIFICATE PROFILE UPDATES

Profile Changes	CAs Impacted*
Authority Information Access & Certificate Revocation List Distribution Point - Require HTTP URI first	6
Authority Information Access - Allow .cer	No negative impact
DN Encoding: Allow only printableString and/or UTF8	No negative impact
Key Usage - Remove digital signature and non-repudiation bits from CA profiles	4
Removes ability to perform direct OCSP signing by a CA; delegated OCSP signing only	No CA operations currently impacted; possible future impact
Allow Subject Directory Attributes (e.g., citizenship)	No negative impact
Cross Certificate	No negative impact
Intermediate Certificate (new profile) • Prohibit policy mappings • Policy constraints are optional • Subject Information Access extension is required, unless the CA certificate includes path length constraint of 0	No negative impact
OCSP Responder Certificate • EKU must be marked critical	11
Signature Certificates and Key Management Certificates • For PIV, id-kp-emailProtection must be included • rfc822Name is required if id-kp-emailProtection is asserted in Extended Key Usage	2

^{*} based on Annual Review certificate samples



X.509 Certificate Policy for the U.S. Federal PKI Common Policy Framework

Version 2.0

September 1.32

April 14, 2020

Signature Page

Co-chair, Federal Public Key Infrastructure Policy Authority		

Revision History

Document Version	Document Date	Revision Details
1.0	May 7, 2007	Revised Common Policy (RFC 3647 format)
1.1	July 17, 2007	2007-01 . Alignment of Cryptographic Algorithm Requirements with SP 800-78-1
1.2	September 12, 2007	2007-02. Requiring the inclusion of a subject DN in PIV Authentication Certificates
1.3	October 16, 2007	2007-03 . Accommodating legacy PKIs for PIV Authentication
1.4	April 3, 2008	2008-01. § 8.3 Assessor's Relationship to Assessed Entity
1.5	November 20, 2008	2008-02 . Include a provision for a rolebased signature certificate
1.6	February 11, 2009	2009-01. nextUpdate in Certificate Revocation Lists (CRL) published by legacy Federal PKIs
1.7	April 15, 2009	2009-02. Allow the use of the PIV Authentication certificate as proof of identity and employment
1.8	January 21, 2010	2010-01 . Align key length requirements w/ SP 800-57
		2010-02 . Remote Administration of Certification Authorities
1.9	March 15, 2010	2010-03 . Allowing inclusion of UUIDs in Card Authentication Certificates
1.10	April 8, 2010	2010-04 . § 8.1 & 8.4
1.11	August 16, 2010	2010-05 . Clarify the archive definition and how its records are intended to be used

1.12	October 15, 2010	2010-06. Allow Federal Legacy PKIs to Directly Cross Certify with Common Policy CA
1.13	November 18, 2010	2010-07 . Legacy use of SHA-1 during transition period Jan 1, 2011 to Dec 31, 2013
1.14	December 17, 2010	Clarify requirement to support CA Key Rollover
1.15	January 24, 2011	2011-01, CAs to assert policy OIDs in OCSP responder certificates for which the OCSP responder is authoritative
1.16	September 23, 2011	2011-02, Clarify requirements for device Subscribers and certificates
1.17	December 13, 2011	2011-03 , Remove Requirements for LDAP References in Certificates
1.18	April 26, 2012	2012-01. Clarify RA audit requirements: revise Section 1.3.1.5, add new last sentence to first paragraph of Section 8, revise first paragraph of Section 8.1, revise Sections 8.4, 8.5, and 8.6, revise "Policy Management Authority (PMA)" glossary definition.
1.19	June 22, 2012	2012-02. Add new Section 4.1.1.4, <i>Code Signing Certificates</i> , to address change proposal (approved by FPKIPA on 6/12/12) requiring organizations receiving a code signing certificate to have access to a Time Stamp Authority.
1.20	August 19, 2012	2012-03. Add new language to Sections 3.2.3.2 and 9.6.3 to address change proposal (approved by FPKIPA on 8/14/12) to allow a human device sponsor, who is not physically located near the sponsored device, and/or who does not have sufficient administrative privileges on the sponsored device to fulfill these responsibilities, to delegate them to an authorized administrator of the device.

		2012-04. Revise Section 4.9.7 to address change proposal (approved by FPKIPA on 8/12/12) to detail and clarify the Common Policy CA's CRL issuance policies to ensure Offline Root CA operations are permitted.
1.21	December 18, 2012	2012-05. Revise Sections 1.2, 1.4.1, 3.1.1, 6.2.8, 6.3.2, 7.1.4, 7.1.6, and add new Sections 6.1.1.4 and 6.2.4.6 to address change proposal (approved by FPKIPA on 12/6/12) to create a new Common PIV Content Signing Policy OID.
1.22	December 2, 2013	2013-01. Clarify places in the Common Policy CP which were flagged during the FPKIMA Annual Audit as either contradictory with the FBCA CP or contradictory to current best practices. Clarify division of responsibilities between Trusted Roles (Section 5.2.1); clarify meaning of "all Security Audit logs (Section 5.4.1), and allow audit logs to be removed from production site once reviewed (Section 5.4.3) 2013-02. Remove SHA-1 policies from Common Policy.
1.23	May 5, 2014	2013-03. Require PIV Cards to be on the GSA Approved Products List (APL) Prior to Issuance and require annual PIV card testing.
1.24	May 7, 2015	2015-01. Create two new Common Derived PIV Authentication Certificate Policy OIDs in the Common Policy, and change/add text in appropriate sections throughout the CP.
1.25	September 22, 2016	2016-01. Alignment with CAB Forum Baseline Requirements (BR) v1.3.4. This will facilitate FPKI conformance to CAB Forum BRs for publicly-trusted SSL/TLS certificates, which will help promote inclusion of the Federal Root in public trust

		stores and provide guidance for issuance of publicly-trusted device certificates. 2016-02. Allow a long term CRL when a CA retires a key after performing a key changeover to align with the FPKI CPS.
1.26	February 2, 2017	2016-03 . Remove or update references to obsoleted RFCs. Changes to Sections 1.3.1.7, 3.1.2, 3.1.4, 4.9.7, and 10.
1.27	June 29, 2017	 2017-01: Align CP with current FPKIMA practice for CA certificates. 2017-02: Require CAs to publish information pertaining to resolved incidents on their websites. 2017-03: Require CAs to notify the FPKIPA whenever a change is made to their infrastructure 2017-04: Clarifies the period of time PIV card stock can continue to be used once it has been removed from the GSA APL.
1.28	April 4, 2018	2018-01: Key Recovery for key management certificates issued under the COMMON Policy
1.29	May 10, 2018	 2018-02: Add reference to Annual Review Requirements 2018-03: Mandate specific EKUs in certificates issued after June 30, 2019 2018-04: Certificate revocation requirements for Transitive Closure after August 15, 2018 2018-05: Requirements for virtual implementations
1.30	October 4, 2018	2018-06: Incorporate "supervised remote identity proofing" and other new guidance as defined in NIST SP 800-63-3 effective as of October 4, 2018

1.31	February 8, 2019	 2018-07: Remove the common-public-trusted-serverAuth certificate policy and associated requirements effective as of February 8, 2019 2018-08: Permit retention of private signing key(s) following CA termination effective as of February 8, 2019
1.32	April 14, 2020	2020-01: Add support for federally issued Personal Identity Verification-Interoperable (PIV-I) credentials

FOREWORD

This is the policy framework governing the public key infrastructure (PKI) component of the Federal Enterprise Architecture. The policy framework incorporates multiple specific certificate policies: a policy for users with software cryptographic modules, a policy for users with hardware cryptographic modules, a policy for devices that sign Personal Identity Verification (PIV) data objects, a policy for devices with software cryptographic modules, a policy for devices with hardware cryptographic modules, a high assurance user policy, three user authentication policies, and a card authentication policy. There is one Certification Authority (CA) associated with the Common Policy Framework: The Federal Common Policy Root CA.

The user policies apply to Federal employees, contractors, and other affiliated personnel requiring PKI credentials for access to Federal systems that have not been designated by law as national security systems. The device policies apply to hardware devices and software applications operated by or on behalf of federal agencies. These policies may be used by PKIs whose certification practice statement (CPS) and compliance audit have been approved by the Federal PKI Policy Authority (FPKIPA). Such PKIs may be agency operated or may be operated by approved providers.

This policy framework supports hierarchical PKI, mesh PKI, and single CA implementations of this certificate policy. As such, constraints are established for the secure distribution of self-signed certificates for use as trust anchors. These constraints apply only to CAs that choose to distribute self-signed certificates.

This policy framework requires the use of FIPS 140 validated cryptographic modules by Federal employees, contractors, other affiliated personnel and devices for all cryptographic operations and the protection of trusted public keys. Software and hardware cryptographic mechanisms are equally acceptable under this policy framework. The policies for users with hardware cryptographic modules mandate Level 2 validation.

For entities associated with the Federal Common Policy Root CA, this policy framework requires the use of either 2048 bit RSA keys or 256 bit elliptic curve keys along with the SHA-256 and SHA-384 hash algorithms. CAs are required to use 2048 bit RSA keys or 256 bit elliptic curve keys when signing certificates and CRLs that expire on or after December 31, 2010. CAs are required to use SHA-256 or SHA-384 when signing certificates that are issued after December 31, 2010. All subscriber signature keys in certificates that expire on or after December 31, 2008 must be at least 2048 bit RSA keys or 256 bit elliptic curve keys. Subscriber authentication keys in certificates that expire on or after December 31, 2013 must be at least 2048 bit RSA keys or 256 bit elliptic curve keys.

The certificate policies that comprise this policy framework are consistent with RFC 3647, the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Public Key Infrastructure X.509 (IETF PKIX) Certificate Policy and Certification Practices Framework.

The terms and provisions of these certificate policies shall be interpreted under and governed by applicable Federal law.

2.0	September 1, 2020	2020-02: Consolidated update to Common Policy and associated profiles, effective as of September 1, 2021. See the change proposal cover sheet for more detail.

Table of Contents

I.—Introduction
1.1—Overview 2
1.1.1 Certificate Policy (CP) 2
1.1.2 Relationship between the CP and the CPS 2
1.1.3 Scope 2
1.1.4 Interoperation with CAs Issuing under Different Policies 2
1.2—Document Name and Identification—2
1.3 PKI Participants 4
1.3.1 PKI Authorities 4
1.3.1.1 Federal Chief Information Officers Council
1.3.1.2 Federal PKI Policy Authority (FPKIPA)
1.3.1.3 FPKI Management Authority (FPKIMA)
1.3.1.4 FPKI Management Authority Program Manager
1.3.1.5 Policy Management Authority
1.3.1.6—Certification Authority
1.3.1.7 Certificate Status Servers
1.3.2 Registration Authorities 6
1.3.3 Trusted Agents 6
1.3.4 Subscribers 6
1.3.5 Relying Parties 7
1.3.6 Other Participants 7
1.4 Certificate Usage 7
1.4.1——Appropriate Certificate Uses 7
1.4.2 Prohibited Certificate Uses 8
1.5—Policy Administration 8
1.5.1 Organization Administering the Document 8
1.5.2 Contact Person 8
1.5.3 Person Determining CPS Suitability for the Policy 8
1.5.4 CPS Approval Procedures 8
1.6—Definitions and Acronyms 8
2.—Publication and Repository Responsibilities9
2.1—Repositories—9
2.2 Publication of Certification Information 9

2.2.1—Publication of Certificates and Certificate Status—9	
2.2.2 Publication of CA Information 9	
2.2.3—Interoperability 9	
2.3—Time or Frequency of Publication—9	
2.4—Access Controls on Repositories 10	
3. Identification and Authentication	11
3.1—Naming 11	
3.1.1—Types of Names 11	
3.1.2 Need for Names to Be Meaningful 16	
3.1.3—Anonymity or Pseudonymity of Subscribers 16	
3.1.4 Rules for Interpreting Various Name Forms 17	
3.1.5 Uniqueness of Names 17	
3.1.6 Recognition, Authentication, and Role of Trademarks 17	
3.2—Initial Identity Validation 17	
3.2.1 Method to Prove Possession of Private Key 17	
3.2.2 Authentication of Organization Identity 17	
3.2.3 Authentication of Individual Identity 18	
3.2.3.1—Authentication of Human Subscribers	18
3.2.3.2 Authentication of Devices	20
3.2.3.3 Authentication for Derived PIV Credentials	21
3.2.4 Non-verified Subscriber Information 22	
3.2.5 Validation of Authority 22	
3.2.6 Criteria for Interoperation 22	
3.3—Identification and Authentication for Re-key Requests 22	
3.3.1 Identification and Authentication for Routine Re key 22	
3.3.2 Identification and Authentication for Re key after Revocation 23	
3.4 Identification and Authentication for Revocation Request 23	
4. Certificate Life-Cycle Operational Requirements	24
4.1—Certificate Application 24	
4.1.1 Who Can Submit a Certificate Application 24	
4.1.1.1—CA Certificates	24
4.1.1.2—User Certificates	24
4.1.1.3 Device Certificates	24
4.1.1.4 Code Signing Certificates.	2 4

4.1.2	Enrollment Process and Responsibilities 24	
4.2—Certif	icate Application Processing 25	
4.2.1	Performing Identification and Authentication Functions 25	
4.2.2	Approval or Rejection of Certificate Applications 25	
4.2.3	Time to Process Certificate Applications 25	
4.3—Certif	icate Issuance 25	
4.3.1	CA Actions During Certificate Issuance 25	
4.3.2	Notification to Subscriber by the CA of Issuance of Certificate	-26
4.4 Certif	icate Acceptance 26	
4.4.1	Conduct Constituting Certificate Acceptance26	
4.4.2	Publication of the Certificate by the CA 26	
4.4.3	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities	-26
4.5—Key P	Pair and Certificate Usage 26	
4.5.1	Subscriber Private Key and Certificate Usage 26	
4.5.2	Relying Party Public key and Certificate Usage 26	
4.6 Certif	icate Renewal 27	
4.6.1	Circumstance for Certificate Renewal 27	
4.6.2	—Who May Request Renewal—27	
4.6.3	Processing Certificate Renewal Requests 27	
4.6.4	Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber 27	
4.6.5	Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Renewal Certificate 27	
4.6.6	Publication of the Renewal Certificate by the CA 27	
4.6.7	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities	-28
4.7—Certif	icate Re-key 28	
4.7.1	Circumstance for Certificate Re key 28	
4.7.2	Who May Request Certification of a New Public Key 28	
4.7.3	Processing Certificate Re keying Requests 28	
4.7.4	Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber 28	
4.7.5	Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Re-keyed Certificate 28	
4.7.6	Publication of the Re-keyed Certificate by the CA 29	
4.7.7	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities	29
4.8—Certif	icate Modification 29	
4.8.1	Circumstance for Certificate Modification 29	
182	Who May Request Certificate Modification, 20	

4.8.3	Processing Certificate Modification Requests 29
4.8.4	Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber 29
4.8.5	Conduct Constituting Acceptance of Modified Certificate 30
4.8.6	Publication of the Modified Certificate by the CA 30
4.8.7	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities 30
4.9 Certif	icate Revocation and Suspension 30
4.9.1	Circumstances for Revocation 30
4.9.2	Who Can Request Revocation 31
4.9.3	Procedure for Revocation Request 31
4.9.4	Revocation Request Grace Period 32
4.9.5	Time within which CA must Process the Revocation Request 32
4.9.6	Revocation Checking Requirements for Relying Parties 32
4.9.7	CRL Issuance Frequency 32
4.9.8	Maximum Latency for CRLs 33
4.9.9	On-line Revocation/Status Checking Availability 33
4.9.10	On-line Revocation Checking Requirements 34
4.9.11	Other Forms of Revocation Advertisements Available 34
4.9.12	Special Requirements Related To Key Compromise 34
4.9.13	Circumstances for Suspension 34
4.9.14	—Who Can Request Suspension 34
4.9.15	Procedure for Suspension Request 34
4.9.16	Limits on Suspension Period 34
4.10 Certif	icate Status Services 34
4.10.1	—Operational Characteristics 34
4.10.2	Service Availability 35
4.10.3	—Optional Features 35
4.11-End C	Of Subscription 35
4.12 Key E	Serow and Recovery 35
4.12.1	Key Escrow and Recovery Policy and Practices 35
4.12.2	Session Key Encapsulation and Recovery Policy and Practices 35
5.—Facility, l	Management, and Operational Controls
5.1—Physic	cal Controls 36
5.1.1	Site Location and Construction 36
5.1.2	Physical Access 36

5.1.2.1—Physical Access for CA Equipment	36
5.1.2.2 Physical Access for RA Equipment	37
5.1.2.3—Physical Access for CSS Equipment	37
5.1.3 Power and Air Conditioning 37	
5.1.4 Water Exposures 37	
5.1.5 Fire Prevention and Protection 37	
5.1.6 Media Storage 37	
5.1.7 Waste Disposal 38	
5.1.8 Off-Site Backup 38	
5.2—Procedural Controls—38	
5.2.1—Trusted Roles 38	
5.2.2 Number of Persons Required per Task 39	
5.2.3 Identification and Authentication for Each Role 39	
5.2.4 Roles Requiring Separation of Duties39	
5.3—Personnel Controls 39	
5.3.1—Qualifications, Experience, and Clearance Requirements 39	
5.3.2 Background Check Procedures 39	
5.3.3 Training Requirements 40	
5.3.4 Retraining Frequency and Requirements 40	
5.3.5 Job Rotation Frequency and Sequence 40	
5.3.6 Sanctions for Unauthorized Actions 40	
5.3.7 Independent Contractor Requirements 40	
5.3.8 Documentation Supplied to Personnel 41	
5.4—Audit Logging Procedures 41	
5.4.1 Types of Events Recorded 41	
5.4.2 Frequency of Processing Log 44	
5.4.3 Retention Period for Audit Log 45	
5.4.4 Protection of Audit Log 45	
5.4.5—Audit Log Backup Procedures 45	
5.4.6 Audit Collection System (Internal vs. External) 45	
5.4.7—Notification to Event Causing Subject 45	
5.4.8——Vulnerability Assessments 45	
5.5—Records Archival 46	
5.5.1—Types of Events Archived 46	

5.5.2 Retention Period for Archive 47	
5.5.3 Protection of Archive 47	
5.5.4 Archive Backup Procedures 48	
5.5.5 Requirements for Time Stamping of Records 48	
5.5.6 Archive Collection System (Internal or External) 48	
5.5.7 Procedures to Obtain and Verify Archive Information 48	
5.6 Key Changeover 48	
5.7—Compromise and Disaster Recovery 49	
5.7.1 Incident and Compromise Handling Procedures 49	
5.7.2—Computing Resources, Software, and/or Data Are Corrupted 49	
5.7.3 Entity (CA) Private Key Compromise Procedures 50	
5.7.4 Business Continuity Capabilities after a Disaster 50	
5.8—CA or RA Termination 51	
6.—Technical Security Controls	 5 2
6.1—Key Pair Generation and Installation 52	
6.1.1 Key Pair Generation 52	
6.1.1.1—CA Key Pair Generation	 5 2
6.1.1.2 Subscriber Key Pair Generation	 5 2
6.1.1.3 CSS Key Pair Generation	 5 3
6.1.1.4 PIV Content Signing Key Pair Generation	 5 3
6.1.2 Private Key Delivery to Subscriber 53	
6.1.3 Public Key Delivery to Certificate Issuer 54	
6.1.4 CA Public Key Delivery to Relying Parties 54	
6.1.5 Key Sizes 55	
6.1.6 Public Key Parameters Generation and Quality Checking 56	
6.1.7 Key Usage Purposes (as per X.509 v3 Key Usage Field) 56	
6.2—Private Key Protection and Cryptographic Module Engineering Controls 57	
6.2.1—Cryptographic Module Standards and Controls 57	
6.2.2 Private Key (n out of m) Multi-Person Control 58	
6.2.3 Private Key Escrow 59	
6.2.4 Private Key Backup 59	
6.2.4.1—Backup of CA Private Signature Key	 5 9
6.2.4.2 Backup of Subscriber Private Signature Key	 5 9
6.2.4.3 Backup of Subscriber Private Key Management Key	50

6.2.4.4 Backup of CSS Private Key	59
6.2.4.5—Backup of Device Private Keys	59
6.2.4.6—Backup of Common PIV Content Signing Key	59
6.2.5 Private Key Archival 60	
6.2.6 Private Key Transfer into or from a Cryptographic Module 60	
6.2.7—Private Key Storage on Cryptographic Module 60	
6.2.8 Method of Activating Private Key 60	
6.2.9 Method of Deactivating Private Key 61	
6.2.10 Method of Destroying Private Key 61	
6.2.11—Cryptographic Module Rating 61	
6.3—Other Aspects of Key Pair Management 61	
6.3.1—Public Key Archival 61	
6.3.2 Certificate Operational Periods and Key Usage Periods 61	
6.4—Activation Data 62	
6.4.1——Activation Data Generation and Installation—62	
6.4.2 Activation Data Protection 62	
6.4.3 Other Aspects of Activation Data 62	
6.5—Computer Security Controls 62	
6.5.1—Specific Computer Security Technical Requirements 62	
6.5.2 Computer Security Rating 64	
6.6 Life Cycle Technical Controls 64	
6.6.1—System Development Controls 64	
6.6.2 Security Management Controls 65	
6.6.3——Life Cycle Security Controls 65	
6.7—Network Security Controls—65	
6.8—Time Stamping 66	
7. Certificate, CRL, and OCSP Profiles	 67
7.1—Certificate Profile 67	
7.1.1—Version Number(s) 67	
7.1.2 Certificate Extensions 67	
7.1.3 Algorithm Object Identifiers 67	
7.1.4 Name Forms 68	
7.1.5 Name Constraints 68	
7.1.6 Certificate Policy Object Identifier 68	

	7.1.7	Usage of Policy Constraints Extension 69
	7.1.8	Policy Qualifiers Syntax and Semantics 69
	7.1.9	Processing Semantics for the Critical Certificate Policies Extension69
	7.1.10	—Inhibit Any Policy Extension 69
	7.2—CRL I	Profile 69
	7.2.1	Version Number(s) 69
	7.2.2	-CRL and CRL Entry Extensions 70
	7.3—OCSP	Profile 70
	7.3.1	Version Number(s) 70
	7.3.2	–OCSP Extensions 70
8	.—Compliar	nce Audit and Other Assessments
	8.1—Freque	ency or Circumstances of Assessment 71
	8.2 Identit	ty/Qualifications of Assessor 71
	8.3 Assess	sor's Relationship to Assessed Entity 71
	8.4 Topics	s Covered by Assessment 71
	8.5 Action	ns Taken as a Result of Deficiency 72
	8.6 Comn	nunication of Results 72
9	. Other Bu	siness and Legal Matters
	9.1—Fees	-73
	9.1.1	-Certificate Issuance or Renewal Fees 73
	9.1.2	-Certificate Access Fees 73
	9.1.3	Revocation or Status Information Access Fees 73
	9.1.4	Fees for other Services 73
	9.1.5	Refund Policy 73
	9.2 Finance	cial Responsibility 73
	9.2.1	Insurance Coverage 73
	9.2.2	Other Assets 73
	9.2.3	Insurance or Warranty Coverage for End-Entities 73
	9.3 Confid	dentiality of Business Information 73
	9.3.1	Scope of Confidential Information 73
	9.3.2	Information not within the Scope of Confidential Information 74
	9.3.3	Responsibility to Protect Confidential Information 74
	9.4 Privac	ey of Personal Information 74
	0.4.1	Privacy Plan 74

9.4.2	—Information Treated as Private 74	
9.4.3	<u>Information not Deemed Private</u> 74	
9.4.4	Responsibility to Protect Private Information74	
9.4.5	Notice and Consent to Use Private Information 75	
9.4.6	Disclosure Pursuant to Judicial or Administrative Process	75
9.4.7	Other Information Disclosure Circumstances 75	
9.5—Intelle	ctual Property Rights 75	
9.6 Repres	sentations and Warranties 75	
9.6.1	-CA Representations and Warranties 76	
9.6.2	–RA Representations and Warranties 76	
9.6.3	Subscriber Representations and Warranties 76	
9.6.4	Relying Parties Representations and Warranties 77	
9.6.5	Representations and Warranties of Other Participants	77
9.7—Discla	imers of Warranties 77	
9.8 Limita	ations of Liability 77	
9.9 Indem	nities 77	
9.10 Term :	and Termination 78	
9.10.1	Term 78	
9.10.2	Termination 78	
9.10.3	Effect of Termination and Survival 78	
9.11-Individ	dual Notices and Communications with Participants 78	
9.12-Amen	dments 78	
9.12.1	Procedure for Amendment 78	
9.12.2	Notification Mechanism and Period 78	
9.12.3	Circumstances under which OID must be Changed 78	
9.13-Disput	te Resolution Provisions 79	
9.14 Gover	ning Law 79	
9.15-Comp	liance with Applicable Law 79	
9.16-Misce	llaneous Provisions 79	
9.16.1	Entire Agreement 79	
9.16.2	Assignment 79	
9.16.3	— Severability 79	
9.16.4	Enforcement (Attorneys' Fees and Waiver of Rights)	79
0 16 5	Force Majoure 70	

9.	17-Other Provisions 79	
10. _	Bibliography	80
11. –	-Acronyms and Abbreviations	84
12.	- Glossary	87
13.	-Acknowledgments	97
Appe	endix A PIV and Common PIV Interoperable (Common PIV-I) Comparison	98

1. Introduction	11 16
1.1 Overview	12 17
1.1.1 Certificate Policy (CP)	12 17
1.1.2 Relationship between the CP and the CPS	12 17
1.1.3 Scope	13 17
1.1.4 Interoperation with CAs Issuing under Different Policies	13 17
1.2 Document Name and Identification	13 18
1.3 PKI Participants	16 20
1.3.1 PKI Authorities	16 20
1.3.1.1 Federal Chief Information Officers Council	16 20
1.3.1.2 Federal PKI Policy Authority (FPKIPA)	17 20
1.3.1.3 FPKI Management Authority (FPKIMA)	17 21
1.3.1.4 FPKI Management Authority Program Manager	17 21
1.3.1.5 Policy Management Authority (PMA)	17 21
1.3.2 Certification Authorities	18 21
1.3.3 Registration Authorities	18 22
1.3.4 Subscribers	19 22
1.3.5 Relying Parties	20 23
1.3.6 Other Participants	2023
1.4 Certificate Usage	2023
1.4.1 Appropriate Certificate Uses	20 23
1.4.2 Prohibited Certificate Uses	21 23
1.5 Policy Administration	21 24
1.5.1 Organization Administering the Document	21 24
1.5.2 Contact Person	21 24
1.5.3 Person Determining CPS Suitability for the Policy	2124
1.5.4 CPS Approval Procedures	2124
1.6 Definitions and Acronyms	22 24
2. Publication and Repository Responsibilities	22 24
2.1 Repositories	22 24
2.2 Publication of Certification Information	22 24
2.2.1 Publication of Certificates and Certificate Status	22 24
2.2.2 Publication of CA Information	2325
2.3 Time or Frequency of Publication	24 25

2.4 Access Controls on Repositories	24 26
3. Identification and Authentication	24 26
3.1 Naming	24 26
3.1.1 Types of Names	24 26
3.1.1.1 Subject Names	24 26
3.1.1.2 Subject Alternative Names	29
3.1.2 Need for Names to Be Meaningful	32 30
3.1.3 Anonymity or Pseudonymity of Subscribers	32 30
3.1.4 Rules for Interpreting Various Name Forms	33 30
3.1.5 Uniqueness of Names	33 31
3.1.6 Recognition, Authentication, and Role of Trademarks	33 31
3.2 Initial Identity Validation	33 31
3.2.1 Method to Prove Possession of Private Key	33 31
3.2.2 Authentication of Organization Identity	34 31
3.2.3 Authentication of Individual Identity	34 31
3.2.3.1 Authentication of Human Subscribers	34 31
3.2.3.2 Authentication of Devices	38 35
3.2.4 Non-verified Subscriber Information	39 35
3.2.5 Validation of Authority	39 36
3.2.6 Criteria for Interoperation	40 36
3.3 Identification and Authentication for Re-key Requests	40 36
3.3.1 Identification and Authentication for Routine Re-key	40 36
3.3.2 Identification and Authentication for Re-key after Revocation	41 37
3.4 Identification and Authentication for Revocation Request	41 37
4. Certificate Life-Cycle Operational Requirements	41 37
4.1 Certificate Application	41 37
4.1.1 Who Can Submit a Certificate Application	42 37
4.1.2 Enrollment Process and Responsibilities	42 38
4.2 Certificate Application Processing	43 38
4.2.1 Performing Identification and Authentication Functions	43 38
4.2.2 Approval or Rejection of Certificate Applications	43 38
4.2.3 Time to Process Certificate Applications	43 38
4.3 Certificate Issuance	43 39
4.3.1 CA Actions During Certificate Issuance	4339

4.3.2	Notification to Subscriber by the CA of Issuance of Certificate	44 39
4.4 Ce	ertificate Acceptance	4439
4.4.1	Conduct Constituting Certificate Acceptance	44 39
4.4.2	Publication of the Certificate by the CA	44 39
4.4.3	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities	4440
4.5 Ke	y Pair and Certificate Usage	4540
4.5.1	Subscriber Private Key and Certificate Usage	45 40
4.5.2	Relying Party Public key and Certificate Usage	45 40
4.6 Ce	rtificate Renewal	45 40
4.6.1	Circumstance for Certificate Renewal	4540
4.6.2	Who May Request Renewal	4540
4.6.3	Processing Certificate Renewal Requests	4641
4.6.4	Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber	4641
4.6.5	Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Renewal Certificate	46 41
4.6.6	Publication of the Renewal Certificate by the CA	4641
4.6.7	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities	4641
4.7 Ce	rtificate Re-key	4641
4.7.1	Circumstance for Certificate Re-key	4741
4.7.2	Who May Request Certification of a New Public Key	4741
4.7.3	Processing Certificate Re-keying Requests	4742
4.7.4	Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber	47 42
4.7.5	Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Re-keyed Certificate	47 42
4.7.6	Publication of the Re-keyed Certificate by the CA	47 42
4.7.7	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities	4842
4.8 Ce	rtificate Modification	4842
4.8.1	Circumstance for Certificate Modification	4842
4.8.2	Who May Request Certificate Modification	4842
4.8.3	Processing Certificate Modification Requests	4843
4.8.4	Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber	4943
4.8.5	Conduct Constituting Acceptance of Modified Certificate	4943
4.8.6	Publication of the Modified Certificate by the CA	4943
4.8.7	Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities	4943
4.9 Ce	ertificate Revocation and Suspension	4943
4.9.1	Circumstances for Revocation	5044

4.9.2 Who Can Request Revocation	5044
4.9.3 Procedure for Revocation Request	51 <u>45</u>
4.9.4 Revocation Request Grace Period	51 45
4.9.5 Time within which CA must Process the Revocation Request	5145
4.9.6 Revocation Checking Requirements for Relying Parties	52 45
4.9.7 CRL Issuance Frequency	5246
4.9.8 Maximum Latency for CRLs	53 46
4.9.9 On-line Revocation/Status Checking Availability	54 47
4.9.10 On-line Revocation Checking Requirements	54 47
4.9.11 Other Forms of Revocation Advertisements Available	54 47
4.9.12 Special Requirements Related To Key Compromise	5547
4.9.13 Circumstances for Suspension	5547
4.9.14 Who Can Request Suspension	5548
4.9.15 Procedure for Suspension Request	55 48
4.9.16 Limits on Suspension Period	55 48
4.10 Certificate Status Services	55 48
4.10.1 Operational Characteristics	5548
4.10.2 Service Availability	5548
4.10.3 Optional Features	5648
4.11 End Of Subscription	5648
4.12 Key Escrow and Recovery	5648
4.12.1 Key Escrow and Recovery Policy and Practices	5648
4.12.2 Session Key Encapsulation and Recovery Policy and Practices	5648
5. Facility, Management, and Operational Controls	56 49
5.1 Physical Controls	56 49
5.1.1 Site Location and Construction	574 9
5.1.2 Physical Access	57 49
5.1.2.1 Physical Access for CA Equipment	57 49
5.1.2.2 Physical Access for RA Equipment	58 50
5.1.2.3 Physical Access for CSS Equipment	58 50
5.1.3 Power and Air Conditioning	58 50
5.1.4 Water Exposures	58 50
5.1.5 Fire Prevention and Protection	58 51
5.1.6 Media Storage	58 51

5.1.7	Waste Disposal	58 51
5.1.8	Off-Site Backup	59 51
5.2 Pr	ocedural Controls	59 51
5.2.1	Trusted Roles	59 51
5.2.2	Number of Persons Required per Task	59 52
5.2.3	Identification and Authentication for Each Role	60 52
5.2.4	Roles Requiring Separation of Duties	60 52
5.3 Pe	rsonnel Controls	60 52
5.3.1	Qualifications, Experience, and Clearance Requirements	60 52
5.3.2	Background Check Procedures	60 52
5.3.3	Training Requirements	61 53
5.3.4	Retraining Frequency and Requirements	61 53
5.3.5	Job Rotation Frequency and Sequence	61 53
5.3.6	Sanctions for Unauthorized Actions	61 53
5.3.7	Independent Contractor Requirements	61 54
5.3.8	Documentation Supplied to Personnel	62 54
<u>5.4 Au</u>	adit Logging Procedures	6254
5.4.1	Types of Events Recorded	6254
5.4.2	Frequency of Processing Log	65 57
5.4.3	Retention Period for Audit Log	66 58
5.4.4	Protection of Audit Log	66 58
5.4.5	Audit Log Backup Procedures	66 58
5.4.6	Audit Collection System (Internal vs. External)	66 58
5.4.7	Notification to Event-Causing Subject	66 58
5.4.8	Vulnerability Assessments	66 58
5.5 Re	ecords Archival	67 59
5.5.1	Types of Events Archived	67 59
5.5.2	Retention Period for Archive	68 60
5.5.3	Protection of Archive	68 60
5.5.4	Archive Backup Procedures	69 60
5.5.5	Requirements for Time-Stamping of Records	69 60
5.5.6	Archive Collection System (Internal or External)	69 60
5.5.7	Procedures to Obtain and Verify Archive Information	69 61
5.6 Ke	ev Changeover	69 61

5.7 Compromise and Disaster Recovery	70 61
5.7.1 Incident and Compromise Handling Procedures	70 61
5.7.2 Computing Resources, Software, and/or Data Are Corrupted	71 62
5.7.3 Entity (CA) Private Key Compromise Procedures	71 62
5.7.4 Business Continuity Capabilities after a Disaster	72 63
5.8 CA Termination	72 63
6. Technical Security Controls	73 64
6.1 Key Pair Generation and Installation	73 64
6.1.1 Key Pair Generation	73 64
6.1.1.1 CA Key Pair Generation	73 64
6.1.1.2 Subscriber Key Pair Generation	73 64
6.1.1.3 CSS Key Pair Generation	7464
6.1.1.4 PIV Content Signing Key Pair Generation	7464
6.1.2 Private Key Delivery to Subscriber	74 64
6.1.3 Public Key Delivery to Certificate Issuer	75 65
6.1.4 CA Public Key Delivery to Relying Parties	75 65
6.1.5 Key Sizes	76 65
6.1.6 Public Key Parameters Generation and Quality Checking	78 66
6.1.7 Key Usage Purposes (as per X.509 v3 Key Usage Field)	78 66
6.2 Private Key Protection and Cryptographic Module Engineering Controls	80 67
6.2.1 Cryptographic Module Standards and Controls	80 67
6.2.2 Private Key (n out of m) Multi-Person Control	82 69
6.2.3 Private Key Escrow	82 69
6.2.4 Private Key Backup	83 69
6.2.5 Private Key Archival	8470
6.2.6 Private Key Transfer into or from a Cryptographic Module	85 70
6.2.7 Private Key Storage on Cryptographic Module	85 70
6.2.8 Method of Activating Private Key	85 70
6.2.9 Method of Deactivating Private Key	87 71
6.2.10 Method of Destroying Private Key	87 72
6.2.11 Cryptographic Module Rating	87 72
6.3 Other Aspects of Key Pair Management	87 72
6.3.1 Public Key Archival	87 72
6.3.2 Certificate Operational Periods and Key Usage Periods	87 72

6.4 Activation Data	89 73
6.4.1 Activation Data Generation and Installation	89 73
6.4.2 Activation Data Protection	89 73
6.4.3 Other Aspects of Activation Data	89 73
6.5 Computer Security Controls	8974
6.5.1 Specific Computer Security Technical Requirements	8974
6.5.2 Computer Security Rating	91 75
6.6 Life Cycle Technical Controls	91 75
6.6.1 System Development Controls	91 75
6.6.2 Security Management Controls	92 75
6.6.3 Life Cycle Security Controls	92 76
6.7 Network Security Controls	92 76
6.8 Time-Stamping	93 76
7. Certificate, CRL, and OCSP Profiles	93 76
7.1 Certificate Profile	93 76
7.1.1 Version Number(s)	93 76
7.1.2 Certificate Extensions	93 77
7.1.3 Algorithm Object Identifiers	94 77
7.1.4 Name Forms	95 78
7.1.5 Name Constraints	95 78
7.1.6 Certificate Policy Object Identifier	96 78
7.1.7 Usage of Policy Constraints Extension	96 78
7.1.8 Policy Qualifiers Syntax and Semantics	97 79
7.1.9 Processing Semantics for the Critical Certificate Policies Extension	97 79
7.1.10 Inhibit Any Policy Extension	97 79
7.2 CRL Profile	97 79
7.2.1 Version Number(s)	97 79
7.2.2 CRL and CRL Entry Extensions	97 79
7.3 OCSP Profile	97 79
7.3.1 Version Number(s)	97 79
7.3.2 OCSP Extensions	97 79
8. Compliance Audit and Other Assessments	98 80
8.1 Frequency or Circumstances of Assessment	98 80
8.2 Identity/Oualifications of Assessor	98 80

8.3 Assessor's Relationship to Assessed Entity	99 80
8.4 Topics Covered by Assessment	99 81
8.5 Actions Taken as a Result of Deficiency	99 81
8.6 Communication of Results	10081
9. Other Business and Legal Matters	10082
9.1 Fees	10082
9.1.1 Certificate Issuance or Renewal Fees	100 82
9.1.2 Certificate Access Fees	10082
9.1.3 Revocation or Status Information Access Fees	10082
9.1.4 Fees for other Services	10082
9.1.5 Refund Policy	10082
9.2 Financial Responsibility	101 82
9.2.1 Insurance Coverage	101 82
9.2.2 Other Assets	101 82
9.2.3 Insurance or Warranty Coverage for End-Entities	101 82
9.3 Confidentiality of Business Information	101 82
9.3.1 Scope of Confidential Information	10182
9.3.2 Information not within the Scope of Confidential Information	10183
9.3.3 Responsibility to Protect Confidential Information	10183
9.4 Privacy of Personal Information	10283
9.4.1 Privacy Plan	102 83
9.4.2 Information Treated as Private	102 83
9.4.3 Information not Deemed Private	102 83
9.4.4 Responsibility to Protect Private Information	102 83
9.4.5 Notice and Consent to Use Private Information	10284
9.4.6 Disclosure Pursuant to Judicial or Administrative Process	10384
9.4.7 Other Information Disclosure Circumstances	10384
9.5 Intellectual Property Rights	10384
9.6 Representations and Warranties	10384
9.6.1 CA Representations and Warranties	10384
9.6.2 RA Representations and Warranties	10485
9.6.3 Subscriber Representations and Warranties	104 85
9.6.4 Relying Parties Representations and Warranties	10586
9.6.5 Representations and Warranties of Other Participants	105 86

9.7 Disclaimers of Warranties	105 86
9.8 Limitations of Liability	105 86
9.9 Indemnities	105 86
9.10 Term and Termination	105 86
9.10.1 Term	105 86
9.10.2 Termination	105 86
9.10.3 Effect of Termination and Survival	105 86
9.11 Individual Notices and Communications with Participants	105 86
9.12 Amendments	106 87
9.12.1 Procedure for Amendment	
9.12.2 Notification Mechanism and Period	106 87
9.12.3 Circumstances under which OID must be Changed	106 87
9.13 Dispute Resolution Provisions	106 87
9.14 Governing Law	106 87
9.15 Compliance with Applicable Law	106 87
9.16 Miscellaneous Provisions	107 87
9.16.1 Entire Agreement	107 87
9.16.2 Assignment	107 88
9.16.3 Severability	107 88
9.16.4 Enforcement (Attorneys' Fees and Waiver of Rights)	107 88
9.16.5 Force Majeure	107 88
9.17 Other Provisions	107 88
Appendix A: PIV and Common PIV Interoperable Comparison	109 89
Appendix B: References	112 91
Appendix C: Acronyms and Abbreviations	116 94
Appendix D: Glossary	118 96

1. Introduction

This certificate policy (CP) includes manythe following distinct certificate policies: a

- Three Personal Identity Verification (PIV) authentication policies;
- A PIV Interoperable (PIV-I) authentication policy for usersuse by federal agencies;
- A PIV Card Authentication policy;
- A PIV-I Card Authentication policy for use by federal agencies;
- A policy for devices that sign PIV data objects;
- A policy for federal devices that sign PIV-I data objects;
- A policy for Human Subscribers with software cryptographic modules,;
- A policy for users Human Subscribers with hardware cryptographic modules;
- A high assurance policy for Human Subscribers;
- A policy for devices with software cryptographic modules, and
- A policy for devices with hardware cryptographic modules, a policy for devices that sign PIV data objects, a high assurance user policy, three user authentication policies, and a card authentication policy.

In this document, the term "device" means a non-person entity, i.e., a hardware device or software application. Where a specific policy is not stated, the policies and procedures in this specification apply equally to all policies.

The use of SHA-1 to create digital signatures is not allowed under Common Policy after 12/31/2013.

The userCertificates intended for code signing are not covered by this policy.

Where a specific policy is not stated, the requirements in this specification apply equally to all policies.

<u>The Human Subscriber</u> policies apply to certificates issued to federal employees, contractors, and other affiliated personnel for the purposes of authentication, signature, and confidentiality. This CP was explicitly designed to support access to federal systems that have not been designated national security systems.

A <u>PKICertification Authority (CA)</u> that <u>usesoperates in accordance with</u> this CP will provide the following security management services:

- Key generation/storage
- Key escrow and recovery
- Certificate generation, modification, re-key, and distribution
- Certificate revocation list (CRL) generation and distribution
- Directory Repository management of certificate related items
- Certificate token initialization/programming/management

• System management functions (e.g., security audit, configuration management, archive.)

The user policies require Federal employees, contractors, and other affiliated personnel to use FIPS 140 validated cryptographic modules for cryptographic operations and the protection of trusted public keys. The device policy also requires use of FIPS 140 validated cryptographic modules for cryptographic operations and the protection of trusted public keys.

This policy does not presume any particular PKI architecture. The policy may be implemented through a hierarchical PKI, mesh PKI, or a single certification authority (CA).—Any CA that asserts this policythese policies in certificates must obtain prior approval from the Federal PKI Policy Authority.—CAs; approval is dependent upon a Certification Practices Statement (CPS) that clearly describes how each requirement in this CP is fulfilled, or, for Federal agencies that operate their own PKI, a comparable CP. For any section of this policy containing no stipulation, the CPS must indicate whether it is applicable, and if so, describe the associated practices. CAs operated by federal agencies that issue certificates under this policy may operate simultaneously under other policies. SuchCAs that operate simultaneously under this policy and under other policies must assert at least one policy in all issued certificates. CAs must not assert the OIDs in this policy in certificates unless they are issued in accordance with all the requirements of this policy.

This policy establishes requirements for the secure distribution of self-signed certificates for use as trust anchors. These constraints apply only to CAs that chose to distribute self-signed certificates, such as a hierarchical PKI's root CA.

This CP is consistent with request for comments (RFC) 3647, the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Public Key Infrastructure X.509 (IETF PKIX) Certificate Policy and Certification Practices Framework.

The root Certification Authority (CA) associated with the Common Policy Framework is the Federal Common Policy CA, operated by the Federal PKI Management Authority (FPKIMA).

This CP follows the RFC 3647 framework.

1.1. OVERVIEW

1.1.1. Certificate Policy (CP)

Certificates issued under this policy contain a registered certificate policy object identifier (OID), which may be used by a relying party to decide whether a certificate is trusted for a particular purpose. This CP applies only to CAs owned by or operated on behalf of the Federal Government that issue certificates according to this policy.

1.1.2. Relationship between the CP and the CPS

This CP states what assurance can be placed in a certificate the requirements for the issuance and management of certificates issued by the CA.CAs, and requirements for the operation of the CAs. The Certification Practice Statement (CPS) states how the CA-establishes that

assurance.(s) implement the requirements. Each CA that issues certificates under this CP shallmust have a corresponding approved CPS.

1.1.3. Scope

The scope of this U.S. Federal PKI Common Policy Framework CP includes the Certification Authorities used for issuing and managing certificates that are valid to the Federal Common Policy CA on behalf of federal executive branch agencies. This CP applies to certificates issued to CAs, devices, and federal employees, contractors and other affiliated personnel. This CP does not apply to include certificates issued to groups of people or intended to be shared.

Federal Government departments and agencies operate CAs that are intended to issue certificates for only locally trusted purposes. These CAs do not have a certification path to the Federal Common Policy CA and should not assert the policy OIDs defined in this CP.

1.1.4. Interoperation with CAs Issuing under Different Policies

Except for legacy Federal PKIs, interoperation with Government agency CAs that issue under different policies will be achieved through policy mapping and cross-certification through the Federal Bridge Certification Authority. Legacy Federal PKIs may perform policy mapping and cross-certification with either the Federal Common Policy Root CA or Federal Bridge Certification Authority CA at their discretion.

Note that Interoperability may also be achieved through other means, such as trust lists, to meet local requirements.

1.2. DOCUMENT NAME AND IDENTIFICATION

This CP provides substantial assurance concerning identity of certificate subjects. is the X.509 Certificate Policy for the U.S. Federal PKI Common Policy Framework.

Certificates issued in accordance with this CP and associated with the Federal Common Policy Root CA shallmust assert at least one of the following OIDs in the certificate policy extension:

id-fpki-common-policy	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 6}
id-fpki-common-hardware	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 7}
id-fpki-common-devices	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 8}
id-fpki-common-devicesHardware	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 36}
id-fpki-common-authentication	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 13}
id-fpki-common-high	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 16}
id-fpki-common-cardAuth	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 17}

Table 1 - id-fpki-common Policy OIDs

id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 39}
id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 40}
id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 41}
id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 45}
id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 46}
id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning	::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 47}

Certificates issued to CAs may contain a subset of these OIDs. Certificates issued to users, other than devices, to support digitally signed documents or key management may contain either idfpki common policy, id fpki common hardware, or id fpki common High. Subscriber certificates issued to devices under this policy that use FIPS 140 Level 2 or higher cryptographic modules shall include one or more of id-fpki-common-deviceHardware, or id-fpki-common-devices. Subscriber certificates issued to devices under this policy using software cryptographic modules shall include id-fpki-common-devices.

This document includes five policies specific to FIPS 201 Personal Identity Verification (PIV) of Federal Employees and Contractors. Certificates issued to users supporting authentication but not digital signature, where the corresponding private key is stored on a PIV Card, may contain idfpki common authentication. Certificates issued to users supporting authentication where the private key is stored on a PIV Card and can be used without user authentication may contain idfpki common cardAuth. Certificates issued to users, in accordance with NIST SP 800-157, supporting authentication, but not digital signature, where the corresponding private key is not stored on a PIV Card, may contain either id fpki common derived pivAuth hardware or id fpki common derived pivAuth as appropriate. The id fpki common piv contentSigning policy shall only be asserted in certificates issued to devices that sign PIV data objects in accordance with IFIPS 2011 or ISP 800-1571.

Certificates issued to users supporting authentication where the private key is stored on a Common PIV-I credential and requires user authentication shall contain id-fpki-common pivi-authentication. Certificates issued to users supporting authentication where the private key is stored on a Common PIV-I credential and can be used without user authentication shall contain id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth. The id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning policy shall only be asserted in certificates issued to devices that sign Common PIV-I credential data objects.

CA certificates may contain a subset of these OIDs.

Subscriber certificates must contain an appropriate policy OID as described in the following tables:

FIPS 201 Personal Identity Verification (PIV) Human Subscriber Certificates

Certificates asserting the following policies are issued to Human Subscribers and are limited to use with PIV credentials by FIPS 201.

PIV Authentication certificate with the private key on a PIV credential	id-fpki-common-authentication
Derived PIV Authentication certificate issued in accordance with NIST SP 800-157 where the private key is not on a PIV credential	id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware or id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth as appropriate

Additional Human Subscriber Certificates

Digital signature certificate with the private key on a PIV credential	id-fpki-common-hardware or id-fpki- common-high
Digital signature certificate with the private key not on a PIV credential	id-fpki-common-policy, id-fpki-common-hardware, or id-fpki-common-high
Key Management certificate, whether or not on a PIV credential	id-fpki-common-policy, id-fpki-common-hardware, or id-fpki- common-high
Common PIV-I Authentication certificate with the private key on a federally-issued PIV-I credential	id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication
Other authentication certificate	id-fpki-common-policy, id-fpki-common-hardware, or id-fpki-common-high

The requirements associated with id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication and id-fpki common pivi-cardAuth-are identical to id-fpki-common-authentication-and id-fpki-common cardAuth, respectively, with the exception of the need for a National Agency Check with Inquiries (NACI) and associated favorable adjudication. See Appendix A for additional comparisons, see Appendix A between PIV and Common PIV-I credentials.

FIPS 201 Personal Identity Verification (PIV) Device Subscriber Certificates

<u>Certificates asserting the following policies are issued to Device Subscribers and are limited to use with PIV credentials by FIPS 201.</u>

Card Authentication certificate with the	id-fpki-common-cardAuth
private key on a PIV credential	

Content Signing certificate used to sign PIV data objects in accordance with [FIPS 201] or [SP 800-157]	id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning
---	-----------------------------------

The requirements associated with id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning and id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning are identical to id-fpki-common-devicesHardware except where specifically noted in the text.

Additional Device Subscriber Certificates

Certificates asserting the following policies may be issued to devices or software systems.

FIPS 140 Level 2 or higher hardware cryptographic modules	id-fpki-common-deviceHardware
FIPS 140 Level 1 or higher cryptographic modules	<u>id-fpki-common-devices</u>
Common PIV-I Card Authentication certificate with the private key on a federally-issued PIV-I credential	id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth
Common PIV-I Content Signing certificate used to sign federally-issued PIV-I data objects in accordance with [SP 800-157]	id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning

The requirements associated with id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth are identical to id-fpki-common-cardAuth, with the exception of the need for a NACI and associated favorable adjudication.

The requirements associated with id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning and are identical to id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning, except where specifically noted in the text.

1.3. PKI PARTICIPANTS

The following are roles relevant to the administration and operation of CAs under this policy:

1.3.1. PKI Authorities

1.3.1.1. Federal Chief Information Officers Council

The Federal Chief Information Officer (CIO) Council comprises the Chief Information Officers of all cabinet level departments and other independent agencies. The Federal CIO Council has established the framework for the interoperable Federal PKI (FPKI) and oversees the operation

of the organizations responsible for governing and promoting its use. In particular, this CP was established under the authority of and with the approval of the Federal CIO Council.

1.3.1.2. Federal PKI Policy Authority (FPKIPA)

The Federal Public Key Infrastructure Policy Authority (FPKIPA) is a groupsub-council comprised of U.S. Federal Government Agencies (including cabinet-level Departments) agency representatives and is chartered byunder the Federal Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) Council, under the Federal CIO Council. The FPKIPA owns this certificate policy and represents the interest of the Federal CIOs.—and Federal CISOs.

The FPKIPA is responsible for:

- Maintaining this CP,
- Approving the CPS for each CA that issues certificates under this policy,
- Approving the compliance audit report for each CA issuing certificates under this policy, and
- Ensuring continued conformance of each CA that issues certificates under this policy with applicable requirements as a condition for allowing continued participation.

1.3.1.3. FPKI Management Authority (FPKIMA)

The FPKIMA is the <u>organizationgovernment program</u> that operates and maintains the <u>Common Policy Root CAsFederal PKI operational environment</u> on behalf of the U.S. Government, <u>subject to the direction of the FPKIPA</u>.

1.3.1.4. FPKI Management Authority Program Manager

The Program Manager is the individual within the FPKIMA who has principal responsibility for overseeing the proper operation of the Federal Common Policy Root CAsCA, including the required repository, and selecting the FPKIMA staff. The Program Manager is selected by the FPKIMA and reports to the FPKIMA. The FPKIMA Program Manager must hold a Top Secret For additional personnel security elearance.controls associated with this role see Section 5.3.1.

1.3.1.5. Policy Management Authority (PMA)

Each-A PMA is an individual or group established by an organization that provides PKI services under this policy shall identify an individual or group that is responsible for maintaining the Shared Service Provider's (SSP) CPS and for or agency for the purpose of ensuring that all SSP PKI components (e.g., CAs, CSSs, CMSs, RAs) are operated in compliance with the SSPan appropriate CPS and this CP. This body is referred to as the SSP PMA within this CP. Agencies that contract for the services of All organizations and agencies operating a CAPKI under this policy, shall must establish a management body to manage any agency operated components (e.g., RAs or repositories) and resolve name space collisions. This body shall be referred to as an Agency Policy Management Authority, or Agency PMA.

An SSP PMA shall be responsible for notifying its customer Agency PMAs and the FPKIPA of any change to the infrastructure that has the potential to affect the FPKI operational environment

at least two weeks prior to implementation; all new artifacts (CA certificates, CRL DP, AIA and/or SIA URLs, etc.) produced as a result of the change shall be provided to the FPKIPA within 24 hours following implementation.

An Agency. The PMA is responsible for ensuring that all Agency operated PKI components (e.g., CAs, CSSs, CMSs, RAs) are operated in compliance with this CP and the applicable CPS and shallmust identify an individual to serve as the liaison for that organization or agency to the FPKIPA and the SSP PMA.

1.3.2. Certification Authority Authorities

The CA is the collection of hardware, software and operating personnel that create, sign, and issue public key certificates to Subscribers. The CA is responsible for the issuing and managing certificates including:

- The certificate manufacturing process
- Publication of certificates
- Revocation of certificates
- Generation and destruction of CA signing keys
- Ensuring that all aspects of the CA services, operations, and infrastructure related to certificates issued under this CP are performed in accordance with the requirements, representations, and warranties of this CP.

1.3.2.1. Certificate Status Servers

PKIs may optionally include an authority that provides status information about certificates on behalf of a CA through on line transactions. In particular, PKIs may include OCSP responders to provide on-line status information. Such an authority is termed a certificate status server (CSS). Where the CSS is identified in certificates as an authoritative source for revocation information, the operations of that authority are considered within the scope of this CP. A Certificate Status Server (CSS) shall assert all the policy OIDs for which it is authoritative. Examples include OCSP servers that are identified in the authority information access (AIA) extension. OCSP servers that are locally trusted, as described in [RFC 6960], are not covered by this policy.

1.3.3. Registration Authorities

The registration authorities (RAs) A Registration Authority (RA) is an entity authorized by the CA to collect and, verify each subscriber's identity, and submit information provided by potential Subscribers for the purpose of issuing public key certificates. The term RA refers to hardware, software, and individuals that is to be entered into the subscriber's public key certificate. The RA performs its may collectively perform this function. Individuals fulfilling the RA function are acting in accordance with a CPS approved by the FPKIPA. Trusted Role. The RA is responsible for:

- Control over the registration process.
- The identification and authentication process.

1.3.4. Trusted Agents

The A Trusted Agent is a person who satisfies all the trustworthiness requirements for an RA and who performs identity proofing as a proxy for the RA. The A Trusted Agent records information from and verifies biometrics (e.g., photographs) on presented credentials for Applicants who cannot appear in person at an RA. The CPS will identify the parties responsible for providing such services, and the mechanisms for determining their trustworthiness.

1.3.5.1.3.4. Subscribers

A Subscriber is the entity whose name appears as the subject in a certificate. The subscriber asserts that he or she uses the keyFor this CP and certificate in accordance with the certificate policy asserted in the certificate, and does not issueall certificates. For this policy issued, Subscribers are limited to federal employees, contractors, affiliated personnel, and devices operated by or on behalf of federal agencies. CAs are sometimes technically considered "subscribers" in a PKI. However, The term "Subscriber" as used in this document refers only to those who request certificates for uses other than signing and issuing certificates or certificate status information. A Subscriber may be referred to as an "Applicant" after applying for a certificate, but before the certificate issuance procedure is completed.

There is a subset of Human Subscribers who will be issued role-based certificates. These certificates will identify a specific role on behalf of which the Subscriber is authorized to act rather than the Subscriber's name-and. These certificates are issued in the interest of supporting accepted business practices. The role-based certificate can be used in situations where non-repudiation is desired. Normally, it will be issued in addition to an individual Subscriber certificate. A specific role may be identified in certificates issued to multiple Subscribers; however, the key pair will be unique to each individual role-based certificate-(i.e., For example, there may be four individuals earryingwith a certificate issued in the role of "Secretary of Commerce". However, each of the four individual certificates will earryhave unique keys and certificate identifiers) serial numbers. Roles for which role-based certificates may be issued are limited to those that are held by a unique individual within an organization (e.g. Chief Information Officer, GSA is a unique individual whereas Program Analyst, GSA is not).

Practice Note: When determining whether a role based certificate is authorized, consider whether the role carries inherent authority beyond the job title. Role based certificates may also be used for individuals on temporary assignment, where the temporary assignment carries an authority not shared by the individuals in their usual occupation, for example: "Watch Commander, Task Force 1".

Practice Note: When determining whether a role-based certificate is authorized, consider whether the role carries inherent authority beyond the job title. Role-based certificates may also be used for individuals on temporary assignment, where the temporary assignment carries an authority not shared by the individuals in their usual occupation, for example: "Watch Commander, Task Force 1".

1.3.6.1.3.5. Relying Parties

A relying party is the entity that relies on the validity of the binding of the Subscriber's name identity to a public key. The relying party is responsible for deciding whether or how to check the validity of the certificate by checking the appropriate certificate status information. The relying party can use the certificate to verify the integrity of a digitally signed message, to identify the creator of a message, or to establish confidential communications with the holder of the certificate sprivate key. A relying party may use information in the certificate (such as CPcertificate policy identifiers, key usage, or extended key usage) to determine the suitability of the certificate for a particular use its appropriate usage.

For this certificate policy, the relying party may be any entity that wishes to validate the binding of a public key to the name (or role) of a federal employee, contractor, or other affiliated personnel of a Subscriber.

1.3.7.1.3.6. Other Participants

The CAs and RAs operating under this CP may require the services of other security, community, and application authorities, such as compliance auditors and attribute authorities. The CPS will identify the parties responsible for providing such services, and the mechanisms used to support these services.

Participating agencies that do not operate a PKI directly must identify one or more Agency Points of Contact (POC) as liaisons to the issuing PKI and the FPKIPA.

1.4. CERTIFICATE USAGE

1.4.1. Appropriate Certificate Uses

The sensitivity of the information processed or protected using certificates issued by the CA will vary significantly. Organizations must evaluate the environment and the associated threats and vulnerabilities and determine the level of risk they are willing to accept based on the sensitivity or significance of the information. This evaluation is done by each organization for each application and is not controlled by this CP.

This CP is intended to support the use of validated public keys to access Federal systems that have not been designated national security systems. While a validated public key is not generally sufficient to grant access the key may be sufficient when supplemented by appropriate authorization mechanisms. CredentialsCertificates issued under this CP may be used for authentication to Federal systems.

<u>Certificates</u> issued under this CP may also be used for key <u>establishment</u>. <u>management</u>, <u>signature</u>, and <u>confidentiality</u> requirements for Federal Government processes.

This policy is intended to support applications use cases involving unclassified information, which can include sensitive unclassified data protected pursuant to federal statutes and regulations.

Credentials issued under the id-fpki-common-policy and id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth policies are intended to meet the requirements for Level 3 authentication, as defined by the OMB

E-Authentication Guidance. [E-Auth] Credentials issued under the id-fpki-common-hardware, id-fpki-common-authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth hardware, and id fpki-common High policies meet the requirements for Level 4 authentication, as defined by the OMB-E-Authentication Guidance. [E-Auth]

Credentials issued under the id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning or id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning policy are intended to meet the requirements in FIPS 201 and SP 800-157 as the digital signatory of the PIV Card Holder Unique IDentifier (CHUID) and associated PIV data objects.

In addition, this policy may support signature and confidentiality requirements for Federal government processes.

Agencies make risk-informed decisions when using certificates to manage the identities of federal systems and users by evaluating the environment, associated threats, and vulnerabilities in determining the level of risk they are willing to accept based on the sensitivity or significance of the information. This evaluation is done by agencies for each application and is not controlled by this CP.

1.4.2. Prohibited Certificate Uses

Certificates that assert id-fpki-common-cardAuth or id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth shallmust only be used to authenticate the hardware token containing the associated private key and shallmust not be interpreted as authenticating the presenter or holder of the token.

Certificates intended for code signing are not permitted under this policy.

1.5. POLICY ADMINISTRATION

1.5.1. Organization Administering the Document

The FPKIPA is responsible for all aspects of this CP.

1.5.2. Contact Person

Questions regarding this CP shall be directed to the Chair of the Federal PKI Policy Authority, whose address can be found at http://www.idmanagement.gov/fpkipa

Contact information for the support and co-chairs for the FPKIPA is fpki@gsa.gov.

1.5.3. Person Determining CPS Suitability for the Policy

The FPKIPA shallmust approve the CPS for each CA that issues certificates under this policy.

1.5.4. CPS Approval Procedures

CAs issuing under this <u>policyCP</u> are required to meet all <u>facets of the policy-requirements</u>. The FPKIPA will not issue waivers.

The FPKIPA shall make makes the determination that a CPS complies with this policy. The CA and RA must meet all requirements of operate under an approved CPS before commencing operations. RA practices are documented in the CPS or an associated Registration Practices

<u>Statement (RPS).</u> In some cases, the FPKIPA may require the additional approval of an authorized agency. The FPKIPA will make this determination based on the nature of the system function, the type of communications, or the operating environment.

In each case, the determination of suitability shall be based on process must include an independent compliance auditor's results and recommendations. See Section 8 for further details.

1.6. DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

See sections 11 Appendix B and 12 Appendix C.

2. Publication and Repository Responsibilities

2.1. Repositories

All CAs that issue certificates under this policy are obligated to post all CA certificates issued by or to the CA and CRLs issued by the CA in a repository that is publicly accessible through all Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) references asserted in valid certificates issued by that CA. Specific requirements are found in *Shared Service Provider Repository Service Requirements* [SSP REP]. CAs may optionally post subscriber certificates in this repository in accordance with agency policy, except as noted in section 9.4.3. To promote consistent access to certificates and CRLs, the repository shall implement access controls and communication mechanisms to prevent unauthorized modification or deletion of information.

Posted certificates and CRLs may be replicated in additional repositories for performance enhancement. Such repositories may be operated by the CA or other parties (e.g., Federal agencies).

2.2.1.1. Publication of Certification Information

2.2.1.1.1.1. Publication of Certificates and Certificate Status

The publicly accessible repository system shallmust be designed and implemented so as to provide 99% availability overall and limit scheduled down-time to 0.5% annually. Where applicable, the certificate status server (CSS) shall be designed and implemented so as to provide 99% availability overall and limit scheduled down time to 0.5% annually.

2.2. Publication of Certification Information

2.2.1. Publication of Certificates and Certificate Status

All CAs that issue CA certificates must publish all CA certificates it issues in a file available via a publicly accessible HTTP URI. This URI must be asserted in the Subject Information Access (SIA) extension in all valid certificates issued to the CA. The file must be a certs-only Cryptographic Message Syntax file that has an extension of .p7c.

With the exception of self-signed certificates, all CA certificates must be published by the Subject CA in a file available via a publicly accessible HTTP URI. This URI must be asserted in

the Authority Information Access (AIA) extension in all valid certificates issued by the Subject CA. The file must be:

- a certs-only Cryptographic Message Syntax file that has an extension of .p7c, or
- a single DER encoded certificate that has an extension of .cer

The certs-only Cryptographic Message Syntax format is preferred as it allows flexibility for inclusion of multiple certificates.

All CAs that issue certificates under this policy must publish the latest CRL covering all unexpired certificates via a publicly accessible HTTP URI until such time as all issued certificates have expired. This URI must be asserted in the CRL distribution point extension of all certificates issued by that CA, with the exception of OCSP responder certificates that include the id-pkix-ocsp-nocheck extension.

A Certificate Status Server (CSS) provides status information about certificates on behalf of a CA through on-line transactions.

CAs must include a CSS in the form of a delegated Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) service, as described in [RFC 6960], to provide on-line status information for Subscriber certificates via a publicly accessible HTTP URI in the AIA extension. The operations of the OCSP service are within the scope of this CP.

Pre-generated OCSP responses may be created by the CSS and distributed to OCSP servers.

OCSP responses, like CRLs, are publicly distributable data. OCSP servers that lack OCSP response signing capability have the same security requirements as a repository hosting CRLs.

OCSP services that are locally trusted, as described in [RFC 6960], are not covered by this policy.

All certificates must contain only valid Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs) that are publicly accessible by relying parties.

2.2.2. Publication of CA Information

The Common Policy This CP shallmust be publicly available on the FPKIPA website (see https://www.idmanagement.gov/. A redacted version of https://www.idmanagement.gov/.

The CPS <u>and annual PKI Compliance Audit Letter</u> for the Federal Common Policy Root CAs <u>will beCA are</u> publicly available <u>from the FPKIMA website (Seeon http://www.idmanagement.gov/)</u>. <u>https://www.idmanagement.gov/</u>.

Other CAs operating under this policy shallshould make available a redacted CPS and annual PKI Compliance Audit Letter in their organization's public repository.

2.2.3. Interoperability

Where certificates and CRLs are published in directories, standards-based schemas for directory objects and attributes shall be used as specified in the *Shared Service Provider Repository Service Requirements* [SSP-REP].

2.3. TIME OR FREQUENCY OF PUBLICATION

This CP and any subsequent changes shallmust be made publicly available within thirty (30) days of approval.

Publication requirements for CRLs are provided in Sections 4.9.7 and 4.9.12.

2.4. Access Controls on Repositories

The CA shall protectRepositories hosting CA certificates, CRLs, and pre-generated OCSP responses (if implemented) must be publicly accessible. Information not intended for modification or public dissemination or modification. CA certificates and CRLs in the repository shall be publicly available through the Internet. Direct and/or remote access to other information in the CA repositories shallmust be determined by agencies pursuant to their authorizing and controlling statutes. Theprotected.

<u>Each</u> CPS <u>shallmust</u> detail what information in the repository <u>shall beis</u> exempt from automatic availability and to whom, and under which conditions the restricted information may be made available.

<u>Posted certificates, CRLs, and pre-generated OCSP responses may be replicated in additional repositories for performance enhancement.</u>

3. IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION

3.1. NAMING

3.1.1. Types of Names

For certificates issued under id fpki common policy, id fpki common hardware, id fpki common High, id-fpki-common devices This CP establishes requirements for both subject distinguished names and id-fpki-common devicesHardware subject alternative names.

3.1.1.1. Subject Names

The CA shallmust assign X.501 distinguished names to all subscribers. Subscriber certificates. These distinguished names are comprised of a base distinguished name (Base DN) and additional relative distinguished names (RDNs). Base DNs may be in either of two forms: a geo-political name or an Internet domain component name.

All geo-political distinguished names assigned to federal employees shall be in<u>must use one of</u> the following directory information treeBase DNs:

- C=US, o=U.S. Government, {ou=department}, {ou=agency}, [ou=structural_container]
- C=US, o=U.S. Government, ou=department, [ou=structural_container]
- C=US, o=U.S. Government, ou=agency, [ou=structural container]

The organizational units department and agency appear when applicable and are used to specify the federal entity that employs the subscriber. Human Subscriber or owns the device. At least one of these organizational units must appear in the DN.

<u>Distinguished names based on Internet domain component names must use the following Base</u> DN:

• dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [o=organization], [ou=structural_container]

At a minimum, the org0 domain component must appear in the Base DN. The org1 to orgN domain components appear, in order, when applicable, and are used to specify the federal entity that employs the Human Subscriber or owns the device.

The additional organizational unit *structural_container* in either the geo-political or Internet domain Base DN form is permitted to support local directory requirements, such as differentiation between Human Subscribers and devices. Device Subscribers. This organizational unit may not be employed to further differentiate between subcomponents within an agency.

The distinguished name of the federal employee subscriber shall take Human Subscriber must include a common name (CN) using one of the three-following formsformats:

- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], Base DN, CN=nickname lastname
- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], Base DN, CN=firstname initial. lastname
- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], Base DN, CN=firstname initial lastname
- Base DN, CN=firstname middlename lastname
- Base DN, CN=lastname.firstname.middlename

In the first <u>common</u> name <u>formformat</u>, nickname may be the <u>Human</u> Subscriber's first name, a form of the first name, middle name, or pseudonym (e.g., Buck) by which the Subscriber is generally known. A generational qualifier, such as "Sr." or "III", <u>or agency specific identifiers (e.g., CN=Giants.John.Gregory.1234567890)</u> may be appended to any of the common name <u>forms</u>formats specified above.

Additional certificate qualifiers may be appended to the common name in order to provide additional context to the certificate's intended usage. The qualifier must be preceded by a space followed by a hyphen (e.g., CN=John G. Giants -ENC).

Distinguished names assigned to federal contractors and other affiliated persons shall be within the same directory information tree. The distinguished name of the federal contractor subscribers and affiliate subscribers will takenust follow one of the three following name forms:

- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], en=nickname lastname (affiliate)
- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname initial. lastname (affiliate)

 C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], en=firstname middlename lastname (affiliate)

For names assigned to federal contractors and other affiliated persons, generational qualifiers may be inserted between *lastname* and "(affiliate)".

Common name fields shall be populated as specified identified above, with (affiliate) appended to the end of the common name (e.g., CN=John G. Giants (affiliate)).

Distinguished names based on Internet domain component names shall be in the following directory information trees:

- de=gov, de=org0, [de=org1], ..., [de=orgN], [ou=structural_container]
- de=mil, de=org0, [de=org1], ..., [de=orgN], [ou=structural_container]

The default Internet domain name for the agency, [orgN.]...[org0].gov or [orgN.]...[org0].mil, will be used to determine DNs. The first domain component of the DN will either be dc=gov or dc=mil. At a minimum, the org0 domain component must appear in the DN. The org1 to orgN domain components appear, in order, when applicable and are used to specify the federal entity that employs the subscriber.

The distinguished name of the federal employee subscriber shall take one of the three following forms when their agency's Internet domain name ends in .gov:

- de=gov, de=org0, [de=org1], ..., [de=orgN], [ou=structural_container], en=nickname lastname
- dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname initial. lastname
- dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], en=firstname middlename lastname

The distinguished name of the federal contractors and affiliated subscribers shall take one of the three following forms when the agency's Internet domain name ends in .gov:

- de=gov, de=org0, [de=org1], ..., [de=orgN], [ou=structural_container], en=nickname lastname (affiliate)
- dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname initial. lastname (affiliate)
- dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname middlename lastname (affiliate)

The distinguished name of the federal employee subscriber shall take one of the three following forms when their agency's Internet domain name ends in .mil:

- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=nickname lastname
- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname initial. lastname
- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname middlename lastname

The distinguished name of the federal contractors and affiliated subscribers shall take one of the three following forms when the agency's Internet domain name ends in .mil:

• de=mil, de=org0, [de=org1], ..., [de=orgN], [ou=structural_container], en=nickname lastname (affiliate)

- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname initial. lastname (affiliate)
- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], cn=firstname middlename lastname (affiliate)

The CA may supplement any of the <u>distinguished</u> name forms for <u>usersHuman Subscribers</u> specified in this section by including a dnQualifier, serial number, or user id <u>attribute</u>. When any of these <u>attributes</u> are included, they may appear:

- as part of a multi-valued relative distinguished name (RDN) with the common name, or
- as a distinct RDN that follows the RDN containing the common name attribute.
 Generational qualifiers may optionally be included in common name attributes in
 distinguished names based on Internet domain names. For names assigned to employees,
 generational qualifiers may be appended to the common name. For names assigned to
 federal contractors and other affiliated persons, generational qualifiers may be inserted
 between lastname and "(affiliate)".

Role-based signature certificates may be issued under id-fpki-common-hardware or id-fpki-common-high may be issued with a (see Section 1.3.4). For these certificates, the common name that specifies an organizational the role, such as the head of an agency, as follows:

- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], CN=role [, department/agency]
- de=gov, de=..., [ou=structural_container], en=role [, department/agency]

The combination of organizational role and agency must unambiguously identify a single person. (That is, widely held roles such as *Computer Scientist* or *Procurement Specialist* cannot be included since they do not identify a particular person. *Chief Information Officer*, *AgencyX* could be included as it specifies a role held by a single person.)

Where the [department/agency] is implicit in the role (e.g., Secretary of Commerce), it should be omitted. Where the role alone is ambiguous (e.g., Chief Information Officer) the department/agency must be present in the common name. The organizational information in the common name must match that in the organizational unit attributes.

Practice Note: In the case of "Chief Information Officer", use of department/agency in the common name is redundant but is included for usability purposes. Display of the common name is widely supported in applications. Other attributes may or may not be presented to users.

Devices that are the

Practice Note: In the case of "Chief Information Officer", use of department/agency in the common name is redundant but is included for usability purposes. Display of the common name is widely supported in applications. Other attributes may or may not be presented to users.

Device Subscriber distinguished names must take the following form:

• Base DN, CN=device name

where device name is a descriptive name for the device.

When id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning or id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning is asserted, the certificate's subject of distinguished name must indicate the organization administering the credential issuance system.

When id-fpki-common-cardAuth is asserted, the certificate's subject distinguished name must take one of the following forms:

- Base DN, serialNumber=FASC-N
- Base DN, serialNumber=UUID

When id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth is asserted, the certificate's subject distinguished name must take the following form:

• Base DN, serialNumber=UUID

This CP does not restrict the subject distinguished names of CA certificates issued under this policy shall be assigned and Delegated OCSP Responder certificates. However, CA certificates and Delegated OCSP Responder certificates must have subject distinguished names. CA and Delegated OCSP Responder certificate distinguished names may be either a geo—political name or an Internet domain component name. Geo-political distinguished names must be composed of any combination of the following attributes: country; organization; organizational unit; and common name. Internet domain component names are composed of the following attributes: domain component; organizational unit; and common name. Device names shall take one of the following forms:

- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], cn=device name
- dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], [cn=device name]
- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], [cn=device name]

where device name is a descriptive name for the device. Where CA subject distinguished names may or may not include a device is fully described by the Internet domain name common name, for example:

Base DN, OU=Certification Authorities, OU=Agency CA

<u>If included</u>, the common name <u>attribute is optional</u><u>in the CA certificates should describe the issuer, such as:</u>

Base DN, OU=Certification Authorities, CN=AgencyX CA-3

3.1.1.2. Subject Alternative Names

<u>Certificates issued under id-fpki-common-authentication or id-fpki-common-cardAuth must include a subject alternative name extension. The subject alternative name extension must include both:</u>

- the pivFASC-N name type [FIPS 201], the value of which must be the FASC-N [PACS] of the subject's PIV credential; and
- a UUID encoded as a URI as specified in Section 3 of [RFC 4122].

<u>Certificates issued under id-fpki-common-cardAuth must not include any other name in the subject alternative name extension.</u>

<u>Certificates issued under id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware and id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth must include a subject alternative name extension that includes:</u>

- a UUID encoded as a URI as specified in Section 3 of [RFC 4122].
- for derived PIV, UUID is unique per certificate

Certificates issued under id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth must not include any other name in the subject alternative name extension.

Subscriber certificates that contain id-kp-emailProtection in the EKU must include a subject alternative name extension that includes a rfc822Name.

For <u>Device Subscriber</u> certificates that assert serverAuth in the <u>EKUExtended Key Usage</u>:

- A subject alternative name of type dNSName must be included.
- Wildcard domain names are permitted in the dNSName values only if all sub-domains covered by the wildcard fall within the same application, cloud service, or system accreditation boundary within the scope of the sponsoring agency.
- Wildcards shallmust not be used in subdomains that host more than one distinct application platform. The use of third-level agency wildcards, (e.g., *.[agency].gov), shallmust be prohibited to reduce the likelihood that a certificate will overlap multiple systems or services. Third level wildcards are permitted for DNS namesdNSName dedicated to a specific application (e.g., *.[application_name].gov).
- Before <u>issuingrequesting</u> a serverAuth certificate containing a wildcard, the <u>CA shall</u> ensure the sponsoring agency <u>has a documented procedure for determiningmust provide</u> evidence to the <u>issuing CA</u> that the scope of the certificate does not now and will not infringe on other agency applications.

This policy does not restrict the directory information tree for names of CAs and CSSs. However, CAs that issue certificates under this policy must have distinguished names. CA and CSS distinguished names may be either a geo-political name or an Internet domain component name.

CA and CSS geo-political distinguished names shall be composed of any combination of the following attributes: country; organization; organizational unit; and common name.

Internet domain component names are composed of the following attributes: domain component; organizational unit; and common name.

The Common PIV Content Signing certificate's subject DN shall indicate the organization administering the PIV card issuance system or device according to types of names for devices.

Certificates issued under id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware and id-fpki-common-derived pivAuth shall include a non-empty subject DN and shall also include a subject alternative name extension that includes a UUID, which shall be encoded as a URI as specified in Section 3 of [RFC 4122]. A unique UUID shall be created for each certificate issued under one of these policies. For certificates issued under this policy by a CA operating as part of the Shared Service Providers program, subject distinguished names shall follow either the rules specified above for id-fpki-common-hardware or the rules specified below for including a non-NULL subject DN with a UUID in id-fpki-common cardAuth. For legacy Federal PKIs only, distinguished names may follow established agency naming conventions.

For certificates issued under id-fpki-common-authentication or id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, assignment of X.500 distinguished names is mandatory. For certificates issued under this policy by a CA operating as part of the Shared Service Providers program, distinguished names shall follow either the rules specified above for id-fpki-common hardware or the rules specified below for including a non NULL subject DN in id-fpki-common-cardAuth. For legacy Federal PKIs only, distinguished names may follow established agency naming conventions. Certificates issued under id-fpki-common-authentication or id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication shall include a subject alternative name.

For certificates asserting id-fpki common authentication, at a minimum, the subject alternative name extension shall include:

- 1) the pivFASC-N name type [FIPS 201]. The value for this name shall be the FASC-N [PACS] of the subject's PIV card
- 2) The UUID [RFC 4122]

For certificates asserting id fpki common pivi authentication, at a minimum, the subject alternative name extension shall include:

1) The UUID [RFC 4122]

Certificates issued under id-fpki common cardAuth shall include a subject alternative name extension that includes the pivFASC N name type. The value for this name shall be the FASC N of the subject's PIV card. Certificates issued under id-fpki common cardAuth shall also include a UUID [RFC 4122] in the subject alternative name extension, as specified in Section 3.3 of [SP 800-73-3(1)]. Certificates issued under id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth shall only include a UUID [RFC 4122] in the subject alternative name extension. Certificates issued under id-fpki-common cardAuth or id-fpki common pivi-cardAuth shall not include any other name in the subject alternative name extension but may include a non-NULL name in the subject field. If

included, the subject distinguished name shall take one of the following forms: PIV Examples:

- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], serialNumber=FASC N
- dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], serialNumber=FASC N
- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], serialNumber=FASC N

PIV or PIV-I Examples:

- C=US, o=U.S. Government, [ou=department], [ou=agency], [ou=structural_container], serialNumber=UUID
- •—dc=gov, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], serialNumber=UUID
- dc=mil, dc=org0, [dc=org1], ..., [dc=orgN], [ou=structural_container], serialNumber=UUID

Practice Note: The FASC N [PACS] consists of 40 decimal digits that are encoded as a 25-byte binary value. This 25-byte binary value may be encoded directly into the pivFASC-N name type in the subject alternative name extension, but when included in the subject field the FASC N must be encoded as a PrintableString that is at most 64 characters long. This policy does not mandate any particular method for encoding the FASC N within the serial number attribute as long as the same encoding method is used for all certificates issued by a CA. Acceptable methods for encoding the FASC N within the serial number attribute include encoding the 25-byte binary value as 50 bytes of ASCII HEX or encoding the 40 decimal digits as 40 bytes of ASCII decimal.

Practice Note: When the UUID appears in the subjectAltName extension of a certificate, it must be encoded as a uniformResourceIdentifier as specified in Section 3 of [RFC 4122]. An example of a UUID encoded as a URI, from RFC 4122, is "urn:uuid:f81d4fae 7dec-11d0 a765 00a0c91e6bf6". This policy does not mandate any particular method for encoding the UUID within the serial number attribute as long as the same encoding method is used for all certificates issued by the CA and it is encoded as a PrintableString that is at most 64 characters long, however, it is recommended that the string representation from Section 3 of [RFC 4122] be used. An example would be "f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6".

Section 3.1 Practice Note: The FASC-N [PACS] consists of 40 decimal digits that are encoded as a 25-byte binary value. This 25-byte binary value may be encoded directly into the pivFASC-N name type in the subject alternative name extension, but when included in the subject distinguished name the FASC-N must be encoded as a PrintableString that is at most 64 characters long. This policy does not mandate any particular method for encoding the FASC-N within the serial number attribute as long as the same encoding method is used for all certificates issued by a CA. Acceptable methods for encoding the FASC-N within the serial number attribute include encoding the 25-byte binary value as 50 bytes of ASCII HEX or encoding the 40

decimal digits as 40 bytes of ASCII decimal.

Section 3.1 Practice Note: When the UUID appears in the subject alternative name extension of a certificate, it must be encoded as a uniformResourceIdentifier as specified in Section 3 of [RFC 4122]. An example of a UUID encoded as a URI, from RFC 4122, is "urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6". This policy does not mandate any particular method for encoding the UUID within the serial number attribute as long as the same encoding method is used for all certificates issued by the CA and it is encoded as a PrintableString that is at most 64 characters long. However, it is recommended that the string representation from Section 3 of [RFC 4122] be used. An example would be "f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6".

3.1.2. Need for Names to Be Meaningful

The Subscriber certificates issued pursuant to this CP are meaningful only if the names that appear in the certificates can be understood and used by relying parties. Names used in the certificates must identify in a meaningful way the Subscriber to which they are assigned.

The common name in the <u>DNdistinguished name</u> must represent the Subscriber in a way that is easily understandable for humans. For <u>peopleHuman Subscribers</u>, this will typically be a legal name, so the <u>preferred common name form is</u>

en=firstname initial. lastname

While the issuer name in CA certificates is not generally interpreted by relying parties, this CP still requires use of meaningful names by CAs issuing under this policy. If included, the common name should describe the issuer, such as:

cn=AgencyX CA see Section 3.1.1.

The subject name in CA certificates must match the issuer name in certificates issued by the subject, as required by [RFC 5280-].

3.1.3. Anonymity or Pseudonymity of Subscribers

The A CA shall must not issue anonymous certificates. Pseudonymous

<u>Role-based</u> certificates may be issued by the CA to support internal operations. CAs may also issue <u>pseudonymousrole-based</u> certificates that identify subjects by their organizational roles, as described in Section 3.1.1.

CA certificates issued by the CA shallmust not contain anonymous or pseudonymous identities.

3.1.4. Rules for Interpreting Various Name Forms

Rules for interpreting distinguished name forms are specified in [X.501-]. Rules for interpreting e—mail addresses are specified in [RFC 5322]. Rules for interpreting the pivFASC-N name type are specified in [PACS].

3.1.5. Uniqueness of Names

Name uniqueness for certificates issued by each CA must be enforced. Each CA and its associated RAs shallmust enforce name uniqueness within the X.500 name space.namespace. When other name forms are used, they too must be allocated such that name uniqueness is ensured for certificates issued by that CA. Name uniqueness is not violated when multiple certificates are issued to the same entity.

Practice Note: For distinguished names, name uniqueness is enforced for the entire name rather than a particular attribute (e.g., the common name).

Practice Note: For distinguished names, name uniqueness is enforced for the entire name rather than a particular attribute (e.g., the common name).

The CPS shall<u>must</u> identify the method for the assignment of subject names. Directory information trees may be assigned to a single CA, or shared between CAs. Where multiple CAs share a single directory information tree, the FPKIPA shall review and approve the method for assignment of subject names.

3.1.6. Recognition, Authentication, and Role of Trademarks

CAs operating under this policy shallmust not issue a certificate knowing that it infringes the trademark of another. The FPKIPA shallmust resolve disputes involving names and trademarks.

3.2. Initial Identity Validation

3.2.1. Method to Prove Possession of Private Key

In all cases where The CA must verify the party named in a certificate generates its own keys, that party shall be required to prove Applicant has possession of the private key, which that corresponds to the public key in the certificate request. As an example, for signature keys, this may be done by the entity Applicant using its private key to sign a value supplied by the CA. The CA shallmust then validate the signature using the party's Applicant's public key. The FPKIPA may allow other mechanisms that are at least as secure as those cited here.

In the case where key generation is performed under the CA or RA's direct control, proof of possession is not required. (e.g., key management certificates generated in a system allowing key escrow.)

3.2.2. Authentication of Organization Identity

Requests for CA certificates shallmust include the CA name, address, and documentation of the existence of the CA. Before issuing CA certificates, an authority for the issuing CA shallmust verify the information provided by the requesting organization, in addition to the authenticity of the requesting representative and the representative's authorization to act in the name of the CAorganization.

Before issuing subscriber certificates on behalf of an organization, the issuing CA must verify the authority of requesting representatives.

3.2.3. Authentication of Individual Identity

This policy allows a certificate to be issued only to a single entity. Certificates shall not be issued that contain a public key whose associated private key is shared.

For each certificate issued, the CA must authenticate the identity of the individual requester.

3.2.3.1. Authentication of Human Subscribers

Procedures used by agencies to issue identification to authenticate the identity of their own personnel and affiliates may be more stringent than that set forth below. When this is the case, the agency procedures for authentication of the identity of personnel shall must apply in addition to the guidance requirements in this section.

The RA shall<u>must</u> ensure that the Applicant's identity information is verified. Identity shall be verified no more than 30 days before initial certificate issuance.

At id-fpki common High, id-fpki common derived pivAuth hardware, id-fpki common authentication, and id-fpki common-pivi-authentication, the applicant shall appear at the RA in person or via supervised remote¹. For all other policies, RAs may accept authentication of an applicant's identity attested to and documented by a trusted agent, assuming agency identity badging requirements are otherwise satisfied. Authentication by a trusted agent does not relieve the RA of its responsibility to verify required procedures were followed as described below.

At a minimum, authentication procedures for employees must include the following steps:

- 1. Verify that a request for certificate issuance to the Applicant was submitted by agency management.
- 2. Verify Applicant's employment through use of official agency records.
- 3. Establish Applicant's identity by in-person or supervised remote² proofing before the registration authority RA or trusted agent, as follows:

¹ The minimum requirements associated with supervised remote identity proofing are described in NIST SP 800-63A, *Digital Identity Guidelines: Enrollment and Identity Proofing*, Section 5.3.3. In addition, the supervised remote process must have the capability of capturing an approved biometric.

² The minimum requirements associated with supervised remote identity proofing are described in NIST SP 800-63A, *Digital Identity Guidelines: Enrollment and Identity Proofing*, Section 5.3.3. In addition, the supervised remote process must have the capability of capturing an approved biometric.

- a. The Applicant presents a government-issued form of identification (e.g., an Agency ID badge, a passport, or driver's license) as proof of identity, and
- b. The RA examines the presented credential for biometric data that can be linked to the Applicant (e.g., a photograph on the credential itself or a securely linked photograph of Applicant), and
- c. The credential presented in step 3) a)3a above shallmust be verified by the RA for currency and legitimacy (e.g., the agency ID is verified as valid). Typically, this is accomplished by querying a database maintained by the organization that issued the credential, but other equivalent methods may be used.
- 4. Record and maintain a biometric of the Applicant (e.g., a photograph or fingerprint) by the RA or CA. (Handwritten signatures and other behavioral characteristics are not accepted as biometrics for the purposes of this policy.) This establishes an audit trail for dispute resolution.

For contractors and other affiliated personnel, the <u>authentication</u> procedures must include the following steps:

- 1. Verify that a request for certificate issuance to the Applicant was submitted by an authorized sponsoring agency employee (e.g., contracting officer or contracting officer's technical representative).
- 2. Verify sponsoring agency employee's identity and employment as follows:
 - a. A digitally signed request from the sponsoring agency employee, verified by a currently valid employee signature certificate issued by an agency CA, may be accepted as proof of both employment and identity,
 - b. Authentication of the sponsoring agency employee with a valid employee PIVauthentication certificate issued by the agency may be accepted as proof of both employment and identity, or
 - c. In-person or supervised remote identity proofing of the sponsoring agency employee may be established before the registration authority as specified in employee authentication above and employment validated through use of the official agency records.
- 3. Establish Applicant's identity by in-person or supervised remote proofing before the registration authority or trusted agent, as follows:
 - a. The Applicant presents a government-issued form of identification (e.g., an Agency ID badge, a passport, or driver's license) as proof of identity, and
 - b. The RA examines the presented credential for biometric data that can be linked to the Applicant (e.g., a photograph on the credential itself or a securely linked photograph of Applicant), and
 - c. The credential presented in step 3) a)3a above shallmust be verified by the RA for currency and legitimacy (e.g., the agency ID is verified as valid). Typically, this is accomplished by querying official records maintained by the organization that issued the credential.

4. Record and maintain a biometric of the Applicant (e.g., a photograph or fingerprint) by the RA or CA. (Handwritten signatures and other behavioral characteristics are not accepted as biometrics for the purposes of this policy.) This establishes an audit trail for dispute resolution.

In the event an Applicant is denied a credential based on the results of the identity proofing process, the Entity shallsponsoring agency must provide a mechanism for appeal or redress of the decision.

Additionally, the RA <u>shallmust</u> record the process that was followed for issuance of each certificate. The process documentation and authentication requirements <u>shallmust</u> include the following:

- The identity of the person performing the identification; authentication and either:
 - A signed declaration by that person that he or she verified the identity of the Applicant as required by the CPS using the format set forth at [28 U.S.C. 1746] (declaration under penalty of perjury); or
 - An auditable record identifying the person performing the identification and recording the assertion that he or she verified the identity of the Applicant.
- Unique identifying number(s) from the ID(s) of the Applicant, or a facsimile of the ID(s);
- The biometric of the Applicant;
- The date and time of the verification; and Unique identifying number(s) from the ID(s) of the Applicant, or a facsimile of the ID(s);
- The biometric of the Applicant;
- The date and time of the verification; and either:
 - An auditable record indicating the applicant accepted the certificate; or
 - A declaration of identity signed by the Applicant using a handwritten signature or appropriate digital signature and performed in the presence of the person performing the identity authentication, using the format set forth at [28 U.S.C. 1746] (declaration under penalty of perjury).

For certificates issued under id-fpki-common-authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth, and id-fpki-common-cardAuth, identity must be verified in accordance with the requirements specified for issuing PIV in Section 2.7 of [FIPS 201].

At id-fpki-common-High, id-fpki-common-authentication, and id-fpki-common-piviauthentication, the Applicant must appear at the RA in person or via supervised remote.

For id-fpki-common-policy and id-fpki-common-hardware, RAs may accept authentication of an Applicant's identity attested to and documented by a trusted agent, assuming agency identity requirements are otherwise satisfied. Authentication by a trusted agent does not relieve the RA of its responsibility to verify required procedures were followed as described above.

For certificates issued under id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware and id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth, identity must be verified in accordance with the requirements specified for

issuing derived credentials in [SP 800-157]. At id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, the Applicant must appear at the RA in person or via supervised remote.

The RA or CA must:

- 1) Verify that the request for certificate issuance to the Applicant was submitted by an authorized agency employee.
- 2) Use the PKI-AUTH authentication mechanism from Section 6 of [FIPS 201] to verify that the PIV Authentication certificate on the Applicant's PIV credential is valid and that the Applicant is in possession of the corresponding private key.
- 3) Maintain a copy of the Applicant's PIV Authentication certificate.

Seven days after issuing the derived credential, the CA should recheck the revocation status of the PIV Authentication certificate. This step can detect use of a compromised PIV credential to obtain a derived credential.

For certificates issued under id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, the Applicant must appear in person or via supervised remote to present the PIV credential and perform the PKI-AUTH authentication mechanism. The RA must perform a one-to-one comparison of the Applicant against biometric data stored on the PIV credential, in accordance with [SP 800-76], and must record and maintain the biometric sample used to validate the Applicant.

In cases where a 1:1 biometric match against the biometrics available on the PIV credential or in the chain-of-trust, as defined in [FIPS 201] is not possible:

- 1) The Applicant must present a government-issued form of identification (e.g., a passport or driver's license) in addition to the PIV credential, and
- 2) The RA must examine the presented credentials for biometric data that can be linked to the Applicant (e.g., a photograph on the credential itself or a securely linked photograph of the Applicant), and

The process documentation and authentication requirements must include the following:

- The identity of the person performing the authentication and either:
 - A signed declaration by that person that he or she verified the identity of the Applicant using the format set forth at [28 U.S.C. 1746] (declaration under penalty of perjury); or
 - An auditable record linking the authentication of the person performing the identification to their verification of each Applicant.
- Unique identifying number(s) from second form of identification of the Applicant, or a facsimile of the ID(s);
- The biometric of the Applicant;
- The date and time of the verification;

3.2.3.2. Authentication of Devices

Some computing and communications devices (routers, firewalls, servers, etc.) and software applications will be named as certificate subjects. In such cases, the device must have a human sponsor who is affiliated with the agency under which the certificate is being issued. The sponsor is responsible for providing the following registration information:

- Equipment identification (e.g., serial number) or service name (e.g., DNS name) or unique software application name
- Equipment or software application public keys
- Equipment or software application authorizations and attributes (if any are to be included in the certificate)
- Contact information to enable the CA or RA to communicate with the sponsor when required.

These certificates shallmust be issued only to authorized devices under the subscribing organization's control. In the case a human sponsor is changed, the new sponsor shallmust review the status of each device under his/her sponsorship to ensure it is still authorized to receive certificates. The CPS shallmust describe procedures to ensure that certificate accountability is maintained. See Section 9.6.3 for Subscriber responsibilities.

Before issuing a certificate with a wildcard character (*) in a CNcommon name or subjectAltNamesubject alternative name of type DNS-IDdNSName, the CA shallmust establish and follow a documented procedure to ensure that the wildcard does not fall immediately to the left of an agency or organization name, but is qualified down to a unique application, server, or server farm under control of the sponsor's organization: (see Section 3.1.1). The device sponsor shallmust demonstrate that the domain name requested is entirely within the name space to be covered by the wildcard certificate.

The identity of the sponsor shallmust be authenticated by:

- Verification of digitally signed messages sent from the sponsor using a certificate issued under this policy; or
- In-person or supervised remote registration by the sponsor, with the identity of the sponsor confirmed in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.2.3.1.

3.2.3.3. Authentication for Derived PIV Credentials

For certificates issued under id fpki common derived pivAuth hardware and id fpki common derived-pivAuth, identity shall be verified in accordance with the requirements specified for issuing derived credentials in [SP 800-157]. The RA or CA shall:

- 1) Verify that the request for certificate issuance to the Applicant was submitted by an authorized agency employee.
- 1) Use the PKI-AUTH authentication mechanism from Section 6 of FIPS 201 to verify that the PIV Authentication certificate on the applicant's PIV Card is valid and that the applicant is in possession of the corresponding private key.
- 2)1) Maintain a copy of the Applicant's PIV Authentication certificate.

Seven days after issuing the Derived credential, the issuer should recheck the revocation status of the PIV Authentication certificate. This step can detect use of a compromised PIV Card to obtain a derived credential

For certificates issued under id fpki common derived pivAuth hardware, the applicant shall appear in person or via supervised remote to present the PIV Card and perform the PKI-AUTH authentication mechanism. The RA shall perform a one-to-one comparison of the applicant against biometric data stored on the PIV Card, in accordance with [SP 800-76], and shall record and maintain the biometric sample used to validate the applicant. In cases where a 1:1 biometric match against the biometrics available on the PIV Card or in the chain-of-trust, as defined in [FIPS201] is not possible:

- 1) The applicant shall present a government-issued form of identification (e.g., a passport or driver's license) in addition to the PIV Card, and
- 1) The RA shall examine the presented credentials for biometric data that can be linked to the Applicant (e.g., a photograph on the credential itself or a securely linked photograph of the Applicant), and
- 2) The process documentation for the issuance of the certificate shall include the identity of the person performing the verification of the second (non-PIV) form of identification, a signed declaration by that person that he or she verified the identity of the applicant as required by the CPS using the format set forth at 28 U.S.C. 1746 (declaration under penalty of perjury), a unique identifying number from the second form of identification or a facsimile of the ID, a biometric of the applicant, and the date and time of the verification.

3.2.4. Non-verified Subscriber Information

<u>Information that is not verified shall not be All Subscriber information</u> included in certificates <u>must be verified</u>.

3.2.5. Validation of Authority

Before issuing The CA certificates or signature certificates that assert organizational authority, the CA shallmust validate the individual's requestor's authority to act in the name of the organization. For pseudonymous before issuing organizational certificates, such as CA certificates, role-based certificates that identify subjects by their organizational roles, or content signing certificates.

<u>For example, before issuing role-based certificates</u>, the CA <u>shallmust</u> validate that the individual either holds that role or has been delegated the authority to sign on behalf of the role.

In accordance with Section 3.2.3.2, all requests for device certificates in the name of an organization, shallmust be digitally signed by the sponsor. In addition, the CPS shallmust specify a process by which an organization identifies the individuals who may request certificates that assert organizational authority. If an organization specifies, in writing, the individuals who may request a certificate, then the CA shallmust not accept any certificate requests that are outside this specification. The CA shallmust provide an Applicant with a list of

the organization's authorized certificate <u>requesters</u> upon the Applicant's verified written request.

Practice Note: Examples of signature certificates that assert organizational authority are code signing certificates and FIPS 201 id PIV content signing certificates.

3.2.6. Criteria for Interoperation

The FPKIPA shallmust determine the interoperability criteria for CAs operating under this policy.

3.3. IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION FOR RE-KEY REQUESTS

3.3.1. Identification and Authentication for Routine Re-key

CA certificate re-key shallmust follow the same procedures as initial certificate issuance.

PIV subscriber's identity should be established through the use of a current signature key, except that identity must be re-established and biometrics re-collected through an in-person or supervised remote registration at least every twelve years.

In the event a PIV Subscriber's signature key cannot be used, identity may be verified through the use of biometrics on file through the chain of trust defined in [FIPS 201].

For re-key of Human Subscriber certificates issued under id-fpki-common-high, identity may be established through use of current signature key, except that identity must be established through an in-person registration process at least once every three years from the time of initial registration.

For id-fpki-common-policy, id-fpki-common-hardware, id-fpki-common-authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth, and id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, a Human Subscriber's identity may be established through use of current signature key, except that identity must be re-established through an in-person or supervised remote registration process at least once every twelve years from the time of initial registration.

For re-key of Subscriber certificates issued under id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth and id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, the department or agency shallmust verify that the Subscriber is eligible to have a PIV Cardcredential (i.e., PIV Cardcredential is not terminated).

For re-key of subscriber certificates issued under id-fpki-common-High, identity may be established through use of current signature key, except that identity shall be established through an in-person registration process at least once every three years from the time of initial registration.

For policies other than id-fpki-common High, a subscriber's identity may be established through use of current signature key, except that identity shall be re-established through an in-person or

supervised remote registration process at least once every nine years from the time of initial registration.

In addition, For re-key of Subscriber certificates issued under id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, identity shallmust be established via mutual authentication between the issuer and the cryptographic module containing the current key, if the new key will be stored in the same cryptographic module as the current key. Identity shallmust be established through the initial registration process per Section 3.2 if the new key will be stored in a different cryptographic module than the current key.

For Device <u>certificatesSubscribers</u>, identity may be established through the use of the device's current signature key or the signature key of the device's human sponsor, <u>except that identity</u> shall be established through the initial registration process at least once every nine years from the time of initial registration.

3.3.2. Identification and Authentication for Re-key after Revocation

In the event of certificate revocation, issuance of a new certificate shall always<u>must</u> require that the <u>partyApplicant</u> go through the initial registration process per Section 3.2 above-, <u>unless</u> identity can be verified through the use of biometrics on file through the chain of trust defined in [FIPS 201].

3.4. IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION FOR REVOCATION REQUEST

Revocation requests must be authenticated. <u>Note that revocation requests may be digitally signed using a certificate's private key, regardless of whether or not the private key has been compromised.</u>

Requests to revoke a certificate may be authenticated using that certificate's public key, regardless of whether or not the associated private key has been compromised.

4. CERTIFICATE LIFE-CYCLE OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

4.1. CERTIFICATE APPLICATION

The Certificate application process must provide sufficient information to:

- Establish the Applicant's authorization (by the employing or sponsoring agency) to obtain a certificate. (per See Section 3.2.3) for requirements.
- Establish and record <u>the</u> identity of the Applicant. (per See Section 3.2.3) for requirements.
- Obtain the Applicant's public key and verify the Applicant's possession of the private key for each certificate required. (per. See Section 3.2.1)3 for requirements.
- Verify any role or authorization the information requested for inclusion included in the certificate.

These steps may be performed in any order that is convenient for the PKI Authorities and applicants that does not defeat security, but all must be completed before certificate issuance.

4.1.1. Who Can Submit a Certificate Application

4.1.1.1. CA Certificates

An application for a CA certificate shall be submitted by an authorized representative of the applicant CA.

4.1.1.2. User Certificates

An application for a user (subscriber) certificate shall be submitted by either the applicant or a trusted agent.

4.1.1.3. Device Certificates

An application for a device certificate shall be submitted by the human sponsor of the device.

4.1.1.4. Code Signing Certificates

A code signing certificate has an Extended Key Usage (EKU) containing a value of id-kp-eodeSigning OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-kp 3 }(1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.3).

An application for a code signing certificate shall be submitted by an authorized representative of the organization.

Type of Certificate	Who can submit an application?
CA and Delegated OCSP Responder Certificates	Authorized representative of the CA
Human Subscriber Certificate	An authorized agency official, the Applicant, or a Trusted Agent on behalf of the Applicant
Device Certificate	The human sponsor of the device

4.1.2. Enrollment Process and Responsibilities

All communications among PKI Authorities supporting the certificate application and issuance process shallmust be authenticated and protected from modification; any electronic transmission of shared secrets shall be protected. _. Communications may be electronic or out-of-band.

Any electronic communication of shared secrets must be protected.

Where electronic communications are used, cryptographic mechanisms commensurate with the strength of the public/private key pair shallmust be used.

Out-of-band communications shallmust protect the confidentiality and integrity of the data.

Subscribers are responsible for providing accurate information on their certificate applications.

4.2. CERTIFICATE APPLICATION PROCESSING

Information in certificate applications must be verified as accurate before certificates are issued. PKI Authorities shall Each CPS must specify procedures to verify information in certificate applications.

4.2.1. Performing Identification and Authentication Functions

The identification and authentication of the Subscriber must meet the requirements specified for Subscriber authentication as specified in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 of this CP. The PKI Authority must identify the components of the PKI Authority (e.g., CA or RA) that are responsible for authenticating the subscriber's identity in each case.

4.2.2. Approval or Rejection of Certificate Applications

For the Common Policy Root CAs, The FPKIPA may approve or reject arequests for certificates from the Federal Common Policy CA.

Subscriber certificate application.

For CAs operating under this policy, approval or rejection of certificate applications is at the discretion of the Agency PMA or its designee.

For Device certificates, the CA shall CAs must reject a certificate request if the requested public key has a known weak private key.

Public key parameters generation and quality checking, shall <u>must</u> be conducted in accordance with [NIST SP 800-89-]. Key validity <u>shall must</u> be confirmed in accordance with [NIST SP 800-56A-].

4.2.3. Time to Process Certificate Applications

Certificate applications must be processed and a certificate issued within 3090 days of identity verification.

4.3. CERTIFICATE ISSUANCE

4.3.1. CA Actions During Certificate Issuance

Upon receiving the request, the CAs/RAs will—:

- Verify the identity of the requester requestor.
- Verify the authority of the <u>requester requestor</u> and the integrity of the information in the certificate request.
- Build and sign a certificate if all certificate requirements have been met (in the case of an RA, have the CA sign the certificate).
- Make the certificate available to the Subscriber after confirming that the Subscriber has formally acknowledged theirthe obligations as described in Section 9.6.3.

The certificate request may already contain a certificate built by either the RA or the Subscriber. This certificate will not be signed until all verifications and modifications, if any, have been completed to the CA's satisfaction.

All authorization and other All attribute information received from a prospective Subscriber shall must be verified before inclusion in a certificate. The responsibility for verifying prospective subscriber data shall be described in a CA's CPS.

4.3.2. Notification to Subscriber by the CA of Issuance of Certificate

CAs operating under this policy <u>shallmust</u> inform the Subscriber (or other certificate subject) of the creation of a certificate and make the certificate available to the Subscriber. For device certificates, the CA <u>shallmust</u> inform the human sponsor.

4.4. CERTIFICATE ACCEPTANCE

Before a subscriber Human Subscribers can make effective use of its their private key, a PKI Authority shall explain to keys, they must accept the subscriber its responsibilities as defined in Section 9.6.3 by accepting the Subscriber agreement.

4.4.1. Conduct Constituting Certificate Acceptance

For <u>CA certificates issued by</u> the <u>Federal</u> Common Policy Root CA, failure to object to the certificate or its contents <u>shall constitutes</u> acceptance of the <u>CA</u> certificate.

For all other CAs operating under this policy, no stipulation.

For certificates issued to Subscribers, a signed Subscriber agreement or auditable record of acceptance constitutes acceptance of the certificates.

4.4.2. Publication of the Certificate by the CA

As specified in Section 2.1, all CA certificates shallmust be published in repositories.

Certificates that contain the FASC-N and/or UUID in the subject alternative name extension, such as PIV Authentication Certificates, must not be distributed via public repositories (e.g., via LDAP or HTTP). This policy makes no other stipulation regarding publication of Subscriber certificates, except as noted in section 9.4.3.

4.4.3. Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

4.4.3. Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

Whenever a CA operating under this policy issues a CA certificate, The FPKIPA shallmust be notified at least two weeks prior to issuance, of a CA certificate. In addition, all new artifacts (CA certificates, CRL DP, AIA and/or SIA URLs, etc.) produced as a result of the event shallnotification must be provided to the FPKIPA within 24 hours following issuance when the CA certificate is published.

4.5. KEY PAIR AND CERTIFICATE USAGE

4.5.1. Subscriber Private Key and Certificate Usage

The intended scope of usage for a private key is specified through certificate extensions, including the key usage and extended key usage extensions, in the associated certificate.

4.5.2. Relying Party Public key and Certificate Usage

Common Policy-issued certificates specify restrictions on use through eritical certificate extensions, including the basic constraints and key usage extensions. All CAs operating under this policy shall issue CRLs specifying the current CAs provide certificate status of all unexpired certificates (except for OCSP responder certificates that include the id-pkix-ocsp nocheck extension). It is recommended that information. Relying parties should process certificate and comply with this status information whenever using as specified in [X.509] when relying on Common Policy certificates in a transaction.

4.6. CERTIFICATE RENEWAL

Renewing a certificate means creating a new certificate with the same name, key, and other a new serial number where all certificate subject information as the old one, but with a new, including the subject public key and subject key identifier, remain unchanged.

<u>The new certificate may have an</u> extended validity period and <u>a new serial number</u>. <u>may include</u> new issuer information (e.g. different CRL distribution point, AIA and/or be signed with a different issuer key).

Once renewed, the old certificate may or may not be revoked, but must not be reused for requesting further renewals, re-keyed, renewedkeys, or modified modifications.

4.6.1. Circumstance for Certificate Renewal

Subscriber certificates issued under this policy shallmust not be renewed, except during recovery from CA key compromise (see Section 5.7.3). In such cases, the renewed certificate shallmust expire as specified in the original Subscriber certificate.

CA certificates and <u>Delegated</u> OCSP responder certificates may be renewed so long as the aggregated lifetime of the <u>public private</u> key does not exceed the <u>certificate lifetime requirements</u> specified in Section 6.3.2.

The CA may automatically renew certificates during recovery from key compromise.

4.6.2. Who May Request Renewal

For all CAsthe Federal Common Policy CA, the FPKIMA may request renewal of CA certificates it issues.

<u>For other CA certificates</u> and <u>Delegated OCSP responders operating under this policyresponder certificates</u>, the corresponding operating authority may request renewal of its own certificate. For the Common Policy Root CA, the FPKIMA may also request renewal of CA certificates.

4.6.3. Processing Certificate Renewal Requests

For When a CA re-keys, it may renew the Common Policy Root CA, certificates it has issued.

When certificates are renewed as a result of CA key compromise, as described in Section 4.6.1, the CA or RA must verify all certificates issued since the date of compromise were issued appropriately. If the certificate renewal for reasons other than re-key of the Common Policy Root CA shallcannot be approved by the FPKIPA verified, then it must not be renewed.

For all other renewal requests, no stipulation.

4.6.4. Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber

The CA shall inform the subscriber of the renewal of his or her certificate and the contents of the certificate.

As specified in Section 4.3.2.

4.6.5. Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Renewal Certificate

For certificates issued by the <u>Federal Common Policy-Root</u> CA, failure to object to the renewal of the certificate or its contents constitutes acceptance of the certificate.

For all other CAs operating under this policy, no stipulation.

4.6.6. Publication of the Renewal Certificate by the CA

As specified in section 2.1, All CA certificates shallmust be published in repositories as specified in Section 4.4.2.

This policy makes no stipulation regarding publication of Subscriber certificates, except as noted in Section 9.4.3.

4.6.7. Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

No stipulation.

As specified in Section 4.4.3.

4.7. CERTIFICATE RE-KEY

Re-keying a key is identical to renewal except the new certificate consists of creating new certificates withmust have a different subject public key (and serial number) while retaining the remaining contents of the old certificate that describe the subject. The new certificate may be assigned a different validity period, key identifiers, specify a different CRL distribution point, and/or be signed with a different key. Re-key of a certificate does not require a change to the subjectName and does not violate the requirement for name uniqueness.

<u>Once re-keyed</u>, the old certificate may or may not be revoked, but must not be <u>reused for requesting</u> further <u>renewals</u>, re-<u>keyed</u>, renewed<u>keys</u>, or <u>modified modifications</u>.

Subscribers shall identify themselves for the purpose of re-keying as required in section 3.3.

4.7.1. Circumstance for Certificate Re-key

The longer and more often a key is used, the more susceptible it is to loss or discovery. Therefore, it is important that a subscriber periodically obtain new keys. (Section 6.3.2 establishes usage periods for private keys for both CAs and subscribers.) Examples of Circumstances requiring certificate re-key include: nearing the maximum usage period of a private key, certificate expiration, loss or compromise, issuance of a new hardware token, and hardware token failure.

Section 6.3.2 establishes maximum usage periods for private keys for both CAs and Subscribers.

4.7.2. Who May Request Certification of a New Public Key

Requests for certification of a new public For CA certificates and Delegated OCSP responder certificates, the corresponding operating authority may request re-key shall be considered as follows: of its own certificate.

Subscribers with a currently valid certificate may request certification of a new public re-key- of the certificate. CAs and RAs may request certification of a new public key on behalf of a Subscriber. For device certificates, The human sponsor of a device may request re-key of the device may request certification of a new public keycertificate.

4.7.3. Processing Certificate Re-keying Requests

Digital signatures on Subscribers must identify themselves for the purpose of re-keying as required in Section 3.3.

The CA or RA must verify the information provided prior to issuing the new certificate as specified in Section 4.3.

<u>Digitally signed</u> Subscriber re-key requests <u>shallmust</u> be validated before <u>electronicthe</u> re-key requests are processed. <u>Alternatively, subscriber re-key requests may be processed using the same process used for initial certificate issuance.</u>

4.7.4. Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber

No stipulation.

As specified in Section 4.3.2.

4.7.5. Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Re-keyed Certificate

For certificates issued by the <u>Federal Common Policy-Root</u> CA, failure to object to the certificate or its contents constitutes acceptance of the certificate.

For all other CAs operating under this policy, no stipulation.

4.7.6. Publication of the Re-keyed Certificate by the CA

All CA certificates must be published as specified in Section 4.4.2.1.

This policy makes no stipulation regarding publication of subscriber certificates, except as noted in section 9.4.3.

This policy makes no stipulation regarding publication of Subscriber certificates, except as noted in Section 9.4.3.

4.7.7. Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

As specified in Section 4.4.3.

4.7.7.1.1.1. Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

No stipulation.

4.8. CERTIFICATE MODIFICATION

Modifying a certificate means creating a new certificate that has the same or a different key and a different serial number, and that differs in one or more other fields from the old certificate.

Once modified, the old certificate may or may not be revoked, but must not be reused for requesting further renewals, re-keyed, renewedkeys, or modified modifications.

4.8.1. Circumstance for Certificate Modification

A-CA operating under this policy may modify a CA or certificates and Delegated OCSP responder certificates whose characteristics have changed (e.g. assert new policy OID). may be modified. The new certificate may have the same or a different subject public key.

A <u>CA may perform</u> certificate <u>modification for associated with</u> a Subscriber whose characteristics have changed (e.g., name change due to marriage).) <u>may be modified</u>. The new certificate <u>shallmust</u> have a different subject public key.

4.8.2. Who May Request Certificate Modification

Requests for certification of a new public key shall be considered as follows: For CA certificates and Delegated OCSP responder certificates, the corresponding operating authority may request modification.

Subscribers with a currently valid certificate may request <u>modification of the certificate</u>. The <u>human sponsor of a device may request</u> modification <u>of the device certificate</u>. CAs and RAs may request certificate modification on behalf of a Subscriber. For device certificates, the <u>human sponsor of the device may request certificate modification</u>.

4.8.3. Processing Certificate Modification Requests

If an individual's Proof of all subject information changes (e.g., name changes (e.g., due to marriage), then proof of the name change) must be provided to the RA or other designated agent in order for a .

The CA or RA must verify the information provided prior to issuing the new certificate with the new name to be issued. as specified in Section 4.3.

If an individual's authorizations or privileges change, the RA will verify those such that the modified certificate indicates a reduction in privileges and authorizations. If authorizations have reduced, the old certificate must be revoked.

Proof of all subject information changes must be provided to the RA or other designated agent and verified before If the modified certificate is issued with a new (different) public key, the additional requirements specified in Section 4.7.3 must also apply.

4.8.4. Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber

No stipulation.

As specified in Section 4.3.2.

4.8.5. Conduct Constituting Acceptance of Modified Certificate

For certificates issued by the <u>Federal Common Policy-Root</u> CA, failure to object to the certificate or its contents constitutes acceptance of the certificate.

For all other CAs operating under this policy, no stipulation

4.8.6. Publication of the Modified Certificate by the CA

All CA certificates must be published as specified in Section 4.4.2.1.

This policy makes no stipulation regarding publication of Subscriber certificates, except as noted in Section 9.4.3.

4.8.7. Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

As specified in Section 4.4.3.

4.9. CERTIFICATE REVOCATION AND SUSPENSION

This policy makes no stipulation regarding publication of Subscriber certificates, except as noted in Section 9.4.3.

4.8.7.1.1.1. Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

No stipulation.

4.9.1.1. CERTIFICATE REVOCATION AND SUSPENSION

CAs operating under this policy shall issue CRLs covering all unexpired certificates issued under this policy except for OCSP responder certificates that include the id-pkix-ocsp-nocheck extension.

CAs operating under this policy shall make public a description of how to obtain revocation information for the certificates they publish, and an explanation of the consequences of using dated revocation information. This information shall be given to subscribers during certificate request or issuance, and shall be readily available to any potential relying party.

Revocation requests must be authenticated. Requests to revoke a certificate may be authenticated using that certificate's public key, regardless of whether or not the associated private key has been compromised.

Requests to revoke a certificate may be authenticated using that certificate's associated private key, regardless of whether or not the private key has been compromised.

Certificate suspension for CA certificates is not allowed prohibited by this policy. However, the use of certificate suspension for end entitySubscriber certificates is allowed permitted.

For CAs operating under this policy, the FPKIPA shallmust be notified at least two weeks prior to the revocation of a CA certificate, whenever possible. For emergency revocation, CAs shallmust follow the notification procedures in Section 5.7.

4.9.1. Circumstances for Revocation

A certificate shallmust be revoked when the binding between the subject and the subject's public key defined within the certificate is no longer considered valid. Examples of circumstances that invalidate the binding are—:

- <u>Identifying information or affiliation components of any names in the certificate becomes invalid. This would Examples include evidence that:</u>
 - Subscriber no longer affiliated with sponsoring agency
 - A wild card certificate has been issued with a name where PKI Sponsor does not
 exercise control of the entire <u>name space</u> associated with the wild card
 certificate.
- Privilege attributes asserted in the Subscriber's certificate are reduced.
- The Subscriber can be shown to have violated the stipulations of its Subscriber agreement.
- There is reason to believe the private key has been compromised.
- The Subscriber or other authorized party (as defined in the CPS) asks for his/her certificate to be revoked.
- The failure of a CA to adequately adhere to the requirements of this CP or the approved CPS. For example, there is strong evidence that the CA has failed to comply with the requirements of section 6.7 of the CP.

If it is determined that revocation is required, the associated certificate shallmust be revoked and placed on the CRL. Revoked certificates shallmust be included on all new publications of the certificate status information until the certificates expire.

If it is determined that a private key used to authorize the issuance of one or more certificates may have been compromised, all certificates directly or indirectly authorized by that private key since the date of actual or suspected compromise shallmust be revoked or shallmust be verified as appropriately issued.

4.9.2. Who Can Request Revocation

Within the PKI, A CA may summarily revoke certificates within its domain. it has issued. A written notice and brief explanation for the revocation shallmust subsequently be provided to the Subscriber. The RA can request the revocation of a subscriber's certificate on behalf of any authorized party as specified in the CPS. A subscriber may request that its own certificate be revoked. The human

<u>A Subscriber or</u> sponsor of a device ean<u>certificates may</u> request the revocation of the device's eertificate. their own certificates.

<u>The RA or</u> other authorized agency officials may request <u>the</u> revocation <u>as described in the CPS.</u> of a Subscriber's certificate.

The CA shallmust provide Subscribers, Relying Parties, Application Software Suppliers, and other third parties with clear instructions for reporting suspected private key compromise, certificate misuse, or other types of fraud, compromise, misuse, inappropriate conduct, or any other matter related to certificates. The CA shallmust publicly disclose the instructions through a readily accessible online means.

The FPKIPA can request revocation of any CA certificate issued under this CP.

4.9.3. Procedure for Revocation Request

A request to revoke a certificate shallmust identify the certificate to be revoked, explain the reason for revocation, and allow the request to be authenticated (e.g., digitally or manually signed). The steps involved in the process of requesting a certification revocation are detailed in the CPS.

Where Subscribers use hardware tokens, revocation is optional if all the following conditions are met:

- the revocation request was not for key compromise;
- the hardware token does not permit the <u>userSubscriber</u> to export the signature private key;
- the Subscriber <u>surrendered surrenders</u> the token to <u>the PKI; an authorized individual (e.g. supervisor, human resources, RA, or CA representative);</u>
- the token was zeroized or destroyed promptly upon surrender;
- the token has been protected from malicious use between surrender and zeroization or destruction.

In all other cases, revocation of the certificates is mandatory. Even where all the above conditions have been met, revocation of the associated certificates is recommended.

4.9.4. Revocation Request Grace Period

There is no grace period for revocation under this policy.

4.9.5. Time within which CA must Process the Revocation Request

CAs will revoke certificates as quickly as practical upon receipt of a proper revocation request. Revocation requests shallmust be processed before the next CRL is published required CRL issuance as specified in Section 4.9.7, excepting those requests received within two hours of the next required CRL issuance. Revocation requests received within two hours of CRL issuance shallmust be processed before the following CRL is published.

The CA must maintain a continuous 24x7 ability to respond internally to high-priority problem reports, and where appropriate, forward such a complaint to law enforcement authorities, and/or revoke a certificate that is the subject of such a complaint.

4.9.6. Revocation Checking Requirements for Relying Parties

No stipulation.

Practice note: Use of revoked certificates could have damaging or catastrophic consequences. The matter of how often new revocation data should be obtained is a determination to be made by the relying party, considering the risk, responsibility, and consequences for using a certificate whose revocation status cannot be guaranteed.

Relying parties are expected to verify the validity of certificates as specified in [RFC 5280].

Practice note: Use of revoked certificates could have damaging or catastrophic consequences. The matter of how often new revocation data should be obtained is a determination to be made by the relying party, considering the risk, responsibility, and consequences for using a certificate whose revocation status cannot be guaranteed.

4.9.7. CRL Issuance Frequency

CRLs shallmust be issued periodically, even if there are no changes to be made, to ensure timeliness of information. Certificate status information may be issued more frequently than the issuance frequency described below.

Certificate status information shallmust be published not later than the next scheduled update. This will facilitate the local caching of certificate status information for off-line or remote (laptop) operation.

	Maximum Interval for Routine CRL Issuance			
	Online CA		Offline CA*	
	<u>Interval</u>	<u>nextUpdate</u>	<u>Interval</u>	<u>nextUpdate</u>
Shared Service Provider CAs	18 hours	48 hours	35 days	37 days
All other CAs	18 hours	<u>180 hours</u>	<u>35 days</u>	37 days

^{*}An offline CA may incorporate locally attached network equipment such as an HSM or storage array. The CA system and any such locally attached network equipment must be completely isolated (air-gapped) from all other networks and computing systems. With the exception of

Content Signers, offline CAs must not issue certificates to Subscribers, as defined in Section 1.3.4.

<u>Circumstances related to emergency CRL issuance are specified in Section 4.9.12.</u>

4.9.8. Maximum Latency for CRLs

CAs operating as part of the Shared Service Providers program that only issue certificates to CAs and that operate off-line must issue CRLs at least once every 24 hours, and the *nextUpdate* time in the CRL may be no later than 48 hours after issuance time (i.e., the *thisUpdate* time). Legacy Federal PKIs, root CAs, and the Common Policy Root CA that only issue certificates to CAs and that operate off-line must issue CRLs at least once every 31 days, and the *nextUpdate* time in the CRL may be no later than 32 days after issuance time (i.e., the *thisUpdate* time).

CAs that issue certificates to subscribers or operate on-line must issue CRLs at least once every 18 hours, and the *nextUpdate* time in the CRL may be no later than 48 hours after issuance time (i.e., the *thisUpdate* time). For legacy Federal PKIs only, the *nextUpdate* time in the CRL may be no later than 180 hours after issuance time (i.e., the *thisUpdate* time).

Practice Note: Since many applications only check for a new CRL at nextUpdate, a longer nextUpdate time may result in applications continuing to rely on older CRLs even when a newer CRL is available. A longer nextUpdate time also increases the potential of a replay attack to validate a newly revoked certificate. Where the CRL nextUpdate exceeds 48 hours, relying parties should consider these risks and take appropriate measures to mitigate the risk. For high-risk, sensitive Relying Party applications suggested measures include configuring a preference for OCSP by applications, pre-fetching CRLs at least every 18 hours, and use of other compensating controls.

Circumstances related to emergency CRL issuance are specified in Section 4.9.12.

4.9.8.1.1.1. Maximum Latency for CRLs

For CAs that operate online, CRLs shallmust be published within 4 hours of generation.

For CAs that operate offline, pre-generated CRLs intended for publication more than 4 hours after generation must be protected in the same manner as the CA. All pre-generated CRLs not yet published must be securely destroyed whenever the CA revokes any certificate. The CPS must describe protections and processes used for generation and protection of any pre-generated CRLs.

Furthermore, each CRL shallmust be published no later than the time specified in the nextUpdate field of the previously issued CRL for same scope.

Note: If pre-generation of CRLs is implemented, the thisUpdate field will be the date of generation and the nextUpdate value will be no more than 37 days beyond the date of planned publication.

4.9.9. On-line Revocation/Status Checking Availability

4.9.9.1.1.1. On-line Revocation/Status Checking Availability

CAs shall<u>must</u> support on-line status checking via OCSP [RFC 6960] for end entity certificates issued under id fpki common authentication, id fpki common pivi authentication, id fpki common derived pivAuth hardware, id fpki common derived pivAuth, id fpki common eardAuth, Subscriber certificates. Since some relying parties cannot accommodate on-line communications, all CAs must support CRLs.

OCSP services must be designed and implemented so as to provide 99% availability overall and id fpki common pivi cardAuth. limit scheduled down-time to 0.5% annually, with resources sufficient to provide a response time of ten (10) seconds or less under normal operating conditions.

Where on-line status checking is supported, <u>Certificate</u> status information must be updated and available to relying parties within 18 hours of certificate revocation.

Where on line status checking is supported and a certificate issued under id-fpki common High is revoked for key compromise, the status information distributed via OCSP must be updated and available to relying parties within 6 hours to meet or exceed the requirements for CRL issuance.

The CA shallmust operate and maintain its CRL and OCSP capability with resources sufficient to provide a response time of ten (10) seconds or less under normal operating conditions.

The CA shall maintain an online 24x7 Repository that application software can use to automatically check the current status of all unexpired Certificates issued by the CA.

The CA shall maintain a continuous 24x7 ability to respond internally to a high-priority Certificate Problem Report, and where appropriate, forward such a complaint to law enforcement authorities, and/or revoke a Certificate that is the subject of such a complaint.

Since some relying parties cannot accommodate on line communications, all CAs will be required to support CRLs.

4.9.10. On-line Revocation Checking Requirements

Relying party client software may optionally support On-line revocation status checking. Client software using on-line is optional for relying parties. For certificates where revocation status online checking needis not obtain or processavailable, CRLs, must be used.

4.9.11. Other Forms of Revocation Advertisements Available

A CA may also use other methods to publicize the certificates it has revoked. Any alternative method must meet the following requirements:

- The alternative method must be described in the CA's approved CPS;
- The alternative method must provide authentication and integrity services commensurate with the assurance level of the certificate being verified.

• The alternative method must meet the issuance and latency requirements for CRLs stated in Sections 4.9.7 and 4.9.8.

4.9.12. Special Requirements Related To Key Compromise

When a CA certificate is revoked a CRL must be issued within 18 hours of notification.

When a CA certificate issued under id-fpki-common-high is revoked or Subscriber certificate issued under id-fpki-common-high is revoked because of compromise, or suspected compromise, of a private key, a CRL must be issued within six (6) hours of notification.

4.9.13. Circumstances for Suspension

For CA certificates, suspension is not permitted.

For end entity certificates, no stipulation.

CAs may support certificate suspension and restoration for Subscriber certificates. If suspension and restoration are supported by the CA, the CPS must describe under what circumstances and details for the corresponding sections below.

4.9.14. Who Can Request Suspension

No stipulation for end entitySubscriber certificates.

4.9.15. Procedure for Suspension Request

No stipulation for end entitySubscriber certificates.

4.9.16. Limits on Suspension Period

No stipulation for end entitySubscriber certificates.

4.10. CERTIFICATE STATUS SERVICES

No stipulation.

See Section 4.9.9 for OCSP.

If additional certificate status services are supported, they must be described in the CPS.

4.10.1. Operational Characteristics

No stipulation.

Where applicable this must be described in the CPS.

4.10.2. Service Availability

No stipulation.

Where applicable this must be described in the CPS.

4.10.3. Optional Features

No stipulation.

Where applicable this must be described in the CPS.

4.11. END OF SUBSCRIPTION

No stipulation.

4.12. KEY ESCROW AND RECOVERY

4.12.1. Key Escrow and Recovery Policy and Practices

CA private keys are never escrowed.

Human Subscriber key management keys shallmust be escrowed to provide key recovery. CAs shallmust develop a Key Recovery Practice Statement (KRPS) describing the procedures and controls implemented to comply with the FPKI Key Recovery Policy. The KRPS may be a separate document or may be combined with the appropriate Certification Practice Statement and/or Registration Practice Statement. The Federal PKI Policy Authority (FPKIPA) will determine the KRPS compliance with the KRP and this CP.

Under no circumstances shallmust a Subscriber signature key be held in trust by a third party.

4.12.2. Session Key Encapsulation and Recovery Policy and Practices

CAs that support session key encapsulation and recovery shallmust identify the document describing the practices in the applicable CPS.

5. FACILITY, MANAGEMENT, AND OPERATIONAL CONTROLS

5.1. Physical Controls

CA equipment shallmust be protected from unauthorized access while the cryptographic module is installed and activated. The CA shallmust implement physical access controls to reduce the risk of equipment tampering even when the cryptographic module is not installed and activated. CA cryptographic tokens shallmust be protected against theft, loss, and unauthorized use.

All the physical control requirements specified below apply equally to the Common Policy Root CA and subordinateall CAs, and any remote workstations used to administer the CAs except where specifically noted.

Practice Note: The phrase "remote workstations used to administer the CAs," refers to dedicated systems solely used for accessing either the system hosting the CA or the CA itself through external networks for maintenance and administration. It does not refer to administration workstations or consoles within the CA's security perimeter or to Registration Authority workstations used by RAs to support certificate management and Subscribers.

5.1.1. Site Location and Construction

The location and construction of the facility housing the CA equipment, as well as sites housing remote workstations used to administer the CAs, shallmust be consistent with facilities used to house high-value, sensitive information. The site location and construction, when combined with other physical security protection mechanisms such as guards, high security locks, and intrusion sensors, shallmust provide robust protection against unauthorized access to the CA equipment and records.

5.1.2. Physical Access

5.1.2.1. Physical Access for CA Equipment

At a minimum, the physical access controls for CA equipment, as well as remote workstations used to administer the CAs, shall—must:

- Ensure that no unauthorized access to the hardware is permitted.
- Ensure that all removable media and paper containing sensitive plain-text information is stored in secure containers.
- Be manually or electronically monitored for unauthorized intrusion at all times.
- Ensure an access log is maintained and inspected periodically.
- Require two-person physical access control to both the cryptographic module and computer systems.

When not in use, removable cryptographic modules, activation information used to access or enable cryptographic modules, and CA equipment shallmust be placed in secure containers. Activation data shallmust be either memorized or recorded and stored in a manner commensurate with the security afforded the cryptographic module, and shallmust not be stored with the cryptographic module or removable hardware associated with remote workstations used to administer the CA.

A security check of the facility housing the CA equipment or remote workstations used to administer the CAs <u>shallmust</u> occur if the facility is to be left unattended. At a minimum, the check <u>shallmust</u> verify the following:

- The equipment is in a state appropriate to the current mode of operation (e.g., that cryptographic modules are in place when "open," and secured when "closed," and for the CA, that all equipment other than the repository is shut down).
- Any security containers are properly secured.
- Physical security systems (e.g., door locks, vent covers) are functioning properly.
- The area is secured against unauthorized access.

A person or group of persons shall<u>must</u> be made explicitly responsible for making such checks. When a group of persons is responsible, a log identifying the person performing a check at each instance shall<u>must</u> be maintained. If the facility is not continuously attended, the last person to

depart shallmust initial a sign-out sheet that indicates the date and time and asserts that all necessary physical protection mechanisms are in place and activated.

5.1.2.2. Physical Access for RA Equipment

RA equipment shallmust be protected from unauthorized access while the cryptographic module is installed and activated. The RA shallmust implement physical access controls to reduce the risk of equipment tampering even when the cryptographic module is not installed and activated. These security mechanisms shallmust be commensurate with the level of threat in the RA equipment environment.

5.1.2.3. Physical Access for CSS Equipment

Physical access control requirements for CSS equipment (if implemented), shallthat has signing capability must meet the CA physical access requirements specified in Section 5.1.2.1. CSS equipment that do not have a private signing key and only distribute pre-generated OCSP responses are not required to meet these requirements.

5.1.3. Power and Air Conditioning

The CA shallmust have backup capability sufficient to lock out input, finish any pending actions, and record the state of the equipment automatically before lack of alternative power supply in the event of a primary power source failure to either maintain CA operations or air conditioning causes a shutdown, at a minimum, prevent loss of data. The repositories (containing CA certificates and, CRLs) shall, and pre-generated OCSP responses) must be provided with uninterrupted power sufficient for a minimum of six (6) hours operation in the absence of commercial power, to maintain availability and avoid denial of service.

5.1.4. Water Exposures

CA equipment shallmust be installed such that it is not in danger of exposure to water (e.g., on tables or elevated floors).

Potential water damage from fire prevention and protection measures (e.g., sprinkler systems) are excluded from this requirement.

5.1.5. Fire Prevention and Protection

No stipulation.

The CA must comply with local commercial building codes for fire prevention and protection.

5.1.6. Media Storage

Media shallmust be stored so as to protect them from accidental damage (e.g., water, fire, or electromagnetic) and unauthorized physical access.

5.1.7. Waste Disposal

Sensitive media and documentation that are no longer needed for operations shallmust be destroyed in a secure manner. For example, sensitive paper documentation shallmust be shredded, burned, or otherwise rendered unrecoverable.

5.1.8. Off-Site Backup

Full systemCA backups sufficient to recover from system failure shallmust be made on a periodic schedule, and described in a CA's CPS. Backups are to be performed and stored off-site not less than once per week. At least one full backup copy shallmust be stored at an off-site location (separate from CA equipment). Only the latest full backup need be retained. The backup shallmust be stored at a site with physical and procedural controls commensurate to that of the operational CA.

For legacy Federal PKIs operating an offline CACAs, the full system backup shallmust be performed each time the system is turned on or once aper week, whichever is less frequent.

Requirements for CA private key backup are specified in Section 6.2.4.1.

5.2. PROCEDURAL CONTROLS

5.2.1. Trusted Roles

A Trusted Role is one whose incumbent performs functions that can introduce security problems if not carried out properly, whether accidentally or maliciously. The people-personnel selected to fill these roles must be extraordinarily responsible, or the integrity of the CA will be weakened. The functions performed in these roles form the basis of trust for the entire PKI. Two approaches are taken to increase the likelihood that these roles can be successfully carried out. The first ensures that the person filling the role is trustworthy and properly trained. The second distributes the functions among more than one person, so that any malicious activity would require collusion.

The requirements of this policy are defined in terms of four roles. (Note: the information derives from the Certificate Issuing and Management Components (CIMC) Protection Profile.), implementing organizations may define additional roles provided the following separation of duties are enforced.

- 1. Administrator authorized to install, configure, and maintain the CA; establish and maintain system accounts; configure audit parameters; and generate PKI component keys.
- 2. Officer authorized to request or approve certificate issuance and revocations.
- 3. Auditor authorized to review, maintain, and archive audit logs.
- 4. Operator authorized to perform system backup and recovery.

Administrators do not issue certificates to Subscribers.

The roles required for each level of assurance are identified in Section 5.2.4. These four roles are employed at the CA, RA, and CSS locations as appropriate. Separation of duties shallmust comply with Section 5.2.4, and requirements for two-person control with Section 5.2.2, regardless of the titles and numbers of Trusted Roles.

5.2.2. Number of Persons Required per Task

Two or more persons are required for the following tasks:

- CA key generation;
- CA signing key activation;
- CA private key backup.

Where multiparty control is required, at least one of the participants shallmust be an Administrator. All participants must serve in a Trusted Role as defined in Section 5.2.1. Multiparty control shallmust not be achieved using personnel that serve in the Auditor Trusted Role.

5.2.3. Identification and Authentication for Each Role

An individual shallmust identify and authenticate him/herself before being permitted to perform any actions set forth above for that role or identity.

5.2.4. Roles Requiring Separation of Duties

Individuals may only assume one of the Officer, Administrator, and Auditor roles, but any individual may assume the Operator role. The CA and RA software and hardware shallmust identify and authenticate its users and shallmust ensure that no user identity can assume both the Administrator and Officer roles, assume both the Administrator and Auditor roles, or assume both the Auditor and Officer roles. For CAs that issue at id-fpki-common-high, the Auditor may not assume any other role. No individual shallmust have more than one identity.

5.3. Personnel Controls

5.3.1. Qualifications, Experience, and Clearance Requirements

All persons filling Trusted Roles shallmust be selected on the basis of loyalty, trustworthiness, and integrity, and must be U.S. citizens. The requirements governing the qualifications, selection and oversight of individuals who operate, manage, oversee, and audit the CA shallmust be set forth in the CPS.

The FPKIMA Program Manager must hold a Top Secret security clearance.

5.3.2. Background Check Procedures

CA personnel shall, at<u>must receive</u> a <u>minimum</u>, <u>passfavorable adjudication after undergoing</u> a background investigation covering the following areas:

- Employment;
- Education:
- Place of residence;
- Law Enforcement; and
- References.

The period of investigation must cover at least the last five years for each area, excepting the residence check which must cover at least the last three years. Regardless of the date of award, the highest educational degree shallmust be verified.

Adjudication of the background investigation shallmust be performed by a competent adjudication authority using a process consistent with [Executive Order 12968 August 1995,], or equivalent.

5.3.3. Training Requirements

All personnel performing duties with respect to the operation of the CA or RA shallmust receive comprehensive training. Training shallmust be conducted in the following areas:

- CA (or RA) security principles and mechanisms;
- All PKI software versions in use on the CA (or RA) system;
- All PKI duties they are expected to perform;
- Disaster recovery and business continuity procedures; and
- Stipulations of this policy and appropriate CPS.

5.3.4. Retraining Frequency and Requirements

All individuals responsible for PKI roles shallmust be made aware of changes in the CA operation. Any significant change to the operations shallmust have a training (awareness) plan, and the execution of such plan shallmust be documented. Examples of such changes are CA software or hardware upgrade, changes in automated security systems, and relocation of equipment.

Documentation shallmust be maintained identifying all personnel who received training and the level of training completed.

5.3.5. Job Rotation Frequency and Sequence

No stipulation.

Job rotation must not violate role separation. All access rights associated with a previous role must be terminated.

All job rotations must be documented. Individuals assuming an auditor role must not audit their own work from a previous role.

5.3.6. Sanctions for Unauthorized Actions

The CA shallmust take appropriate administrative and disciplinary actions against personnel who have performed actions involving the CA or its RAs that are not authorized in this CP, CPSs, or other published procedures.

5.3.7. Independent Contractor Requirements

Contractors fulfilling Trusted Roles are subject to all personnel requirements stipulated in this policy.

PKI vendors who provide any services shallmust establish procedures to ensure that any subcontractors perform in accordance with this policy and the CPS.

5.3.8. Documentation Supplied to Personnel

Documentation sufficient to define duties and procedures for each role shallmust be provided to the personnel filling that role.

5.4. AUDIT LOGGING PROCEDURES

Audit log files shallmust be generated for all events relating to the security of the CA. For CAs operated in a virtual machine environment (VME)³, audit logs shallmust be generated for all applicable events on both the virtual machine (VM) and isolation kernel (i.e. hypervisor).

Where possible, the security audit logs shallmust be automatically collected. Where this is not possible, a logbook, paper form, or other physical mechanism shallmust be used. All security audit logs, both electronic and non-electronic, shallmust be retained and made available during compliance audits.

5.4.1. Types of Events Recorded

All security auditing capabilities of CA operating system and CA applications required by this CP shallmust be enabled during installation. At a minimum, each audit record shallmust include the following (either recorded automatically or manually for each auditable event):

- The type of event;
- The date and time the event occurred;
- A success or failure indicator when executing the CA's signing process;
- A success or failure indicator when performing certificate revocation; and
- The identity of the entity and/or operator that caused the event.

A message from any source requesting an action <u>requiring the use of a private key controlled</u> by the CA is an auditable event; the corresponding audit record must also include message date and time, source, destination, and contents.

The CA shallmust record the events identified in the list below. Where these events cannot be electronically logged, the CA shall supplement electronic audit logs must be supplemented with physical logs as necessary...

• SECURITY AUDIT:

- o Any changes to the Audit parameters, e.g., audit frequency, type of event audited
- Any attempt to delete or modify the Audit logs
- o Obtaining a third-party time-stamp

• IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION:

Successful and unsuccessful attempts to assume a role

³ For the purposes of this policy, the definition of a virtual machine environment does not include cloud-based solutions (e.g. platform-as-a-service) or container-type solutions (e.g. Docker), which are not permitted for any CA operating under this policy.

- The value of maximum authentication attempts is changed
- Maximum authentication attempts unsuccessful authentication attempts occur during user login
- o An Administrator unlocks an account that has been locked as a result of unsuccessful authentication attempts
- o An Administrator changes the type of authenticator, e.g., from password to biometrics

• LOCAL DATA ENTRY:

o All security-relevant data that is entered in the system

REMOTE DATA ENTRY:

o All security-relevant messages that are received by the system

• DATA EXPORT AND OUTPUT:

 All successful and unsuccessful requests for confidential and security-relevant information

• KEY GENERATION:

• Whenever the CA generates a key. (Not mandatory for single session or one-time use symmetric keys)

• PRIVATE KEY LOAD AND STORAGE:

- The loading of Component private keys
- All access to certificate subject private keys retained within the CA for key recovery purposes

• TRUSTED PUBLIC KEY ENTRY, DELETION AND STORAGE:

o All changes to the trusted public keys, including additions and deletions

• SECRET KEY STORAGE:

o The manual entry of secret keys used for authentication

• PRIVATE AND SECRET KEY EXPORT:

• The export of private and secret keys (keys used for a single session or message are excluded)

• CERTIFICATE REGISTRATION:

All certificate requests

• CERTIFICATE REVOCATION:

All certificate revocation requests

• CERTIFICATE STATUS CHANGE APPROVAL:

o The approval or rejection of a certificate status change request

• CA CONFIGURATION:

o Any security-relevant changes to the configuration of the CA

• ACCOUNT ADMINISTRATION:

- o Roles and users are added or deleted
- o The access control privileges of a user account or a role are modified

• CERTIFICATE PROFILE MANAGEMENT:

All changes to the certificate profile

• REVOCATION PROFILE MANAGEMENT:

o All changes to the revocation profile

• CERTIFICATE REVOCATION LIST PROFILE MANAGEMENT:

o All changes to the certificate revocation list profile

• MISCELLANEOUS:

- o Appointment of an individual to a trusted role
- Designation of personnel for multiparty control
- Installation of the operating system
- o Installation of the CA
- o Installing hardware cryptographic modules
- o Removing hardware cryptographic modules
- Destruction of cryptographic modules
- o System startup
- Logon attempts to CA applications
- o Receipt of hardware / software
- Attempts to set passwords
- Attempts to modify passwords
- Backing up CA internal database
- o Restoring CA internal database
- File manipulation (e.g., creation, renaming, moving)
- o Posting of any material to a repository
- Access to CA internal database
- All certificate compromise notification requests
- Loading tokens with certificates
- Shipment of tokens
- Zeroizing tokens
- o Re-key of the CA
- o Configuration changes to the CA server involving:
 - Hardware
 - Software

- Operating system
- Patches
- Security profiles

PHYSICAL ACCESS / SITE SECURITY:

- Personnel access to room housing CA
- Access to the CA server
- o Known or suspected violations of physical security

ANOMALIES:

- Software error conditions
- Software check integrity failures
- Receipt of improper messages
- Misrouted messages
- Network attacks (suspected or confirmed)
- o Equipment failure
- Electrical power outages
- o Uninterruptible power supply (UPS) failure
- Obvious and significant network service or access failures
- Violations of certificate policy
- Violations of certification practice statement
- Resetting operating system clock

5.4.2. Frequency of Processing Log

For CAs that issue certificates under id-fpki-common-high, review of the audit log shallmust be requiredreviewed at least once every month. For CAs that do not issue certificates under id-fpki-common-high, review of the audit log shallmust be requiredreviewed at least once every two months.

Such reviews involve verifyingmay be performed manually or by an automated process, and must include verification that the log has logs have not been tampered with and then briefly inspecting all, an inspection of log entries, withand a more thorough investigation of root cause analysis for any alerts or irregularities in the logs. A statistically significant portion of the security audit data generated by the CA since the last review shallmust be examined. This amount will be described in the CPS.

All significant events shallmust be explained in an audit log summary. Actions taken as a result of these reviews shallmust be documented.

5.4.3. Retention Period for Audit Log

Audit logs shallmust be retained on-site until reviewed, in addition to being archived as described in Section 5.5. The individual who removes audit logs from the CA system shall be an official different from the individuals who, in combination, command the CA signature key.

5.4.4. Protection of Audit Log

The security audit data shall not be open for reading or modification by any human, or by any automated process, other than those that perform security audit processing. CA system System configuration and operational procedures must be implemented together to ensure that:

- Only authorized people individuals and systems have read access to the logs;
- Only authorized auditors may archive or delete security audit data. logs; and,
- Audit logs are not modified.

Collection of the audit logs from the CA system must be performed by, witnessed by or under the control of trusted roles who are different from the individuals who, in combination, command the CA signature key.

For RA, the authorized individual must be a system administrator other than the RA.

Procedures must be implemented to protect archived data from deletion or destruction before the end of the security audit data retention period (note that deletion requires modification access). Security audit data shall:must be moved to a safe, secure storage location separate from the location where the data was generated.

5.4.5. Audit Log Backup Procedures

Audit logs and audit summaries shallmust be backed up at least monthly. A copy of the audit log shallmust be sent off-site on a monthly basis.

5.4.6. Audit Collection System (Internal vs. External)

The audit log collection system may or may not be external to the CA system. Automated audit processes shallmust be invoked at system or application startup, and cease only at system or application shutdown. Audit collection systems shallmust be configured such that security audit data is protected against loss (e.g., overwriting or overflow of automated log files). Should it become apparent that If an automated audit system has failed, and the integrity of the system or confidentiality of the information protected by the system is at risk, operations shallmust be suspended until the problem has been remedied.

5.4.7. Notification to Event-Causing Subject

There is no requirement to notify a subject that an event was audited. Real-time alerts are neither required nor prohibited by this policy.

5.4.8. Vulnerability Assessments

The CA will CAs must perform routine self-assessments of security controls.

Practice Note: The security audit data should be reviewed by the security auditor for events such as repeated failed actions, requests for privileged information, attempted access of system files, and unauthenticated responses. Security auditors should check for continuity of the security audit data.

Practice Note: The security audit data should be reviewed by the security auditor for events such as repeated failed actions, requests for privileged information, attempted access of system files, and unauthenticated responses. Security auditors should check for continuity of the security audit data.

5.5. RECORDS ARCHIVAL

The Common Policy CACAs must follow either the General Records Schedules established by the National Archives and Records Administration or an agency-specific schedule as applicable.

5.5.1. Types of Events Archived

CA archive records shallmust be sufficiently detailed to determine the proper operation of the CA and the validity of any certificate (including those revoked or expired) issued by the CA. At a minimum, the following data shallmust be recorded for archive:

- CA accreditation (if applicable)
- CA Authority To Operate
- Certificate Policy
- Certification Practice Statement
- Contractual obligations and other agreements concerning operations of the CA
- System and equipment configuration
- Modifications and updates to system or configuration
- Certificate requests
- All certificates issued and/or published
- Record of re-key
- Revocation requests
- Subscriber identity authentication data as per Section 3.2.3
- Documentation of receipt and acceptance of certificates (if applicable)
- Subscriber agreements
- Documentation of receipt of tokens
- All CRLs issued and/or published
- Other data or applications to verify archive contents
- Compliance Auditor reports

- Any changes to the Audit parameters, e.g., audit frequency, type of event audited
- Any attempt to delete or modify the Audit logs
- Whenever the CA generates a key (not mandatory for single session or one-time use symmetric keys)
- All access to certificate subject private keys retained within the CA for key recovery purposes
- All changes to the trusted public keys, including additions and deletions
- The export of private and secret keys (keys used for a single session or message are excluded)
- The approval or rejection of a certificate status change request
- Appointment of an individual to a Trusted Role
- Destruction of cryptographic modules
- All certificate compromise notifications
- Remedial action taken as a result of violations of physical security
- Violations of Certificate Policy
- Violations of Certification Practice Statement

5.5.2. Retention Period for Archive

For CAs that issue certificates under id-fpki-common-high, archive records must be kept for a minimum of 20 years and 6 months without any loss of data.

For CAs that do not issue certificates under id-fpki-common-high, archive records must be kept for a minimum of 10 years and 6 months without any loss of data.

5.5.3. Protection of Archive

No unauthorized user shall be Only authorized users are permitted to write to, modify, or delete the archive. For the CA, Archived records may be moved to another medium. The contents of the archive shallmust not be released except in accordance with Sections 9.3 and 9.4.

Records of individual transactions may be released upon request of any subscribers involved in the transaction or their legally recognized agents. Archive media shallmust be stored in a safe, secure storage facility geographically separate from the CA.

If the original media cannot retain the data for the required period, a mechanism to periodically transfer the archived data to new media shall be defined by the archive site.

Alternatively, a CA operating under this policy may retain data using whatever-procedures have been approved by NARA for that category of documents.or according to agency-specific policy. Applications required to process the archive data shallmust be maintained for a period that equals or exceeds the archive requirements for the data.

Prior to the end of the archive retention period, the FPKIMA shall provide archived data and the applications necessary to read the archives to an FPKIPA-approved archival facility, which shall retain the applications necessary to read this archived data.

5.5.4. Archive Backup Procedures

No stipulation.

5.5.5. Requirements for Time-Stamping of Records

CA archive records shallmust be automatically time-stamped as they are created. The CPS shallmust describe how system clocks used for time-stamping are maintained in synchrony with an authoritative time standard.

5.5.6. Archive Collection System (Internal or External)

Archive data may be collected in any expedient manner.

5.5.7. Procedures to Obtain and Verify Archive Information

Copies of records of individual transactions may be released upon request of any Subscribers involved in the transaction or their legally recognized agents.

Procedures, detailing how to create, verify, package, transmit, and store the CA archive information, shallmust be published in the CPS.

5.6. KEY CHANGEOVER

To minimize risk from compromise Each CA's signing key must have a validity period as described in Section 6.3.2.

Prior to the end of a CA's private-signing-key, that key may validity period, a new CA must be changed often.established or a re-key on the existing CA must be performed. This is referred to as key changeover. From that time on, only the new key willmust be used to sign CA and Subscriber certificates. The old private key may continue to be used to sign CRLs and OCSP responder certificates. If the old private key is used to sign OCSP responder certificates or CRLs that cover certificates signed with that key, the old key must be retained and protected.

After a CA performs a Key Changeover, the CA may continue to issue CRLs with the old key until all certificates signed with that the old key have expired. As an alternative, after all certificates signed with that old key have or been revoked, the CA may issue a final long-term CRL using the old key, with a nextUpdate time past the validity period of all issued certificates. This final CRL shallmust be available for all relying parties until the validity period of all issued certificates has pastpassed. Once the last CRL has been issued, the old private signing key of the CA may be destroyed.

The CA's signing key shall have a validity period as described in section 6.3.2.

When a CA updates its private signature performs a key changeover and thus generates a new public key, the CA shallmust notify all CAs, RAs, and Subscribers that rely on the CA's certificate that it has been changed.

When a CA that distributes self-signed certificates updates its private signature keyperforms a key changeover, the CA shallmay generate key rollover certificates, where the new public key is signed by the old private key, and vice versa. This permits immediate acceptance of newly issued certificates and CRLs without distribution of the new self-signed certificate toby current users. Key rollover certificates are optional for CAs that do not distribute self-signed certificates. SSPs and Federal Legacy PKIs CAs cross certified with the Common Policy Root CA must be able to continue to interoperate with the Common Policy Root CA after the Common Policy Root CA performs a key rollover, whether or not the DN of the Common Policy Root CA is changed.

5.7. COMPROMISE AND DISASTER RECOVERY

CAs under this policy must have an incident handling process, which documents any security incidents. Security incidents may include violation or threat of violation to the system, improper usage, malicious or anomalous activity and violations of the CPS or CP.

5.7.1. Incident and Compromise Handling Procedures

The FPKIPA shallmust be notified within 24 hours if any CAs operating under this policy experience the following:

- suspected or detected compromise of the CA systems;
- suspected or detected compromise of a certificate status server (CSS) if (1) the CSS certificate has a lifetime of more than 72 hours and (2) the CSS certificate cannot be revoked (e.g., an OCSP responder certificate with the id-pkix-ocsp-nocheck extension);
- physical or electronic penetration of CA systems;
- successful denial of service attacks on CA components; or
- any incident preventing the CA from issuing a CRL within 48 hours of prior to the issuancenextUpdate time of the previous CRL.;

The FPKIPA will take appropriate steps to protect the integrity of the Federal PKI.

The CA's Management Authority shall reestablish operational capabilities as quickly as possible in accordance with procedures set forth in the CA's CPS.

- In the eventsuspected or detected compromise of a CSS; or
- suspected or detected compromise of an RA.

The notification must include preliminary remediation analysis.

<u>Once the incident as described above has been resolved</u>, the organization operating the CA shall notify the FPKIPA within 24 hours of incident discovery, along with preliminary remediation analysis.

Within 10 business days of incident resolution, the organization operating the CA shall post a notice on its publically available web page identifying the incident and must provide notification directly to the FPKIPA which includes detailed measures taken to remediate the incident. The public notice shallmust include the following:

- 1. Which CA components were affected by the incident
- 2. The CA's interpretation of the incident-
- 3. Who is impacted by the incident
- 4. When the incident was discovered
- 5. A complete list of all certificates that were eithermay have been issued erroneously or are not compliant with the CP/CPS as a result of the incident
- 6. A statement that the incident has been fully remediated

The notification provided directly to the FPKIPA shall also include detailed measures taken to remediate the incident. The FPKIPA will post the notices to idmanagement.gov and provide an announcement to all Federal Agencies and Bridge Affiliate PKIs.

5.7.2. Computing Resources, Software, and/or Data Are Corrupted

When computing resources, software, and/or data are corrupted, CAs operating under this policy shallmust respond as follows:

- Before returning to operation, ensure that the system's integrity has been restored.
- If the CA signature keys are not destroyed, CA operation shallmust be reestablished, giving priority to the ability to generate certificate status information within the CRL issuance schedule specified in Section 4.9.7.
- If the CA signature keys are destroyed, CA operation shallmust be reestablished as quickly as possible, giving priority to the generation of a new CA key pair.

The <u>customer</u> Agency <u>PMA shallPoints of Contact (POC) must</u> be notified as soon as possible.

In the event of an incident as described above, the organization operating the CA shallmust post a notice on its web page identifying the incident and provide notification to the FPKIPA. See Section 5.7.1 for contents of the notice.

5.7.3. Entity (CA) Private Key Compromise Procedures

In the event of a CA private key compromise, the following operations must be performed:

- The FPKIPA shall be CA must immediately informed, as well as any superior or cross-certified CAs inform the FPKIPA and any entities known to be distributing the CA certificate (e.g., in a root store).
- The CA must request revocation of any certificates issued to the compromised CA.
- The CA must generate new keys in accordance with Section 6.1.1.1.

If the CA distributed the <u>privatepublic</u> key in a Trusted Certificate, the CA <u>shallmust</u> perform the following operations:

- Generate a new Trusted Certificate.
- Securely distribute the new Trusted Certificate as specified in Section 6.1.4.
- Initiate procedures to notify Subscribers of the compromise.

Subscriber certificates <u>issued prior to compromise of the CA private key</u> may be renewed automatically by the CA under the new key pair (see Section 4.6), or the CA may require Subscribers to repeat the initial certificate application process.

The organization operating the CA shallmust post a notice on its web page describing the compromise. See Section 5.7.1 for contents of the notice.

5.7.4. Business Continuity Capabilities after a Disaster

For the <u>Federal</u> Common Policy <u>Root</u> CA, recovery procedures <u>shallmust</u> be in place to reconstitute the CA within six (6) hours of failure.

All other CAs operating under this policy shallmust have recovery procedures in place to reconstitute the CA within 72 hours of failure.

In the case of a disaster whereby the CA installation is physically damaged and all copies of the CA signature key are destroyed as a result, the FPKIPA shallmust be notified at the earliest feasible time, and the FPKIPA shallmust take whatever action it deems appropriate.

Relying parties may decide of their own volition whether to continue to use certificates signed with the destroyed private key pending reestablishment of CA operation with new certificates.

5.8. CA OR RA TERMINATION

Whenever possible, the FPKIPA shallmust be notified at least two weeks prior to the termination of a CA operating under this policy. For emergency termination, CAs shallmust follow the notification procedures in Section 5.7.

When a CA operating under this policy terminates operations before all certificates have expired, the CA signing keys shallmust be surrendered to the FPKIPA. This Section does not apply to CAs that have ceased issuing new certificates but are continuing to issue CRLs until all certificates have expired. Such CAs are required to continue to conform with all relevant aspects of this policy (e.g., audit logging and archives).

Any issued certificates that have not expired, shallmust be revoked and a final long term CRL with a nextUpdate time past the validity period of all issued certificates shallmust be generated. This final CRL shallmust be available for all relying parties until the validity period of all issued certificates has past.passed. Once the last CRL has been issued, the private signing key(s) of the CA to be terminated will be destroyed or taken offline, designated as "not in use", and protected as stipulated in Section 5.1.2.1.

Prior to CA termination, the CA shallmust provide archived data to an archive facility as specified in the CPS. As soon as possible, the CA will advise all other organizations to which it has issued certificates of its termination, using an agreed-upon method of communication specified in the CPS.

When an organizational RA function operating under this policy terminates operations, the RA must archive all audit logs and other records prior to termination and destroy its private keys upon termination.

6. TECHNICAL SECURITY CONTROLS

6.1. Key Pair Generation and Installation

6.1.1. Key Pair Generation

6.1.1.1. CA Key Pair Generation

Cryptographic keying material used by CAs to sign certificates, CRLs or status information shallmust be generated in [FIPS 140] validated cryptographic modules. For CAs that issue certificates under id-fpki common High, the module(s) shall meet or exceed FIPS 140 Level 3. For CAs that do not issue certificates under id-fpki common High, the module(s) shall meet or exceed FIPS 140 Level as specified in Section 6.2.1. Multiparty control is required for CA key pair generation, as specified in Section 6.2.2.

CA key pair generation must create a verifiable audit trail that the security requirements for procedures were followed. The documentation of the procedure must be detailed enough to show that appropriate role separation was used. An independent third party shallmust validate the execution of the key generation procedures either by witnessing the key generation or by examining the signed and documented record of the key generation.

Practice Note: If the audit trail identifies and documents any failures or anomalies in the key generation process, along with the corrective action taken, the key generation process need not be restarted but may continue.

Practice Note: If the audit trail identifies and documents any failures or anomalies in the key generation process, along with the corrective action taken, the key generation process need not be restarted but may continue.

6.1.1.2. Subscriber Key Pair Generation

Subscriber key pair generation may be performed by the Subscriber, CA, or RA. If the CA or RA generates Subscriber key pairs, the requirements for key pair delivery specified in Section 6.1.2 must also be met.

Validated software or hardware cryptographic modules shall be used to generate all subscriber key pairs, as well as pseudo-random numbers and parameters used in key pair generation. For the id-fpki common hardware, id-fpki common High, id-fpki common authentication, id-fpki common pivi authentication, id-fpki common derived pivAuth hardware, id-fpki common pivi-cardAuth, and id-fpki-common-cardauth policies, subscriber key pairs shall be generated in FIPS

140 Level 2 hardware cryptographic modules. Any pseudo-random numbers used for key generation material shall be generated by a FIPS-approved method. Symmetric keys may be generated by means of either software or hardware mechanisms.

Practice Note: If the audit trail identifies and documents any failures or anomalies in the key generation process, along with the corrective action taken, the key generation process need not be restarted but may continue.

Subscriber key pairs must be generated in [FIPS 140] validated cryptographic modules as specified in Section 6.2.1.

For PIV, all keys, with the exception of key management, must be generated on the card.

6.1.1.3. CSS Key Pair Generation

Cryptographic keying material used by <u>CSSesCSSs</u> to sign status information <u>shallmust</u> be generated in [FIPS 140] validated cryptographic modules. For <u>CSSes</u> that provide status under id fpki common High, the module(s) shall meet or exceed FIPS 140 Level 3. For <u>CSSes</u> that do not provide status under id fpki common High, the module(s) shall meet or exceed FIPS 140 Level as specified in Section 6.2.1.

6.1.1.4. PIV Content Signing Key Pair Generation

Cryptographic keying material used by PIV issuing systems or devices for Common PIV Content Signing shallmust be generated in [FIPS 140] validated cryptographic modules. For PIV issuing systems or devices that sign PIV objects on PIV cards that contain certificates that assert id fpki-common-High, the module(s) shall meet or exceed FIPS 140 Level 3. For all other PIV issuing systems or devices, the module(s) shall meet or exceed FIPS 140 Level as specified in Section 6.2. Key generation procedures shall be documented.1.

6.1.2. Private Key Delivery to Subscriber

If Subscribers generate their own key pairs, then there is no need to deliver private keys, and this section does not apply.

When CAs or RAs generate keys on behalf of the Subscriber, then the private key must be delivered securely to the Subscriber. Private keys may be delivered electronically or may be delivered on a hardware cryptographic module. In all cases, the following requirements must be met:

- Anyone who generates a private signing key for a Subscriber shallmust not retain any copy of the key after delivery of the private key to the Subscriber.
- The private key(s) must be protected from activation, compromise, or modification during the delivery process.
- The Subscriber shallmust acknowledge receipt of the private key(s).
- Delivery shallmust be accomplished in a way that ensures that the correct tokens and activation data are provided to the correct Subscribers.

- For hardware modules, accountability for the location and state of the module must be maintained until the Subscriber accepts possession of it.
- o For electronic delivery of private keys, the key material shallmust be encrypted using a cryptographic algorithm and key size at least as strong as the private key. Activation data shallmust be delivered using a separate secure channel.

The CA must maintain a record of the Subscriber acknowledgment of receipt of the token.

6.1.3. Public Key Delivery to Certificate Issuer

Where key pairs are generated by the Subscriber or RA, the public key and the Subscriber's identity must be delivered securely to the CA for certificate issuance. The delivery mechanism shallmust bind the Subscriber's verified identity to the public key. If cryptography is used to achieve this binding, it must be at least as strong as the CA keys used to sign the certificate Subscriber key pair.

6.1.4. CA Public Key Delivery to Relying Parties

When a CA updates its signature key pair, the CA shall distribute the new public key in a secure fashion. The new public key may be distributed in a self-signed certificate, in a key rollover certificate, or in cross-certificates.

Self signed certificates shall The self-signed root CA certificates must be conveyed to relying parties in a secure fashion to preclude substitution attacks. Acceptable methods for self signed certificate delivery are include:

- Loading a self-signed certificate onto tokens delivered to relying parties via secure mechanisms; such as
 - The Trusted Certificate is loaded onto the token during the subscriber's appearance at the RA
 - The Trusted Certificate is loaded onto the token when the RA generates the subscriber's key pair and loads the private key onto the token, which is then delivered to the subscriber in accordance with section 6.1.2.
- Secure distribution of self-signed certificates the certificate through secure out-of-band mechanisms;
- Download the certificate from a Federal Government operated web site secured with a currently valid certificate and subsequent comparison of the hash of the self-signed certificate against a hash value made available via authenticated out-of-band sources (note that hashes posted in-band along with the certificate are not acceptable as an authentication mechanism); and)
- Loading certificates from web sites secured with a currently valid certificate of equal or greater assurance level than the certificate being downloaded.

Practice Note: Other methods that preclude substitution attacks may be considered acceptable.

Key rollover certificates are signed with the CA's current private key, so secure distribution is not required.

Practice Note: To ensure the availability of the new public key, the key rollover certificates must be distributed using repositories.

<u>Practice Note: Other methods that preclude substitution attacks may be considered acceptable.</u>

6.1.5. Key Sizes

This CP requires use of RSA PKCS #1, RSASSA-PSS, or ECDSA signatures; additional restrictions on key sizes and hash algorithms are detailed below. Certificates issued under this policy shallmust contain 2048, 3072, or 4096-bit RSA keys, or 256 or 384-bit elliptic curve public keyskeys. CAs that generate certificates and CRLs under this policy must use the SHA-

Practice Note: Future versions of this policy may specify additional FIPS approved signature algorithms.

256, SHA-384, or SHA-512 hash algorithm when generating digital signatures.

Trusted Certificates that expire before January 1, 2031 shall contain subject public keys of 2048 or 3072 bits for RSA or 256 or 384 bits for elliptic curve, and be signed with the corresponding private key. Trusted Certificates that expire on or after January 1, 2031 shall contain subject public keys of at least 3072 bits for RSA or 256 or 384 bits for elliptic curve, and be signed with the corresponding private key.

	Practice Note: Where certificates are issued to satisfy FIPS 201 requirements, CAs shall use signature keys of 2048 or 3072 or 4096 bits for RSA and 256 or 384 bits for elliptic curve algorithms to sign certificates issued on or after January 1, 2008. CA certificates that expire on or before December 31, 2030	CA certificates that expire after December 31, 2030
Minimum Key Size	RSA: 2048 Elliptic Curve: 256	RSA: 3072 Elliptic Curve: 256
Hash Algorithm	SHA-256, SHA-384, or SHA-512	SHA-256, SHA-384, or SHA-512

CAs that generate certificates and CRLs under this policy shall use signature keys of 1024, 2048, 3072, or 4096 bits for RSA and 256 or 384 bits for elliptic curve algorithms. Certificates that

expire on or after December 31, 2010 shall be generated with 2048 or 3072 bit keys for RSA and 256 or 384 bit keys for elliptic curve algorithms. Certificates that expire after December 31, 2030 shall be generated with at least 3072 bit keys for RSA and 256 or 384 bit keys for elliptic curve algorithms.

CAs that generate certificates and CRLs under this policy shall use the SHA-1, SHA-256, or SHA-384 hash algorithm when generating digital signatures. RSA signatures on certificates and CRLs that are issued after December 31, 2010 shall be generated using SHA-256, however, RSA signatures on CRLs that are issued before January 1, 2012, and that include status information for certificates that were generated using SHA-1 may be generated using SHA-1. RSA signatures on CRLs that are issued on or after January 1, 2012, but before January 1, 2014 that only provide status information for certificates that were generated using SHA-1 may continue to be generated using SHA-1. ECDSA signatures on certificates and CRLs shall be generated using SHA-256 or SHA-384, as appropriate for the key length.

RSA signatures on certificates that are issued after December 31, 2010 and before January 1, 2014, to CAs that issued certificates prior to December 31, 2010 may be generated using SHA-1 provided that CA issues no additional end entity certificates. Additionally, certificates issued to OCSP responders that include SHA-1 certificates may be signed using SHA-1 until December 31, 2013. CAs that issue certificates signed with SHA-224 or SHA-256 after December 31, 2010 must not issue certificates signed with SHA-1.

Where implemented, CSSs shall sign responses using the same signature algorithm, key size, and hash algorithm used by the CA to sign CRLs. After December 31, 2010, OCSP responders that generate signatures on OCSP responses using SHA-1 shall only provide signed responses that are pre-produced (i.e., any signed response that is provided to an OCSP client shall have been signed before the OCSP responder received the request from the client).

End entity certificates issued under id-fpki common devices that expire before December 31, 2010 shall contain RSA public keys that are 1024, 2048, or 3072 bits in length or elliptic curve keys that are 256 or 384 bits. End entity certificates issued under id-fpki-common-devices and id-fpki-common-devicesHardware that expire on or after December 31, 2010 shall contain RSA public keys that are 2048 or 3072 bits or elliptic curve keys that are 256 or 384 bits. End entity certificates issued under id-fpki-common-devices and id-fpki-common-devicesHardware that expire after

	Subscriber certificates that expire on or before December 31, 2030	Subscriber certificates that expire after December 31, 2030
Minimum Key Size	RSA: 2048 Elliptic Curve: 256	RSA: 3072 Elliptic Curve: 256
Hash Algorithm	SHA-256, SHA-384, or SHA-512	SHA-256, SHA-384, or SHA-512

<u>Practice Note:</u> Future versions of this policy may specify additional FIPS-approved <u>signature algorithms.</u>

<u>Practice Note: Reference NIST Special Publication 800-78 for algorithms and key</u> sizes for certificates stored on PIV or Derived PIV credentials.

December 31, 2030 shall contain RSA public keys that are 3072 bits or elliptic curve keys that are 256 or 384 bits.

End entity certificates issued under id-fpki-common-authentication, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth, or id-fpki-common-cardAuth shall contain RSA public keys that are 2048 bits in length or elliptic curve keys that are 256 bits.

End entity certificates issued under id fpki common policy, id fpki common hardware, and idfpki-common-High shall contain RSA public keys that are 2048 or 3072 bits or elliptic curve keys that are 256 or 384 bits.

Use of TLS or another protocol providing similar security to accomplish any of the requirements of this CP shallmust require (1) triple DES or AES for the symmetric key through December 31, 2010 and AES for the symmetric key after December 31, 2010 and and (2) at least 1024-2048-bit RSA or 163-256-bit elliptic curve keys through December 31, 2008, and at least 2048 bit RSA or 224 bit elliptic curve keys after December 31, 2008, and 3072-bit RSA or at least 256-bit elliptic curve keys after December 31, 2030.

6.1.6. Public Key Parameters Generation and Quality Checking

Elliptic curve public key parameters shallmust always be selected from the set specified in Section 7.1.3.

6.1.7. Key Usage Purposes (as per X.509 v3 Key Usage Field)

The use of a specific key is constrained by the Key Usage extension in the X.509 certificate. All certificates shall include a critical key usage extension.

<u>Public keys that are bound into subscriber user All</u> certificates <u>shall must include a critical Key Usage extension.</u>

The dataEncipherment, encipherOnly, and decipherOnly bits must not be asserted in certificates issued under this policy.

- Certificates to be used for authentication must set only for signing the digital Signature bit.
- Certificates to be used by Human Subscribers only for digital signatures must set the digital Signature and nonRepudiation bits.
- Certificates that have the nonRepudiation bit set, must not have keyEncipherment bit or encryptingkeyAgreement bit set.
- Certificates to be used for encryption (RSA) must set the keyEncipherment bit.
- Certificates to be used for key agreement (ECC) must set the keyAgreement bit.
- CA certificates must set only cRLSign and keyCertSign bits.

Keys associated with CA certificates must be used only for signing certificates and CRLs.

Keys associated with Human Subscriber certificates must be used only for digital signature (including authentication) or encryption, but not both.

User Certificates that assert id-fpki-common-authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth- hardware, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth, id-fpki-common-cardAuth, or id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth shall only assert the digitalSignature bit. Other user certificates to be used for digital signatures shall assert both the digitalSignature and nonRepudiation bits. User certificates that contain RSA public keys that are to be used for key transport shall assert the keyEncipherment bit. User certificates that contain elliptic curve public keys that are to be used for key agreement shall assert the keyAgreement bitare used solely for authentication.

Public keys that are bound into CA certificates shall be used only for signing certificates and status information (e.g., CRLs). CA certificates whose subject public key is to be used to verify other certificates shall assert the *keyCertSign* bit. CA certificates whose subject public key is to be used to verify CRLs shall assert the *cRLSign* bit. CA certificates whose subject public key is to be used to verify Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) responses shall assert the *digitalSignature* and/or *nonRepudiation* bits.

Public keys that are bound into device Keys associated with Device Subscriber certificates may be used for digital signature (including authentication), key managementencryption, or both. Device certificates to be used for digital signatures shall assert the digitalSignature bit. Device certificates that contain RSA public keys that are to be used for key transport shall assert the keyEncipherment bit. Device certificates that contain elliptic curve public keys that are to be used for key agreement shall assert the keyAgreement bit. Device certificates to be used for both digital signatures and key management shall assert the digitalSignature bit and either the keyEncipherment (for RSA) or keyAgreement (for elliptic curve) bit. Device certificates shallmust not assert the nonRepudiation bit.

The *dataEncipherment*, *encipherOnly*, and *decipherOnly* bits shall not be asserted in certificates issued under this policy.

For End Entityall Subscriber certificates issued after June 30, 2019, the Extended Key Usage extension shallmust always be present and shall not contain any Extended Key Usage {2.5.29.37.0}.

For all Subscriber certificates, Extended Key Usage OIDs shallmust be consistent with key usage bits asserted. The Extended Key Usage extension must not contain anyExtendedKeyUsage {2.5.29.37.0} or id-kpcodeSigning {1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.3}.

If a certificate is used for authentication of ephemeral keys, the Key Usage bit in the certificate must assert the *digitalSignature* bit and may or may not assert *keyEncryption* and *keyAgreement* depending on the public key in the SPKI of the certificate.

Signing certificates issued under the policy for Certificates that assert id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning or must include a critical Extended Key Usage extension that asserts only id-PIV-content-signing {2.16.840.1.101.3.6.7} (see [CCP-PROF]).

<u>Certificates that assert</u> id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning <u>shallmust</u> include <u>an extended key usage of a critical Extended Key Usage extension that asserts only id-*PIV*fpki-pivi-content-signing <u>{2.16.840.1.101.3.8.7}</u> (see [<u>CCP PROFPIV-I Profile</u>]).</u>

6.2. PRIVATE KEY PROTECTION AND CRYPTOGRAPHIC MODULE ENGINEERING CONTROLS

6.2.1. Cryptographic Module Standards and Controls

The relevant standard for cryptographic modules is Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules [FIPS 140-2]. A FIPS 140 Level 1 or higher validated cryptographic module must be used for all cryptographic operations. Cryptographic modules shallmust be minimally validated to a FIPS 140 level identified in this section.

In accordance with FIPS 201, the relevant NIST Guideline for PIV Card Issuers (PCI) and Derived PIV Credential Issuers is NIST SP 800-79, *Guidelines for the Accreditation of PCIs and Derived PIV Credential Issuers* (DPCI), which utilizes various aspects of NIST SP 800-37 and applies them to accrediting the reliability of PCIs and DPCIs.

CAs that issue certificates under id fpki common High shall use a FIPS 140 Level 3 or higher validated hardware cryptographic module. CAs that do not issue certificates under id fpki common-High shall

Private Key	FIPS 140 Level
CA■ all applicable policies	<u>3</u>
CSS all applicable policies	2
PIV and Common PIV-I Content Signing • id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning • id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning	2
Hardware Signature and Authentication id-fpki-common-authentication id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware id-fpki-common-cardAuth id-fpki-common-hardware id-fpki-common-high id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth	2
Hardware Subscriber Key Management • id-fpki-common-hardware	2
Hardware Device • id-fpki-common-devicesHardware	2
Software Signature and Authentication otionid-fpki-common-policy otionid-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth	1
Software Subscriber Key Management • id-fpki-common-policy	<u>1</u>
Software Device • id-fpki-common-devices	1

<u>RAs must</u> use a FIPS 140 Level 2 or higher validated hardware cryptographic module <u>when</u> authenticating to systems to fulfill their duties.

RAs shall use a FIPS 140 Level 2 or higher validated hardware cryptographic module.

PIV Cards are PKI tokens that have private keys associated with certificates asserting id fpki-common-authentication or id-fpki-common-cardAuth. PIV Cards shallPIV or Common PIV-I cards must only be issued using card stock that has been tested and approved by the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program and listed on the GSA Approved Products List (APL).

PIV-I cards have private keys associated with certificates asserting id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication or id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth. PIV-I cards issued by federal executive branch agencies and the certification authorities operating under this policy shall only be issued using card stock that has been tested and approved by the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program and listed on the GSA-Approved Products List (APL). Card stock that has been removed from the APL may continue to be issued for no more than one year after GSA approved replacement card stock is available. SmartPIV or Common PIV-I cards issued using the deprecated card stock may continue to be used until the current Subscriber certificates expire, unless otherwise notified by the FPKIPA/FPKIMA. On an annual basis, for each PCI configuration used (as defined by the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program), one populated, representative sample PIV and/or PIV-I Card shall be submitted to the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program for testing.

Subscribers shall use a FIPS 140 Level 1 or higher validated cryptographic module for all cryptographic operations. Subscribers issued certificates under the hardware users policy (idfpki common hardware or idfpki common devicesHardware), one of the authentication policies (idfpki common authentication, idfpki common pivi authentication, idfpki common derived-pivAuth-hardware, idfpki-common-cardAuth, or idfpki-common-pivi-cardAuth), or common High policy (idfpki-common-High) shall use a FIPS 140 Level 2 or higher validated hardware cryptographic module for all private key operations.

CSSes that provide status information for certificates issued under id-fpki-common High shall use a FIPS 140 Level 3 or higher validated hardware cryptographic module. CSSes that do not provide status information for certificates issued under id-fpki-common-High shall use a FIPS 140 Level 2 or higher validated hardware cryptographic module.

Any pseudo-random numbers used for key generation material must be generated using a FIPS-validated cryptographic module.

6.2.2. Private Key (n out of m) Multi-Person Control

A single person shallmust not be permitted to activate or access any cryptographic module that contains the complete CA private signing key. CA signature keys may be backed up only under two-person control. Access to CA signing keys backed up for disaster recovery shallmust be under at least two-person control. The names of the parties used for two-person control shallmust be maintained on a list that shallmust be made available for inspection during compliance audits.

6.2.3. Private Key Escrow

CA private keys are never escrowed.

Human Subscriber key management keys shallmust be escrowed to provide key recovery as described in Section 4.12.1. If a device has a separate key management key certificate, the key management private key may be escrowed.

6.2.4. Private Key Backup

6.2.4.1. Backup All backups of the CA Private Signature Key

The CA, CSS and PIV Content Signing private signature keys shallmust be backed upaccounted for and protected under the same multipersonmulti-person control as the original signature key. At least one copy of the private signature key shall be stored off-site. All copies of the CA private signature key shall be accounted for and protected in the same manner as the original. Backup procedures shall be included in the CA's CPS must be stored off-site. For all other keys, backup, when permitted, must provide security controls consistent with the protection provided by the original cryptographic module. Backed up private signature key(s) must not be exported or stored in plaintext form outside the cryptographic module.

6.2.4.2. Backup of Subscriber Private Signature Key

Subscriber private signature keys whose corresponding public key is contained in a certificate asserting the id-fpki-common authentication, id-fpki-common pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common derived pivAuth hardware, id-fpki-common cardAuth, id-fpki-common pivi-cardAuth, or id-fpki-common High policy shall not be backed up or copied.

All other

THEF	
Private Key	Backup
CA■ all applicable policies	Required
CSS all applicable policies	<u>Optional</u>
PIV and Common PIV-I Content Signing id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning	<u>Optional</u>
Hardware Signature and Authentication olid-fpki-common-authentication olid-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware olid-fpki-common-cardAuth olid-fpki-common-hardware olid-fpki-common-high olid-fpki-common-pivi-authentication olid-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth	Not Permitted
Hardware Subscriber Key Management • id-fpki-common-hardware	Required
Hardware Device • id-fpki-common-devicesHardware	Optional
Software Signature and Authentication	Optional *

 id-fpki-common-policy id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth 	
Software Subscriber Key Management • id-fpki-common-policy	Required
Software Device • id-fpki-common-devices	<u>Optional</u>

^{*} Software Subscriber private signature keys may be backed up or copied, but must be held in the Subscriber's control.—Backed up subscriber private signature keys shall not be stored in plaintext form outside the cryptographic module. Storage must ensure security controls consistent with the protection provided by the subscriber's cryptographic module.

6.2.4.3. Backup of Subscriber Private Key Management Key

Backed up subscriber private key management keys shall not be stored in plaintext form outside the cryptographic module. Storage must ensure security controls consistent with the protection provided by the subscriber's cryptographic module.

6.2.4.4. Backup of CSS Private Key

CSS private keys may be backed up. If backed up, all copies shall be accounted for and protected in the same manner as the original.

6.2.4.5. Backup of Device Private Keys

Device private keys may be backed up or copied, but must be held under the control of the device's human sponsor or other authorized administrator. Backed up device private keys shall not be stored in plaintext form outside the cryptographic module. Storage must ensure security controls consistent with the protection provided by the device's cryptographic module.

6.2.4.6. Backup of Common PIV Content Signing Key

The Common PIV Content Signing private signature keys shall be backed up under multi-person control. At least one copy of the private signature key shall be stored in a secondary location. All copies of the Common PIV Content Signing private signature key shall be accounted for and protected in the same manner as the original. Backed up Common PIV Content private signature keys shall not be exported or stored in plaintext form outside the cryptographic module. Backup procedures shall be documented.

6.2.5. Private Key Archival

CA private signature keys and Subscriber private <u>signatures signature</u> keys <u>shallmust</u> not be archived. CAs that retain Subscriber private encryption keys for business continuity purposes <u>shallmust</u> archive such Subscriber private keys, in accordance with Section 5.5.

6.2.6. Private Key Transfer into or from a Cryptographic Module

CA private At no time shall the CA private key exist in plaintext outside the cryptographic module boundary.

<u>CA, CSS and PIV Content Signing private signature</u> keys may be exported from the cryptographic module only to perform CA-key backup procedures as described in Section 6.2.4.1. At no time shall the CA private key exist in plaintext outside the cryptographic module.

All other keys shall be generated by and in a cryptographic module. In the event that any private key is to be transported from one cryptographic module to another, the private key must be encrypted during transport; protected using a FIPS approved algorithm and at a bit strength commensurate with the key being transported. Private keys must never exist in plaintext form outside the cryptographic module boundary.

Private or symmetric keys used to encrypt other private keys for transport must be protected from disclosure.

6.2.7. Private Key Storage on Cryptographic Module

No stipulation beyond that specified in [FIPS 140-].

6.2.8. Method of Activating Private Key

For certificates issued under id-fpki-common authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common derived pivAuth hardware, id-fpki-common derived pivAuth, id-fpki-common policy, id-fpki-common hardware, and id-fpki-common High, the subscriber must be authenticated to the cryptographic token before the activation of the associated private key(s). Acceptable means of authentication include but are not limited to passphrases, PINs or biometrics. When passphrases or PINs are used, they shall be a minimum of six (6) characters. Entry of activation data shall be protected from disclosure (i.e., the data should not be displayed while it is entered).

For certificates issued under id-fpki common devices and id-fpki common devicesHardware, the device may be configured to activate its private key without requiring its human sponsor or authorized administrator to authenticate to the cryptographic token, provided that appropriate physical and logical access controls are implemented for the device and its cryptographic token. For certificates issued under id-fpki common piv contentSigning or id-fpki common pivicontentSigning, the PIV card issuance system or device may be configured to activate its private key without requiring its human sponsor or authorized administrator to authenticate to the cryptographic token, provided that appropriate physical and logical access controls are implemented for content signing operations conformant with PIV issuance requirements (see [FIPS 201]). The strength of the security controls shall be commensurate with the level of threat in the device's environment, and shall protect the device's hardware, software, and the cryptographic token and its activation data from compromise.

For certificates issued under id-fpki-common-cardAuth or id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth, subscriber authentication is not required to use the associated private key.

Cryptographic modules must be protected from unauthorized access.

Subscriber private key activation requirements are detailed in the following table:

Policy Asserted	Activation Requirements
id-fpki-common-authentication id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth id-fpki-common-policy id-fpki-common-hardware id-fpki-common-high id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication	Passphrases, PINs or biometrics. When passphrases or PINs are used, they must be a minimum of six (6) characters. Entry of activation data must be protected from disclosure (i.e., the data should not be displayed while it is entered).
id-fpki-common-devices and id-fpki-common-devicesHardware	May be configured to activate the private key without requiring a human sponsor or authorized administrator to authenticate to the cryptographic token. The appropriate physical and logical access controls must be implemented for the device and its cryptographic token.
id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning	May be configured to activate the private key without requiring a human sponsor or authorized administrator to authenticate to the cryptographic token. The appropriate physical and logical access controls must be implemented for content signing operations conformant with PIV issuance requirements (see [FIPS 201]). The strength of the security controls must be commensurate with the level of threat in the PIV credential issuance system's environment, and must protect the hardware, software, and the cryptographic token and its activation data from compromise.
id-fpki-common-cardAuth id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth	None.

6.2.9. Method of Deactivating Private Key

Cryptographic modules that have been activated shall not be available to unauthorized access. After use, the cryptographic module shallmust be deactivated, e.g., via a manual logout procedure or automatically after a period of inactivity as defined in the applicable CPS. CA cryptographic modules shallmust be removed and stored physically secured per requirements in a secure container Section 5.1 when not in use.

6.2.10. Method of Destroying Private Key

Individuals in Trusted Roles shallmust destroy all copies of CA, RA, and CSS (e.g., OCSP server) private signature keys and activation data (e.g. operator card set or tokens) when they are no longer needed. Subscribers shallmust either surrender their cryptographic module to CA/RA personnel for destruction or destroy their private signature keys, when they are no longer needed or when the certificates to which they correspond expire or are revoked. Physical destruction of hardware is not required.

Practice Note: Destruction will likely be performed by executing a "zeroize" command.

<u>Practice Note: Destruction will likely be performed by executing a "zeroize" command.</u>

To ensure future access to encrypted data, Subscriber private key management keys should be secured in long-term backups or archived.

6.2.11. Cryptographic Module Rating

See Section 6.2.1.

6.3. OTHER ASPECTS OF KEY PAIR MANAGEMENT

6.3.1. Public Key Archival

The public key is archived as part of the certificate archival.

6.3.2. Certificate Operational Periods and Key Usage Periods

The usage period for For CAs such as the Federal Common Policy Root CA key pair CA that issue certificates only to other CAs or CSSs, the maximum key usage period is a maximum of 20 years.

For all other All CAs operating under this policy that issue Subscriber certificates, the usage period for a CA key pair is a maximum of ten 10 years. The

⁴ Content Signing and OCSP Signing certificates are excluded from this requirement.

A CA private key-may be used to sign certificates for at most four years, but may be used to sign CRLs and OCSP responder certificates for the entire usage period. All certificates signed by a specific CA key pair must expire before the end of that key pair's usage period.

Subscriber public keys in certificates that assert the id-PIV content signing or id-fpki common-pivi-contentSigning OID in the extended key usage extension have a maximum usage period of nine years. The private keys corresponding to the public keys in these certificates have a maximum usage period of three years. Expiration of the id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning or id-fpki-common pivi contentSigning certificate shall be later than the expiration of the credential's id-fpki-common authentication, id-fpki-common pivi authentication, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, or id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth certificates.

For OCSP responders operating under this policy and all other subscriber public keys, the maximum usage period is three years. Subscriber signature private keys have the same usage period as their corresponding public key. The usage period for subscriber key management private keys is not restricted.

<u>Key</u>	Private Key	<u>Certificate</u>
Subscriber Authentication	3 years	3 years
Subscriber Signature	3 years	3 years
Subscriber Encryption	<u>Unrestricted</u>	3 years
Card Authentication	3 years	3 years
Content Signing	3 Years	9 Years *
OCSP Responder	3 years	<u>120 days</u>
<u>Device</u>	3 years	3 years

^{*} Expiration of the Content Signing certificate must be later than the expiration of the Subscriber certificates on the same PIV credential.

6.4. ACTIVATION DATA

6.4.1. Activation Data Generation and Installation

CA activation data may be user-selected (by each of the multiple parties holding that activation data). If the activation data must be transmitted, it shallmust be via an appropriately protected channel, and distinct in time and place from the associated cryptographic module.

RA and Subscriber activation data may be user-selected. The strength of the activation data shallmust meet or exceed the requirements for authentication mechanisms stipulated for Level 2 in [FIPS 140-2.]. If the activation data must be transmitted, it shallmust be via an appropriately protected channel, and distinct in time and place from the associated cryptographic module.

6.4.2. Activation Data Protection

Data used to unlock private keys shallmust be protected from disclosure by a combination of cryptographic and physical access control mechanisms. Activation data shallmust be:

- memorized;
- biometric in nature; or
- recorded and secured at the level of assurance associated with the activation of the cryptographic module, and shallmust not be stored with the cryptographic module.

Practice Note: Level 2 in FIPS 140-2 requires that the protection mechanism includes a facility to protect against repeated guessing attacks.

<u>Practice Note: Level 2 in [FIPS 140] requires that the protection mechanism includes a facility to protect against repeated guessing attacks.</u>

6.4.3. Other Aspects of Activation Data

No stipulation.

A CA operating under this policy must define any other aspects of Activation Data in its CPS.

6.5. Computer Security Controls

6.5.1. Specific Computer Security Technical Requirements

Computer security controls are required to ensure CA/RA operations are performed as specified in For CAs operating under this policy. The following, the computer security functions pertaining to the Common Policy Root CAlisted below are required. These functions may be provided by the operating system, or through a combination of operating system, software, and physical safeguards:

Require authenticated logins

- Provide discretionary access control
- Provide a security audit capability
- Enforce access control for CA services and PKI roles
- Enforce separation of duties for PKI roles
- Require identification and authentication of PKI roles and associated identities
- Prohibit object reuse or require separation for CA random access memory
- Require use of cryptography for session communication and database security
- Archive CA history and audit data
- Require self-test security-related CA services
- Require a trusted path for identification of PKI roles and associated identities
- Require a recovery mechanism for keys and the CA system
- Enforce domain integrity boundaries for security-critical processes.

For those portions of the Common Policy Root CA operating in a VME, the following security functions also pertain to the hypervisor:

- Require authenticated logins
- Provide discretionary access control
- Provide a security audit capability
- Enforce separation of duties for PKI roles
- Prohibit object reuse or require separation for CA random access memory
- Require use of cryptography for session communication and database security
- Archive CA history and audit data
- Require self-test security-related CA services
- Enforce domain integrity boundaries for security-critical processes.

For other CAs operating under this policy, the computer security functions listed below are required. These functions may be provided by the operating system, or through a combination of operating system, software, and physical safeguards. The CA and its ancillary parts shallmust include the following functionality (in a VME, these functions are applicable to both the VM and hypervisor):

- authenticate the identity of users before permitting access to the system, data, or applications;
- manage privileges of users to limit users to their assigned roles;
- generate and archive audit records for all transactions; (see Section 5.4)
- enforce domain integrity boundaries for security critical processes; and
- supportrequire use of cryptography for session communication and database security;

- require self-test security-related CA services;
- require a trusted path for identification of all users;
- provide residual information protection; and
- <u>require</u> recovery from key or system failure.

For certificate status servers operating under this policy, the computer security functions listed below are required (in a VME, these functions are applicable to both the VM and hypervisor):

- authenticate the identity of users before permitting access to the system or applications;
- manage privileges of users to limit users to their assigned roles;
- enforce domain integrity boundaries for security critical processes; and
- supportprovide residual information protection; and
- require recovery from key or system failure.

For remote workstations used to administer the CAs, the computer security functions listed below are required:

- authenticate the identity of users before permitting access to the system or applications;
- manage privileges of users to limit users to their assigned roles;
- generate and archive audit records for all transactions; (see Section 5.4)
- enforce domain integrity boundaries for security critical processes; and
- support provide residual information protection; and
- require recovery from key or system failure.

All communications between any PKI Trusted Role and the CA shallmust be authenticated and protected from modification.

6.5.2. Computer Security Rating

No Stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must identify any Computer Security Rating requirements in the applicable CPS.

6.6. LIFE CYCLE TECHNICAL CONTROLS

6.6.1. System Development Controls

The system development controls for the CA (including any remote workstations used to administer the CA) and RA are as follows:

- The CA shall use software that has been designed and developed under a formal, documented development methodology.
- Hardware and software <u>procuredused</u> to <u>administer or</u> operate the CA <u>shallmust</u> be <u>purchasedprocured</u> in a fashion to reduce the likelihood that any particular component was

tampered with (e.g., by ensuring the vendor cannot identify the PKI component that will be installed on a particular device).

- <u>Custom</u> hardware and software <u>developed specifically for the CA shallmust</u> be developed in a controlled environment, and the development process <u>shallmust</u> be defined and documented. This requirement does not apply to commercial off-the-shelf hardware or software.
- The CA hardware and software, including the VME hypervisor, shallmust be dedicated to operating and supporting the CA (i.e., the systems and services dedicated to the issuance and management of certificates). There shallmust be no other applications, hardware devices, network connections, or component software installed that are not parts of the CA operation, administration, monitoring and security compliance of the system. Where the CA operation supports multiple CAs, the hardware platform may support multiple CAs. In a VME, a single hypervisor may support multiple CAs and their supporting systems, provided all systems have comparable security controls and are dedicated to the support of the CA.
- In<u>If</u> a <u>VMECA operates in a VM</u>, all VM systems <u>in that VMS</u> must operate in the same security zone as the CA.
- Proper care shallmust be taken to prevent malicious software from being loaded onto the
 CA equipment. All applications required to perform the operation of the CA shallmust be
 obtained from documented sources. With the exception of Offline CAs, CA and RA
 hardware and software shallmust be scanned for malicious code on first use and
 periodically thereafter.
- Hardware and software updates shallmust be purchased or developed in the same manner as original equipment, and shallmust be installed by trusted and trained personnel in a defined manner.

6.6.2. Security Management Controls

The configuration of the CA system, in addition to any modifications and upgrades, shallmust be documented and controlled. There shallmust be a mechanism for detecting unauthorized modification to the software or configuration. The CA software, when first loaded, shallmust be verified as being that supplied from the vendor, with no modifications, and be the version intended for use. The CA shallmust periodically verify the integrity of the software-as specified in the CPS.

6.6.3. Life Cycle Security Controls

No stipulation.

<u>CAs operating under this policy must identify any Life Cycle Security Control requirements in the applicable CPS.</u>

6.7. NETWORK SECURITY CONTROLS

This section does not apply to offline CAs.

A network guard, firewall, or filtering router must protect network access to CA equipment. The network guard, firewall, or filtering router shallmust limit services allowed to and from the CA equipment to those required to perform CA functions.

Protection of CA equipment shall<u>must</u> be provided against known network attacks. All unused network ports and services shall<u>must</u> be turned off. Any network software present on the CA equipment shall<u>must</u> be necessary to the functioning of the CA application.

Any boundary control devices used to protect the network on which PKI equipment is hosted shallmust deny all but the necessary services to the PKI equipment.

Repositories, <u>certificate status serversCSSs</u>, and remote workstations used to administer the CAs <u>shallmust</u> employ appropriate network security controls. Networking equipment <u>shallmust</u> turn off unused network ports and services. Any network software present <u>shallmust</u> be necessary to the <u>functioningfunction</u> of the equipment.

The CA shall establish connection with a The remote workstation used to administer the CA only after successful must use a VPN to access the CA. The VPN must be configured for mutual authentication, encryption and integrity. If mutual authentication is shared secret based, the shared secret must be changed at least annually, must be randomly generated, and must have entropy commensurate with the cryptographic strength of the certificates issued by the PKI being administered.

<u>The CA must permit</u> remote <u>workstation</u>administration only after <u>successful multi-factor</u> authentication of the Trusted Role at a level of assurance commensurate with that of the CA.

6.8. TIME-STAMPING

Asserted times shallmust be accurate to within three minutes. Electronic or manual procedures may be used to maintain system time. Clock adjustments are auditable events (see Section 5.4.1).

7. CERTIFICATE, CRL, AND OCSP PROFILES

7.1. CERTIFICATE PROFILE

Certificates issued by a CA under this policy shallmust conform to the Common Policy X.509 Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Extensions Profile for the Shared Service Providers (SSP) ProgramProfiles [CCP-PROF].

7.1.1. Version Number(s)

The CA shall issue Certificates must be of type X.509 v3-certificates (populate version field with integer "2").

7.1.2. Certificate Extensions

Rules for the inclusion, assignment of value, and processing of extensions are defined in [CCP-PROF].

7.1.3. Algorithm Object Identifiers

Certificates issued under this CP shallmust use the following OIDs for signatures:

Signature Algorithm	Object Identifier
sha256WithRSAEncryption sha-1WithRSAEncryption	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs- 1(1) 5 }11} (1.2.840.113549.1.1.11)
sha384WithRSAEncryption sha256WithRSAEncryption	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs 1(1) 11} 12} (1.2.840.113549.1.1.12)
RSA with PSS paddingsha512WithRSAEn cryption	id-RSASSA-PSS ::= {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-1(1) 10 13 (1.2.840.113549.1.1.13)
id-RSASSA-PSS	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs- 1(1) 10} (1.2.840.113549.1.1.10)
ecdsa-with-SHA256	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ansi-X9-62(10045) signatures(4) ecdsa-with-SHA2(3) 2} (1.2.840.10045.4.3.2)
ecdsa-with-SHA384	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ansi-X9-62(10045) signatures(4) ecdsa-with-SHA2(3) 3} (1.2.840.10045.4.3.3)
ecdsa-with-SHA512	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ansi-x962(10045) signatures(4) ecdsa-with-SHA2(3) 4} (1.2.840.10045.4.3.4)

The PSS padding scheme OID is independent of the hash algorithm; The hash algorithm is specified as a parameter (for details, see [PKCS#1]). Certificates issued under this CP must use the SHA-256 hash algorithm when generating RSASSA-PSS signatures. The following OID shallmust be used to specify the hash in an RSASSA-PSS digital signature:

SHA-256	id sha256 ::= {joint-iso-itu-t(2) country(16) us(840)
	organization(1) gov(101) csor(3) nistalgorithm(4) hashalgs(2)
	1} <u>(2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.1)</u>

Certificates <u>issued under this CP shallmust</u> use the following OIDs to identify the algorithm associated with the subject key:

Public Key Algorithm	Object Identifier
rsaEncryption	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-1(1) 1} (1.2.840.113549.1.1.1)
id-ecPublicKey	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ansi-X9-62(10045) id-publicKeyType(2) 1} (1.2.840.10045.2.1)

Where the certificate contains an elliptic curve public key, the parameters shallmust be specified as one of the following named curves:

Curve	Object Identifier
ansip256r1	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) 10045 curves(3) prime(1) 7} (1.2.840.10045.3.1.7)
ansip384r1	{iso(1) identified-organization(3) certicom(132) curve(0) 34 } (1.3.132.0.34)

7.1.4. Name Forms

The subject field in and issuer fields of certificates issued under id-fpki-common-this policy, id-fpki-common hardware, id-fpki-common-authentication, id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, id-fpki-common derived pivAuth hardware, id-fpki-common derived pivAuth, id-fpki-common-High, id-fpki-common devices, id-fpki-common devicesHardware, id-fpki-common piv-contentSigning, and id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning shall must be populated with an X.500 Distinguished Name as specified in Section 3.1.1.

The issuer field of certificates issued under the policies in this document shall be populated with a non-empty X.500 Distinguished Name as specified in section 3.1.1.

The subject alternative name extension shall be present and include the pivFASC N name type in certificates issued under id-fpki common authentication and id-fpki common cardAuth.

The subject alternative name extension shall be present and include a UUID, encoded as a URI, in certificates issued under id-fpki-common-authentication, id-fpki-common-cardAuth, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth-hardware, id-fpki-common-derived-pivAuth, id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication, and id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth.

7.1.5. Name Constraints

The CAs may assert Name constraints may be asserted in CA certificates.

7.1.6. Certificate Policy Object Identifier

Certificates issued under this CP shallmust assert at least one of the following OIDs policy OID as specified in Section 1.2 in the certificate policies extension, as appropriate:

```
id-fpki-common policy ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 6}
id-fpki-common-hardware ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 7}
id-fpki-common-devices ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 8}
id-fpki-common-devicesHardware ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 36}
id-fpki-common-authentication ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 13}
id-fpki-common-derived pivAuth ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 40}
id-fpki-common-derived pivAuth hardware ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 41}
id-fpki-common-High ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 16}
id-fpki-common-eardAuth ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 17}
id-fpki-common-piv-contentSigning ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 39}
id-fpki-common-pivi-authentication ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 45}
id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 46}
id-fpki-common-pivi-contentSigning ::= {2 16 840 1 101 3 2 1 3 47}
```

Certificates that express the id-fpki-common-<u>cardAuth</u>, <u>id-fpki-common-pivi-cardAuth</u>, <u>id-f</u>

<u>Delegated OCSP Responder certificates must assert all policy OIDs for which they are</u> authoritative.

7.1.7. Usage of Policy Constraints Extension

The CAs may assert policy constraints in CA certificates. When this extension appears, at least one of requireExplicitPolicy or inhibitPolicyMapping must be present. When present, this extension should be marked as noncritical*, to support legacy applications that cannot process policyConstraints. For Subordinate CA certificates inhibitPolicyMappings, skip certs will be set to 0. For cross-certificates inhibitPolicyMappings, skip certs will be set to 1, or 2 for the Federal Bridge CA. When requireExplicitPolicy is included, skip certs will be set to 0 When present, this extension may be marked critical.

For certificates issued to the Federal Bridge CA, inhibitPolicyMappings skip certs must be set to 2.

For all other CA certificates issued by the Federal Common Policy CA, inhibitPolicyMappingskip certs must be set to 0. When requireExplicitPolicy is included, skip certs must be set to 0.

7.1.8. Policy Qualifiers Syntax and Semantics

Certificates issued under this CP shall not may contain a policy qualifier squalifier containing a CPS URI.

7.1.9. Processing Semantics for the Critical Certificate Policies Extension

Certificates issued under this policy shall not must contain a non-critical certificate policies extension.

7.1.10. Inhibit Any Policy Extension

The CAs may assert InhibitAnyPolicy in CA certificates. When present, this extension shouldmay be marked as noncritical*, to support legacy applications that cannot process *InhibitAnyPolicy*.critical. Skip certs shallmust be set to 0, since certificate policies are required in the Federal PKI.

*Note: The recommended criticality setting is different from RFC 5280.

7.2. CRL Profile

CRLs issued by a CA under this CP shallmust conform to the CRL profile specified in [CCP-PROF].

7.2.1. Version Number(s)

The CAs shallmust issue X.509 Version two (2) CRLs.

7.2.2. CRL and CRL Entry Extensions

Detailed CRL profiles addressing the use of each extension are specified in [CCP-PROF].

7.3. OCSP Profile

Certificate status servers (CSSs) operated under this policy shallmust sign responses using algorithms designated for CRL signing.

All CSSs shall be able to processmust accept and return SHA-1 hashes when included in the CertID field and the keyHash in the responderID fields. CSS may accept and return additional hash algorithms within the CertID fields. CSSs must not return any response containing a hash algorithm in the CertID that differs from the CertID in the request.

7.3.1. Version Number(s)

CSSs operated under this policy shallmust use OCSP version 1.

7.3.2. OCSP Extensions

Critical OCSP extensions shallmust not be used.

8. COMPLIANCE AUDIT AND OTHER ASSESSMENTS

CAs operating under this policy are subject to an annual review by the FPKIPA to ensure their policies and operations remain compliant with this policy.

CAs operating under this policy shallmust have a compliance audit mechanism in place to ensure that the requirements of their CPS are being implemented and enforced. The SSP organization's PMA shallmust be responsible for ensuring annual audits are conducted for all PKI functions regardless of how or by whom the PKI components are managed and operated.

Agencies must ensure they have appropriate authority to operate, in accordance with [FIPS 201] and [NIST SP 800-79] Guidelines for the Accreditation of PIV Card Issuers and Derived PIV Credential Issuers (DPCI). Agencies must also ensure annual PKI compliance audits are conducted for all PKI operations for which they are responsible.

For the <u>Federal</u> Common Policy <u>Root</u> CA, the FPKIMA <u>shallmust</u> have a compliance audit mechanism in place to ensure that the requirements of this CP are being implemented and enforced by its CPS.

This CP does not impose a requirement for any particular assessment methodology.

8.1. Frequency or Circumstances of Assessment

This specification-does not impose a requirement for any particular assessment methodology.

8.1.1.1. FREQUENCY OR CIRCUMSTANCES OF ASSESSMENT

CAs and RAs operating under this policy shallmust be subject to a periodic an annual compliance audit at least once per year in accordance with the FPKI Annual Review Requirements document [AUDIT]. The FPKIPA has the right to require aperiodic compliance audits of CAs operating under this policy.

Further, The FPKIPA has the right to require aperiodic compliance audits of CAs operating under this policy. The FPKIPA shallmust state the reason for any aperiodic compliance audit.

On an annual basis, for each PCI configuration used (as defined by the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program), one populated, representative PIV credential must be submitted to the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program for testing.

8.2. IDENTITY/QUALIFICATIONS OF ASSESSOR

The auditor must demonstrate competence in the field of compliance audits, and must be thoroughly familiar with the CA's CPS and this CP. The compliance auditor must perform such compliance audits as a regular ongoing business activity. In addition to the previous requirements, the auditor must be a certified information system auditor (CISA) or IT security specialist, and a PKI subject matter specialist who can offer input regarding acceptable risks, mitigation strategies, and industry best practices.

8.3. Assessor's Relationship to Assessed Entity

The compliance auditor either shallmust be a private firm that is independent from the entities (CA and RAs) being audited, or it shallmust be sufficiently organizationally separated from those entities to provide an unbiased, independent evaluation. An example of the latter situation may be an agency inspector general. To insureensure independence and objectivity, the compliance auditor may not have served the entity in developing or maintaining the entity's CA facility or certificate practices statement. Certification Practices Statement. The FPKIPA shallmay determine whether a compliance auditor meets this requirement.

The Agency PMAEach agency is responsible for identifying and engaging a qualified auditor of agency operations implementing aspects of this CP.

8.4. TOPICS COVERED BY ASSESSMENT

The purpose of a compliance audit shallmust be to verify that a CA operated by a SSP and allits RAs of that CA comply with all the requirements of the current versions of the Federal Common Policy Root CAthis CP and the SSP'sCA's CPS. All aspects of the CA/RA operation shallmust be subject to compliance audit inspections. Components other than CAs may be audited fully or by using a representative sample. If the auditor uses statistical sampling, all PKI components, PKI component managers and operators shall be considered in the sample. The samples shall vary on an annual basis.

If the compliance auditor uses statistical sampling, all PKI components, PKI component managers and operators must be considered in the sample. The samples must vary on an annual basis.

8.5. ACTIONS TAKEN AS A RESULT OF DEFICIENCY

When the compliance auditor or FIPS 201 Evaluation Program testing finds a discrepancy between the requirements of this CP or the stipulations in the CPS and the design, operation, or maintenance of the PKI Authorities, the following actions shallmust be performed:

- The compliance auditor shall note. The discrepancy must be documented;
- The compliance auditor shall notify The responsible party promptly must be notified; and
- The party responsible for correcting the discrepancy will propose a remedy, including expected time for completion, to the FPKIPA and appropriate agency PMA.

Depending upon the nature and severity of the discrepancy, and how quickly it can be corrected, the FPKIPA may decide to temporarily halt operation of the CA or RA, to revoke a certificate issued to the CA or RA, or take other actions it deems appropriate. The FPKIPA will develop procedures for making and implementing such determinations. In accordance with section 8.1, A compliance audit or FIPS 201 Evaluation Program test may be required to confirm the implementation and effectiveness of the remedy.

8.6. COMMUNICATION OF RESULTS

On an annual basis, an Auditor Letter of Compliance, prepared in accordance with the *FPKI* Annual Review Requirements document, on behalf of an Agency PMA shall be provided to the SSP.

On an annual basis, the SSP PMA shallCAs operating under this policy must submit an annual review package to the FPKIPA. This package shallmust be prepared by the SSPCA's PMA, in accordance with the FPKI Annual Review Requirements document-and. The package must include an assertion that all PKI components have been audited –including any components that may be separately managed and operated. The report shallmust identify the versions of this CP and the CPS used in the assessment. Additionally, where necessary, the results shallmust be communicated as set forth in Section 8.5 above.

Each agency must provide an Auditor Letter of Compliance for those PKI components that it operates to its issuing CA or directly to the FPKIPA.

9. OTHER BUSINESS AND LEGAL MATTERS

9.1. **FEES**

9.1.1. Certificate Issuance or Renewal Fees

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.1.2. Certificate Access Fees

Section 2 of this policy requires that CA certificates be publicly available. CAs operating under this policy must not charge additional fees for access to this information.

9.1.3. Revocation or Status Information Access Fees

CAs operating under this policy must not charge additional fees for <u>revoking certificates or</u> access to CRLs and OCSP status information.

9.1.4. Fees for other Services

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.1.5. Refund Policy

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.2. FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

This CP contains no limits on the use of certificates issued by CAs under this policy. Rather, entities, acting as relying parties, shallmust determine what financial limits, if any, they wish to impose for certificates used to consummate a transaction.

9.2.1. Insurance Coverage

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.2.2. Other Assets

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.2.3. Insurance or Warranty Coverage for End-Entities

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.3. Confidentiality of Business Information

CA information not requiring protection shallmust be made publicly available. Public access to organizational information shallmust be determined by the respective organization.

9.3.1. Scope of Confidential Information

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.3.2. Information not within the Scope of Confidential Information

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.3.3. Responsibility to Protect Confidential Information

No stipulation.

A CA must not disclose non-certificate information to any third party unless authorized by this policy, required by U.S. law, U.S. government rule or regulation, or order of a U.S. court of competent jurisdiction. The contents of the archives maintained by CAs operating under this policy must not be released except as required by this policy, required by U.S. law, U.S. government rule or regulation, or order of a U.S. court of competent jurisdiction.

9.4. PRIVACY OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

9.4.1. Privacy Plan

The FPKIMA or Agency PMA shall conduct a Privacy Impact Assessment. If deemed necessary, the FPKIMA or Agency PMA shall have a Privacy Plan to protect personally identifying information (PII) from unauthorized disclosure. For the Common Policy Root CA, the FPKIPA shall approve the Privacy Plan. Privacy plans will be implemented CAs must conduct a Privacy Threshold Assessment, and implement and maintain any required Privacy Impact Assessments and Privacy Plans in accordance with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended.

9.4.2. Information Treated as Private

Federal entities acquiring services under this policy shallmust protect all Subscriber PII from unauthorized disclosure. Records of individual transactions may be released upon request of any Subscribers involved in the transaction or their legally recognized agents. The contents of the archives maintained by CAs operating under this policy shallmust not be released except as required by law.

Collection of PII shallmust be limited to the minimum necessary to validate the identity of the Subscriber. This may include attributes that correlate identity evidence to authoritative sources. The RA shallmust provide explicit notice to the Subscriber regarding the purpose for collecting and maintaining a record of the PII necessary for identity proofing and the consequences for not providing the information. PII collected for identity proofing purposes shallmust not be used for any other purpose.

9.4.3. Information not Deemed Private

Information included in certificates is not subject to protections outlined in Section 9.4.2-However, certificates that contain the FASC-N and/or UUID in the subject alternative name extension, such as PIV Authentication Certificates, shall not be distributed via public repositories (e.g., via LDAP or HTTP)., but may not be sold to a third party.

9.4.4. Responsibility to Protect Private Information

Sensitive information must be stored securely, and may be released only in accordance with other stipulations in Section 9.4.

All information collected as part of the identity proofing process shallmust be protected to ensure confidentiality and integrity. In the event the Agency PMAan agency terminates PKI activities, it shallmust be responsible for disposing of or destroying sensitive information, including PII, in a secure manner, and maintaining its protection from unauthorized access until destruction.

9.4.5. Notice and Consent to Use Private Information

The FPKIMA or Agency PMA an agency POC is not required to provide any notice or obtain the consent of the Subscriber or authorized agency personnel in order to release private information in accordance with other stipulations of Section 9.4.

9.4.6. Disclosure Pursuant to Judicial or Administrative Process

The FPKIMA or Agency PMA shallan agency POC must not disclose private information to any third party unless authorized by this policy, required by law, government rule or regulation, or order of a court of competent jurisdiction. Any request for release of information shallmust be processed according to [41 CFR 105-60.605-].

9.4.7. Other Information Disclosure Circumstances

None.

9.5. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

The FPKIMA willCAs must not knowingly violate intellectual property rights held by others.

9.6. Representations and Warranties

The obligations described below pertain to the FPKIMA and Agency PMAseach issuing agency.

The FPKIPA shall must:

- Approve the CPS for each CA that issues certificates under this policy;
- Review periodic compliance audits to ensure that CAs are operating in compliance with their approved CPSs;
- Review name spacenamespace control procedures to ensure that distinguished names are uniquely assigned for all certificates issued under this CP;
- Revise this CP to maintain the level of assurance and operational practicality;
- Publicly distribute this CP; and
- Coordinate modifications to this CP to ensure continued compliance by CAs operating under approved CPSs.

The Agency Policy Management Authorities shall—

Each issuing agency must:

- Review periodic compliance audits to ensure that RAs and other components operated by the agency are operating in compliance with their approved CPSs; and
- Review name spacenamespace control procedures to ensure that distinguished names are uniquely assigned within their agency.

9.6.1. CA Representations and Warranties

CAs operating under this policy shallmust warrant that their procedures are implemented in accordance with this CP, and that any certificates issued that assert the policy OIDs identified in this CP were issued in accordance with the stipulations of this policy.

A CA that issues certificates that assert a policy defined in this document shallmust conform to the stipulations of this document, including—:

- Providing to the FPKIPA a CPS, as well as any subsequent changes, for conformance assessment.
- Maintaining its operations in conformance to the stipulations of the approved CPS.
- Ensuring that registration information is accepted only from approved RAs operating under an approved CPS.
- Including only valid and appropriate information in certificates, and maintaining evidence that due diligence was exercised in validating the information contained in the certificates.
- Revoking the certificates of Subscribers found to have acted in a manner counter to their obligations in accordance with Section 9.6.3.
- Operating or providing for the services of an on-line repository, and informing the repository service provider of their obligations if applicable.

9.6.2. RA Representations and Warranties

An RA that performs registration functions as described in this policy shallmust comply with the stipulations of this policy, and comply with a CPS approved by the FPKIPA for use with this policy. An RA who is found to have acted in a manner inconsistent with these obligations is subject to revocation of RA responsibilities. An RA supporting this policy shallmust conform to the stipulations of this document, including—:

- Maintaining its operations in conformance to the stipulations of the approved CPS.
- Including only valid and appropriate information in certificate requests, and maintaining
 evidence that due diligence was exercised in validating the information contained in the
 certificate.
- Ensuring that obligations are imposed on Subscribers in accordance with Section 9.6.3, and that Subscribers are informed of the consequences of not complying with those obligations.

9.6.3. Subscriber Representations and Warranties

A Subscriber (or human sponsor for device certificates) shallmust be required to sign a document containing the requirements the Subscriber shallmust meet respecting protection of the private key and use of the certificate before being issued the certificate. Wherever possible, Subscriber documents must be digitally signed.

Subscribers shall must:

- Accurately represent themselves in all communications with the PKI authorities.
- Protect their private key(s) at all times, in accordance with this policy, as stipulated in their certificate acceptance agreements and local procedures.
- Promptly notify the appropriate CA upon suspicion of loss or compromise of their private key(s). Such notification shallmust be made directly or indirectly through mechanisms consistent with the CA's CPS.
- Abide by all the terms, conditions, and restrictions levied on the use of their private key(s) and certificate(s).

If the human sponsor for a device is not physically located near the sponsored device, and/or does not have sufficient administrative privileges on the sponsored device to protect the device's private key and ensure that the device's certificate is only used for authorized purposes, the device sponsor may delegate these responsibilities to an authorized administrator for the device. The delegation shall be documented and signed by both the device sponsor and the authorized administrator for the device. Delegation does not relieve the device sponsor of his or her accountability for these responsibilities.

9.6.4. Relying Parties Representations and Warranties

This CP does not specify the steps a relying party should take to determine whether to rely upon a certificate. The relying party decides, pursuant to its own policies, what steps to take. The CA merely provides the tools (i.e., certificates and CRLs) needed to perform the trust path creation, validation, and CP mappings that the relying party may wish to employ in its determination.

9.6.5. Representations and Warranties of Other Participants

None.

9.7. DISCLAIMERS OF WARRANTIES

CAs operating under this policy may not disclaim any responsibilities described in this CP.

9.8. LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY

The U.S. Government shallmust not be liable to any party, except as determined pursuant to the [Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA), 28 U.S.C. 2671-2680,], or as determined through a valid express written contract between the Government and another party.

9.9. INDEMNITIES

No stipulation.

9.10. TERM AND TERMINATION

9.10.1. Term

This CP becomes effective when approved by the FPKIPA. This CP has no specified term.

9.10.2. Termination

Termination of this CP is at the discretion of the FPKIPA.

9.10.3. Effect of Termination and Survival

The requirements of this CP remain in effect through the end of the archive period for the last certificate issued.

9.11. Individual Notices and Communications with Participants

The FPKIPA shallmust establish appropriate procedures for communications with CAs operating under this policy via contracts or memoranda of agreement as applicable.

For CAs operating under this policy, any planned changes to the infrastructure that hashave the potential to affect the FPKI operational environment shallmust be communicated to the FPKIPA at least two weeks prior to implementation, and. All new artifacts (CA certificates, CRL DP, AIA and/or SIA URLs, etc.) produced as a result of the change must be provided to the FPKIPA within 24 hours following implementation.

For all other communications, no stipulation.

9.12. AMENDMENTS

9.12.1. Procedure for Amendment

The FPKIPA shallmust review this CP at least once every year. Corrections, updates, or changes to this CP shallmust be publicly available. Suggested changes to this CP shallmust be communicated to the contact in Section 1.5.2; such communication must include a description of the change, a change justification, and contact information for the person requesting the change.

9.12.2. Notification Mechanism and Period

Proposed changes to this CP shallmust be distributed electronically to FPKIPA members and observers in accordance with the Charter and By-laws.

9.12.3. Circumstances under which OID must be Changed

OIDs will be changed if the FPKIPA determines that a change in the CP reduces the level of assurance provided.

9.13. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROVISIONS

The FPKIPA shallmust facilitate the resolution between entities when conflicts arise as a result of the use of certificates issued under this policy. When the dispute is between federal agencies, and the FPKIPA is unable to facilitate resolution, dispute resolution may be escalated to OMB orthe White House Office of Management and Budget or to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel as necessary.

For CAs operating as Shared Service Providers, disputes as to operational or policy issues shall use the procedure set forth in the *Shared Service Provider Roadmap*.

9.14. GOVERNING LAW

The construction, validity, performance and effect of certificates issued under this CP for all purposes shallmust be governed by United States federal law (statute, case law, or regulation).

9.15. Compliance with Applicable Law

All CAs operating under this policy are required to comply with applicable law.

9.16. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

9.16.1. Entire Agreement

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.16.2. Assignment

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.16.3. Severability

Should it be determined that one section of this CP is incorrect or invalid, the other sections of this CP shallmust remain in effect until the CP is updated. The process for updating this CP is described in Section 9.12.

9.16.4. Enforcement (Attorneys' Fees and Waiver of Rights)

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.16.5. Force Majeure

No stipulation.

CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

9.17. OTHER PROVISIONS

No stipulation.

10. BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following documents were used in part to develop this CP: CAs operating under this policy must make this determination.

APPENDIX A: PIV AND COMMON PIV INTEROPERABLE COMPARISON

Tr III	<u>Technical Requirements</u>	PIV	PIV-I
ABADSG	Digital Signature Guidelines, 1996-08-01. http://itlaw.wikia.com/wiki/American-Bar-Association (Association (ABA) Digital Signature Guidelines Suitability	X	
APL	Approved Products List (APL) http://www.idmanagement.gov/approved-products-list-apl PIV policy object identifier on PIV Authentication Certificates	X	
AUDIT	FPKI Annual Review Requirements PIV-I equivalent policy object identifier on PIV-I Authentication Certificates		X
	PIV Content Signing object signing certificate	<u>X</u>	
	PIV-I Content Signing equivalent object signing certificate		<u>X</u>
CCP PROF	X.509 Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Extensions Profile for the Shared Service Providers (SSP) Program. http://www.idmanagement.gov/fpkipa/documents/CertCR LprofileForCP.pdfPIV Card Authentication Certificate	X	
CIMC	Certificate Issuing and Management Components Family of Profiles, version 1.0, October 31, 2001. http://csrc.nist.gov/pki/documents/CIMC_PP_20011031.pdf		:
E-Auth	E-Authentication Guidance for Federal Agencies, M-04-04, December 16, 2003. http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy04/m04-04.pdfPIV-I Card Authentication Certificate		Х
FIPS 140-2	Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules, FIPS 140-2, May 25, 2001. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips140-2/fips1402.pdf Card must not be valid for more than 6 years and card expiration must not exceed the expiration date of object signing certificate	X	Х

FIPS 186-4 Digital Signature Standard (DSS), FIPS 186-4, July 2013. http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.186-4.pdf

### ### ### #### #####################	Personal Identity Verification (PIV) of Federal Employees and Contractors, FIPS 201-2, August 2013. http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.201- 2.pdfCard stock certified by FIPS 201 Evaluation Program	х	х
FOIACT	5 U.S.C. 552, Freedom of Information Act. http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/5/552.htmlCommand edge and NIST SP 800-85 conformant	X	X
ISO9594-8	NIST SP 800-73 conformant data model and PIV Application Identifier (AID)ITU-T Recommendation X.509 (2005) ISO/IEC 9594-8:2005, Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Public-key and attribute certificate frameworks.	X	Х
	NIST SP 800-73 conformant to include GUID present in the CHUID	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
	RFC 4122 conformant UUID required in the GUID data element of the CHUID	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
	RFC 4122 conformant UUID present in the Authentication Certificates	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>

<u>yhy</u>	FIPS 201 compliant topography	<u>X</u>	
Topography	Minimally contains facial image, cardholder name, issuing organization, and expiration, but does not replicate FIPS 201 topography requirements		X
HARACard Management System	40 U.S.C. 1452, Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996. http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/40/1452.htmlCard Management Master Key maintained in a FIPS 140-2 Level 2 Cryptographic Module and conforms to [NIST SP 800-78] requirements; activation of the Card Management Master Key requires commensurate authentication of Trusted Roles	X	х

NAG69C Information System Security Policy and Certification Practice Statement for Certification Authorities, rev C, November 1999.

NSD42	National Policy for the Security of National Security Telecom and Information Systems, 5 Jul 1990. http://snyside.sunnyside.com/cpsr/privacy/computer_security/nsd_42.txt
NS4005	(redacted version) NSTISSI 4005, Safeguarding COMSEC Facilities and Material, August 1997.

APPENDIX B: REFERENCES

ABADSG Digital Signature Guidelines, 1996-08-01.

http://itlaw.wikia.com/wiki/American_Bar_Association_(ABA)_Digital_Sig

nature_Guidelines

APL Approved Products List (APL)

http://www.idmanagement.gov/approved-products-list-apl

AUDIT FPKI Annual Review Requirements

https://www.idmanagement.gov/wp-

content/uploads/sites/1171/uploads/fpki-annual-review-requirements.pdf

<u>CCP-PROF</u> <u>Common Policy X.509 Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL)</u>

Profiles https://www.idmanagement.gov/wp-

content/uploads/sites/1171/uploads/fpki-x509-cert-profile-ssp.pdf

<u>Executive Order 12968 - Access to Classified Information</u>

Order 12968 https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-1995-08-07/pdf/95-19654.pdf

FIPS 140-2 Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules, FIPS 140-2, May 25,

2001.

https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/140/2/final

FIPS 201-2 Personal Identity Verification (PIV) of Federal Employees and Contractors,

FIPS 201-2, August 2013.

https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/201/2/final

ITMRA 40 U.S.C. 1452, Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996.

https://govinfo.library.unt.edu/npr/library/misc/itref.html

NS4009 NSTISSI 4009, National Information Systems Security Glossary, January

1999.

PACS Technical Implementation Guidance: Smart Card Enabled Physical Access

Control Systems, Version 2.23, The Government Smart Card Interagency Advisory Board's Physical Security Interagency Interoperability Working

Group, July 30, 2004.

http://www.idmanagement.gov/smartcard/information/TIG_SCEPACS_v2.2

.pdfDecember 20, 2005.

https://www.idmanagement.gov/wp-

content/uploads/sites/1171/uploads/TIG_SCEPACS_v2.3.pdf

PIV-I Issuers Personal Identity Verification Interoperability for Issuers

PIV-I	X.509 Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Extensions Profile
Profiles Profil	for Personal Identity Verification Interoperable (PIV-I) Cards
<u>e</u>	https://www.idmanagement.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/1171/uploads/fpki-
	pivi-cert-profiles.pdf
	https://www.idmanagement.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/1171/uploads/fpki-
	x509-cert-profiles-pivi.pdf
PKCS#1	Jakob Jonsson and Burt Kaliski, Public-Key Cryptography Standards
	(PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1, RFC 3447,
	February 2003.
	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3447.txthttp://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3447.txt
DIZCIC#10	DVCC #12 -1 0. D L. f E1 C1 24 1000
PKCS#12	PKCS <u>#</u> 12-v1.0: Personal Information Exchange Syntax June 24, 1999.
	ftp://ftp.rsasecurity.com/pub/pkcs/pkcs-12/pkcs-12v1.pdfv1.1 July 2014. https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7292
	https://toois.ietr.org/htmi/fic/292
RFC 2585	Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure: Operational Protocols: FTP and
	HTTP, Russel Housley and Paul Hoffman, May 1999.
	https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2585.txt
DDG 4.15	
RFC 3647	Certificate Policy and Certification Practices Framework, Chokhani and
	Ford, Sabett, Merrill, and Wu, November 2003.
	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3647.txthttp://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3647.txt
RFC 4122	A Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) URN Namespace, Paul J. Leach,
	Michael Mealling, and Rich Salz, July 2005.
	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4122.txthttp://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4122.txt
RFC 5280	Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate
	Revocation List (CRL) Profile.
	https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5280.txt
	https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5280.txt
RFC 5322	Internet Message Format
	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5322.txt
	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5322.txt
DEC 2020	V 500 Leterary Dell's Very Infrastructure Co. 11 Co. 11 Co. 11 Co. 12 Co
RFC 6960	X.509 Internet Public Key Infrastructure Online Certificate Status Protocol –
	OCSP. https://tools.jetf.org/html/rfc6060
	https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6960 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6960
	https://tools.fett.org/html/ffc0700

https://www.idmanagement.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/1171/uploads/piv-

i-for-issuers.pdf

RFC 8551	Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 4.0 Message Specification, J. Schaad, B. Ramsdell, S. Turner, April 2019. https://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc8551.txt
SP 800-37	Guide for Applying the Risk Management Framework to Federal Information Systems: A Security Life Cycle Approach, NIST Special Publication 800-37, Revision 1, February 2010. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-37 rev1/sp800-37 rev1 final.pdf2, December2018. https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-37/rev-2/final
SP 800-56A	Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography, NIST Special Publication 800-56A http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-56a/rev-3/final
SP 800-63-3	Digital Identity Guidelines <a "="" esrc.nist.gov="" href="https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-63/3/final-detail/sp/800-63/3/final</td></tr><tr><td>SP 800-73-3(1)<u>76-2</u></td><td>Interfaces Biometric Specifications for Personal Identity Verification—Part 1: End-Point PIV Card Application Namespace, Data Model and Representation, NIST Special Publication 800-73-3, February 2010.76-2, July 2013. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-73-3/sp800-73-3_PART1_pivcard applic_namespace_date_model_rep.pdfhttps://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-76/2/final</td></tr><tr><td>SP 800-
7678-4</td><td>Biometric Specifications Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Sizes for Personal Identity Verification, NIST Special Publication 800-76-2, July 2013-78-4, May 2015. http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-76-2.pdf https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-78/4/final</td></tr><tr><td>SP 800-79<u>-2</u></td><td>Guidelines for the Accreditation of Personal Identity Verification Card Issuers, NIST Special Publication 800-79 http://esrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/ https://esrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-79/2/final
SP 800-89	Recommendation for Obtaining Assurances for Digital Signature Applications, NIST Special Publication 800-89 http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-89/final
SP 800-157	Guidelines for Derived Personal Identity Verification (PIV) Credentials, NIST Special Publication 800-157. http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-157.pdf or

http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-157/sp800_157_draft.pdf https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-157/final

X.509 ITU-T Recommendation X.509 (2005) | ISO/IEC 9594-8:2005, Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Public-key and

attribute certificate frameworks.

APPENDIX C: ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CA Certification Authority

CAA Certification Authority Authorization

C&A Certification and Accreditation

CHUID Card Holder Unique Identifier

COMSEC Communications Security

CMS Card Management System

CP Certificate Policy

CPS Certification Practice Statement

CRL Certificate Revocation List

CSOR Computer Security Objects Registry

DN Distinguished Name

DPCI Derived PIV Credential Issuer

ECDSA Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm

EKU Extended Key Usage

FPKIMA Federal Public Key Infrastructure Management Authority

FIPS PUB (US) Federal Information Processing Standards Publication

FPKI Federal Public Key Infrastructure

FPKIA Federal PKI Architecture

FPKIPA Federal PKI Policy Authority

FQDN Fully Qualified Domain Name

HTTP Hypertext Transfer Protocol

IEC International Electrotechnical Commission

IETF Internet Engineering Task Force

IP Internet Protocol

KRP Key Recovery Policy

KRPS Key Recovery Practice Statement

LDAP Lightweight Directory Access Protocol

ISO International Organization for Standardization

ISSO Information Systems Security Officer

ITU International Telecommunications Union

ITU-T International Telecommunications Union Telecommunications Sector

NARA U.S. National Archives and Records Administration

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

NSA National Security Agency

NSTISSI National Security Telecommunications and Information Systems Security

Instruction

OCSP Online Certificate Status Protocol

OID Object Identifier

PCI PIV Card Issuer

PII Personal Identifying Information

PIN Personal Identification Number

PIV Personal Identity Verification

PIV-I Personal Identity Verification Interoperable

PKCS Public Key Cryptography Standards

PKI Public Key Infrastructure

PKIX Public Key Infrastructure X.509
PSS Probabilistic Signature Scheme

RA Registration Authority

RDN Relative Distinguished Name

RFC Request For Comments

RSA Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (encryption algorithm)

RSASSA RSA Signature Scheme with Appendix

SHA Secure Hash Algorithm

S/MIME Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions

SP Special Publication

SSL Secure Sockets Layer

SSP-REP Shared Service Provider Repository Service Requirements

TSATLS Time Stamp Authority Transport Layer Security

UPS Uninterrupted Power Supply

URL Uniform Resource Locator

U.S.C. United States Code

UUID Universal Unique Identifier

VM Virtual Machine

VME Virtual Machine Environment

WWW World Wide Web

APPENDIX D: GLOSSARY

Access Ability to make use of any information system (IS) resource.

[NS4009]

Access Control Process of granting access to information system resources only to

authorized users, programs, processes, or other systems. [NS4009]

Accreditation Formal declaration by a Designated Approving Authority that an

Information System is approved to operate in a particular security mode using a prescribed set of safeguards at an acceptable level of

risk. [NS4009]

Activation Data Private data, other than keys, that are required to access

cryptographic modules (i.e., unlock private keys for signing or

decryption events).

Applicant The Subscriber is sometimes also called an "Applicant" after

applying to a certification authority for a certificate, but before the certificate issuance procedure is completed. [ABADSG footnote 32]

Archive Long-term, physically separate storage.

Attribute Authority An entity, recognized by the FPKIPA or comparable body as having

the authority to verify the association of attributes to an identity.

Audit Independent review and examination of records and activities to

assess the adequacy of system controls, to ensure compliance with established policies and operational procedures, and to recommend necessary changes in controls, policies, or procedures. [NS4009]

Audit Data Chronological record of system activities to enable the

reconstruction and examination of the sequence of events and

changes in an event. [NS4009, "audit trail"]

Authenticate To confirm the identity of an entity when that identity is presented.

Authentication Security measure designed to establish the validity of a transmission,

message, or originator, or a means of verifying an individual's authorization to receive specific categories of information.

[NS4009]

Backup Copy of files and programs made to facilitate recovery if necessary.

[NS4009]

Binding Process of associating two related elements of information.

[NS4009]

Biometric A physical or behavioral characteristic of a human being.

Certificate A digital representation of information which at least (1) identifies

the certification authority issuing it, (2) names or identifies its Subscriber, (3) contains the Subscriber's public key, (4) identifies its operational period, and (5) is digitally signed by the certification authority issuing it. [ABADSG]. As used in this CP, the term "certificate" refers to X.509 certificates that expressly reference the

OID of this CP in the certificatePolicies extension.

Certification Authority

(CA)

An authority trusted by one or more users to issue and manage

X.509 public key certificates and CRLs.

CA Facility The collection of equipment, personnel, procedures and structures

that are used by a certification authority to perform certificate

issuance and revocation.

Certification Authority Software

Key management and cryptographic software used to manage certificates issued to Subscribers.

Certificate Policy (CP)

A certificate policy is a specialized form of administrative policy tuned to electronic transactions performed during certificate management. A certificate policy addresses all aspects associated with the generation, production, distribution, accounting, compromise recovery and administration of digital certificates. Indirectly, a certificate policy can also govern the transactions conducted using a communications system protected by a certificate-based security system. By controlling critical certificate extensions, such policies and associated enforcement technology can support provision of the security services required by particular applications.

Certification Practice Statement (CPS) A statement of the practices that a CA employs in issuing, suspending, revoking, and renewing certificates and providing access to them, in accordance with specific requirements (i.e., requirements specified in this CP, or requirements specified in a contract for services).

Certificate-Related Information

Information, such as a Subscriber's postal address, that is not included in a certificate. May be used by a CA managing certificates.

Certificate Revocation List (CRL)

A list maintained by a certification authority of the certificates that it has issued that are revoked prior to their stated expiration date.

Certificate Status Server (CSS)

A trusted entity that provides on-line verification to a relying party of a subject certificate's revocation status, and may also provide additional attribute information for the subject certificate.

Client (application)

A system entity, usually a computer process acting on behalf of a human user that makes use of a service provided by a server.

Common Criteria

A set of internationally accepted semantic tools and constructs for describing the security needs of customers and the security attributes of products.

Compromise

Disclosure of information to unauthorized persons, or a violation of the security policy of a system in which unauthorized intentional or unintentional disclosure, modification, destruction, or loss of an object may have occurred. [NS4009]

Computer Security Objects Registry (CSOR)

Computer Security Objects Registry operated by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Confidentiality Assurance that information is not disclosed to unauthorized entities

or processes. [NS4009]

Cross-Certificate A certificate used to establish a trust relationship between two

certification authorities.

Cryptographic Module The set of hardware, software, firmware, or some combination

thereof that implements cryptographic logic or processes, including cryptographic algorithms, and is contained within the cryptographic

boundary of the module. [FIPS 140-2]

Data Integrity Assurance that the data are unchanged from creation to reception.

<u>Device</u> <u>A non-person entity, i.e., a piece of hardware or a software</u>

application

Digital Signature The result of a transformation of a message by means of a

cryptographic system using keys such that a relying party can determine: (1) whether the transformation was created using the private key that corresponds to the public key in the signer's digital certificate; and (2) whether the message has been altered since the

transformation was made.

Dual Use Certificate A certificate that is intended for use with both digital signature and

data encryption services.

Encryption Certificate A certificate containing a public key that is used to encrypt

electronic messages, files, documents, or data transmissions, or to

establish or exchange a session key for these same purposes.

End Entity Certificate A certificate in which the subject is not a CA.

FPKI Management The Federal Public Key Infrastructure Management Authority is the

Authority (FPKIMA) organization responsible for operating the <u>Federal</u> Common Policy

Root Certification Authority.

Federal Public Key The FPKIPA is a Federal Government body responsible for setting, Infrastructure Policy implementing, and administering policy decisions regarding the

Authority (FPKIPA) Federal PKI Architecture.

(HAG)

Firewall Gateway that limits access between networks in accordance with

local security policy. [NS4009]

High Assurance Guard

An enclave boundary protection device that controls access between

a local area network that an enterprise system has a requirement to protect, and an external network that is outside the control of the

enterprise system, with a high degree of assurance.

Hypervisor Computer software, firmware or hardware that creates and runs

virtual machines. A hypervisor uses native execution hypervisor uses native execution to share and manage hardware, allowing for multiple environments which are isolated from one another, yet exist on the same physical machine. Also known as an isolation kernel or

virtual machine monitor.

Information Systems Security Officer (ISSO) Person responsible to the Designated Approving Authority for ensuring the security of an information system throughout its life-cycle, from design through disposal. [NS4009]

eyere, from design anough disposant [135 1005]

Inside Threat

An entity with authorized access that has the potential to harm an

information system through destruction, disclosure, modification of

data, and/or denial of service.

Integrity Protection against unauthorized modification or destruction of

information. [NS4009]. A state in which information has remained unaltered from the point it was produced by a source, during transmission, storage, and eventual receipt by the destination.

Intellectual Property Useful artistic, technical, and/or industrial information, knowledge

or ideas that convey ownership and control of tangible or virtual

usage and/or representation.

Intermediate CA A CA that is subordinate to another CA, and has a CA subordinate to

itself.

Key Escrow A deposit of the private key of a Subscriber and other pertinent

information pursuant to an escrow agreement or similar contract binding upon the Subscriber, the terms of which require one or more agents to hold the Subscriber's private key for the benefit of the Subscriber, an employer, or other party, upon provisions set forth in the agreement. [adapted from ABADSG, "Commercial key escrow

service"]

Key Exchange The process of exchanging public keys in order to establish secure

communications.

Key Generation Material Random numbers, pseudo-random numbers, and cryptographic

parameters used in generating cryptographic keys.

Key Pair Two mathematically related keys having the properties that (1) one

(public) key can be used to encrypt a message that can only be decrypted using the other (private) key, and (2) even knowing the public key, it is computationally infeasible to discover the private

key.

Key Recovery Policy (KRP)

A key recovery policy is a specialized form of administrative policy tuned to the protection and recovery of key management private keys (i.e. decryption keys) held in escrow. A key recovery policy addresses all aspects associated with the storage and recovery of key management certificates.

Key Recovery Practices Statement (KRPS) A statement of the practices that a Key Recovery System employs in protecting and recovering key management private keys, in accordance with specific requirements (i.e., requirements specified in the KRP).

Legacy Federal PKI

A PKI Implementation owned and managed by a Federal Agency and cross-certified with the Federal Bridge prior to 12/31/2005.

Modification (of a certificate)

The act or process by which data items bound in an existing public key certificate, especially authorizations granted to the subject, are changed by issuing a new certificate.

Mutual Authentication

Occurs when parties at both ends of a communication activity authenticate each other (see authentication).

Naming Authority

An organizational entity responsible for assigning distinguished names (DNs) and for assuring that each DN is meaningful and unique within its domain.

National Security System

Any telecommunications or information system operated by the United States Government, the function, operation, or use of which involves intelligence activities; involves cryptologic activities related to national security; involves command and control of military forces; involves equipment that is an integral part of a weapon or weapons system; or is critical to the direct fulfillment of military or intelligence missions, but does not include a system that is to be used for routine administrative and business applications (including payroll, finance, logistics, and personnel management applications). [ITMRA]

Network Guard

An enclave boundary protection device that controls access between a local area network that an enterprise system has a requirement to protect, and an external network that is outside the control of the enterprise system, with a high degree of assurance.

Non-Repudiation

Assurance that the sender is provided with proof of delivery and that the recipient is provided with proof of the sender's identity so that neither can later deny having processed the data. [NS4009] Technical non-repudiation refers to the assurance a relying party has that if a public key is used to validate a digital signature, that

signature had to have been made by the corresponding private signature key.

Object Identifier (OID)

A specialized formatted number that is registered with an internationally recognized standards organization, the unique alphanumeric/numeric identifier registered under the ISO registration standard to reference a specific object or object class. In the Federal PKI, OIDS are used to uniquely identify certificate policies and cryptographic algorithms.

Offline CA

An offline certification authority is a certification authority isolated from network access, and is often kept in a powered-down state.

Out-of-Band

Communication between parties utilizing a means or method that differs from the current method of communication (e.g., one party uses U.S. Postal Service mail to communicate with another party where current communication is occurring on-line).

Outside Threat

An unauthorized entity from outside the domain perimeter that has the potential to harm an information system through destruction, disclosure, modification of data, and/or denial of service.

Physically Isolated Network A network that is not connected to entities or systems outside a physically controlled space.

PKI Sponsor

Fills the role of a Subscriber for non-human system components that are named as public key certificate subjects, and is responsible for meeting the obligations of Subscribers as defined throughout this CP.

Policy Management Authority (PMA) The individual or group that is responsible for the creation and maintenance of Certificate Policies and Certification Practice Statements, and for ensuring that all Entity PKI components (e.g., CAs, CSSs, CMSsCard Management Systems, RAs) are audited and operated in compliance with the entity PKI CP. The PMA evaluates non-domain policies for acceptance within the domain, and generally oversees and manages the PKI certificate policies. For the Common Policy, the PMA is the FPKIPA.

Privacy

Restricting access to Subscriber or relying party information in accordance with federal law.

Private Key

(1) The key of a signature key pair used to create a digital signature.(2) The key of an encryption key pair that is used to decrypt

confidential information. In both cases, this key must be kept secret.

Public Key

(1) The key of a signature key pair used to validate a digital signature. (2) The key of an encryption key pair that is used to

encrypt confidential information. In both cases, this key is normally made publicly available in the form of a digital certificate.

Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)

A set of policies, processes, server platforms, software, and workstations used for the purpose of administering certificates and public/private key pairs, including the ability to issue, maintain, and revoke public key certificates.

Registration Authority (RA)

An entity that is responsible for identification and authentication of certificate subjects, but that does not sign or issue certificates (i.e., a Registration Authority is delegated certain tasks on behalf of an authorized CA).

Re-key (a certificate)

To change the value of a cryptographic key that is being used in a cryptographic system application; this normally entails issuing a new certificate that contains the new public key.

Relying Party

A person or entity who has received information that includes a certificate and a digital signature verifiable with reference to a public key listed in the certificate, and is in a position to rely on them.

Renew (a certificate)

The act or process of extending the validity of the data binding asserted by a public key certificate by issuing a new certificate.

Repository

A databasesystem containing information and data relating to certificates or revocation data as specified in this CP; may also be referred. May refer to as a directory, web server, or server which only hosts pre-generated OCSP responses.

Revoke a Certificate

To prematurely end the operational period of a certificate effective at a specific date and time.

Risk

An expectation of loss expressed as the probability that a particular threat will exploit a particular vulnerability with a particular harmful result.

Risk Tolerance

The level of risk an entity is willing to assume in order to achieve a potential desired result.

Root CA

In a hierarchical PKI, the CA whose public key serves as the most trusted datum (i.e., the beginning of trust paths) for a security domain.

Server

A system entity that provides a service in response to requests from clients.

Signature Certificate A public key certificate that contains a public key intended for

verifying digital signatures rather than encrypting data or performing

any other cryptographic functions.

Structural Container An organizational unit attribute included in a distinguished name

solely to support local directory requirements, such as differentiation

between Human Subscribers and devices.

Subordinate CA In a hierarchical PKI, a CA whose certificate signature key is

certified by another CA, and whose activities are constrained by that

other CA. (See superior CA).

Subscriber A Subscriber is an entity that (1) is the subject named or identified in

a certificate issued to that entity, (2) holds a private key that corresponds to the public key listed in the certificate, and (3) does not itself issue certificates to another party. This includes, but is not

limited to, an individual, an application or network device.

Superior CA In a hierarchical PKI, a CA that has certified the certificate signature

key of another CA, and that constrains the activities of that CA.

(See subordinate CA).

Supervised Remote Identity

Proofing

A real-time identity proofing event where the RA/Trusted Agent is not in the same physical location as the Applicant/Subscriber. The

RA/Trusted Agent controls a device which is utilized by the

Applicant/Subscriber in order to ensure the remote identity proofing process employs physical, technical and procedural measures to provide sufficient confidence that the remote session can be considered equivalent to a physical, in-person identity proofing process. Supervised Remote Identity Proofing must meet the criteria specified in NIST SP 800-63A Section 5.3.3; and must have the

capacity to capture an approved biometric.

System Equipment

Configuration

A comprehensive accounting of all system hardware and software

types and settings.

System High The highest security level supported by an information system.

[NS4009]

Threat Any circumstance or event with the potential to cause harm to an

information system in the form of destruction, disclosure, adverse

modification of data, and/or denial of service. [NS4009]

Time Stamp Authority

An independent, reliable service that issues and verifies electronic

time stamps.

Trust List Collection of Trusted Certificates used by relying parties to

authenticate other certificates.

Trusted Agent Entity authorized to act as a representative of a CA in confirming

Subscriber identification during the registration process. Trusted

agents do not have automated interfaces with certification

authorities.

Trusted Certificate A certificate that is trusted by the relying party on the basis of secure

and authenticated delivery. The public keys included in trusted certificates are used to start certification paths. Also known as a

"trust anchor".

Trusted Timestamp A digitally signed assertion by a trusted authority that a specific

digital object existed at a particular time.

Trustworthy System Computer hardware, software, and procedures that: (1) are

reasonably secure from intrusion and misuse; (2) provide a

reasonable level of availability, reliability, and correct operation; (3) are reasonably suited to performing their intended functions; and (4)

adhere to generally accepted security procedures.

Two-Person Control Continuous surveillance and control of positive control material at

all times by a minimum of two authorized individuals, each capable of detecting incorrect and/or unauthorized procedures with respect to the task being performed and each familiar with established security

and safety requirements. [NS4009]

Virtual Machine An emulation of a computer system (in this case, a CA) that Environment provides the functionality of a physical machine in a platform

provides the functionality of a physical machine in a platform-independent environment. They provide functionality needed to execute entire operating systems. At this time, allowed VMEs are limited to Hypervisor type virtual environments. Other technology,

such as Docker Containers, is not permitted.

Zeroize A method of erasing electronically stored data by altering the

contents of the data storage so as to prevent the recovery of the data.

[FIPS 140-2]

The Certificate Policy Working Group developed this CP based on RFC 3647 and the origin U.S. Federal PKI Common Policy Framework Certificate Policy.					

APPENDIX A – PIV AND COMMON PIV INTEROPERABLE (COMMON PIV-I) COMPARISON

二半 第 144	Technical Requirements	PIV	PIV-I	
	Suitability Assurance:			
	Favorably adjudicated National Agency Check with Inquiries (minimum) or other Tier 1 investigation	X		
	PIV policy object identifier on PIV Authentication Certificates	×		
	PIV I equivalent policy object identifier on PIV I Authentication Certificates		X	:
	PIV Content Signing object signing certificate	X		
	PIV I Content Signing equivalent object signing certificate		X	:
	PIV Card Authentication Certificate	X		
	PIV I Card Authentication Certificate		X	:
	Card shall not be valid for more than 6 years and card expiration shall not exceed the expiration date of object signing certificate	×	×	:
	Card stock certified by FIPS 201 Evaluation Program	X	×	:
	Command edge and NIST SP 800-85 conformant	×	X	:
Edge	NIST SP 800-73 conformant data model and PIV Application Identifier (AID)	×	X	:
Credential E	NIST SP 800-73 conformant to include GUID present in the CHUID	×	X	:
	RFC 4122 conformant UUID required in the GUID data element of the CHUID	×	X	
	RFC 4122 conformant UUID present in the Authentication Certificates	X	X	:

hy	FIPS 201 compliant topography	X	
Topograf	Minimally contains facial image, cardholder name, issuing organization, and expiration, but does not replicate FIPS 201 topography requirements		×
Card Management System	Card Management Master Key maintained in a FIPS 140-2 Level 2 Cryptographic Module and conforms to [NIST SP 800-78] requirements; activation of the Card Management Master Key requires commensurate authentication of Trusted Roles	*	*